Architecture Engineering and Building Technology B.Sc.

Annual Report By-Law 2012

2020-2021

A- Basic Information

1- Course Code & Title: ARC 211 Architectural Construction 1

2- Relevant program/s: Architecture Engineering and Building Technology

3- Year/Level of program: Sophomore -Level 2 - 3rd Semester

4- Credit hours

Credit 3 hrs Lectures 2 hrs Tutorial 3 hrs Practical - hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course: Prof. Dr. Ibrahim gouda

6- Course coordinator: Prof. Dr. Ibrahim gouda

7- External evaluator: Non

B- Statistical Information

1- No. of students attending the course:
No. of students completing the course:
No. of students attending the course:

3- Final Results

Semester/Grade	Α	В	С	D	F	Total
Fall	0	0	2	5	16	23
Spring	0	2	8	3	2	15
Summer	0	0	0	0		0
Sum	0	2	10	8		38
Percentage						

C- Professional Information							
1. Contents							
Topic	Lecture	Tutorial	Practical	Total	Actual		
Торіс	hours	hours	hours	TOlai	Fall	Spr.	Sum.
 Introduction & Elements of Building. 	2	3	-	5	5		
 Sequence of Building Construction. 	2	3	-	5	5		
 Construction Systems: Bearing walls. 	2	3	-	5	5		
Construction Systems: Skeleton	2	3		5	5		
Construction.	2	J	-	3	ر		
 Foundations: Surface foundations. 	2	3	-	5	5		
 Foundations: Deep foundations. 	2	3	-	5	5		
Mid Term Exam (M. T1).	2	3	-	5	5		
Brick walls: Types of brick & mortar	2	3	-	5	5		
Brick wall bonding: English Bond &	2	3		5	5		
Flemish Bond.	2	3	-)	5		
 Masonry walls: Classifications of 	2	3		5	5		
stones – walling philosophy.	2	3	_)	5		

Masonry walls: Sills – Cornices – Copings.	2	3	-	5	5	
Roof Structures: Linear structural elements – Surface resistant.	2	3	-	5	5	
R.C. floors &steel floors: Sections and details.	2	3	-	5	5	
Revison	2	3	-	5	5	
Revison	2	3	-	5	5	
Total hours	30	45	•	75	75	

Topics taught as a percentage of the content specified:

>90 % 70-90 % <70%

- Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic: Non
- If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail: Non

Achieved program intended learning outcomes, ILO's:

Knowledge & Understanding	Professional and practical skills	Intellectual skills	General transferable skills
A3, A4, A24	B2,B5,B11, B12,B14, B22	C2, C3, C12, C14, C23,C24,C25	D1, D2, D3, D6, D7, D8

2- Teaching and learning methods:

Classical lecturing using the white board and data show, General criticism & presentations If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, give reasons:

Non

3- Student assessment:

Method of assessment	Points	%
Written examination	40	40
Drawing sheets	40	40
Oral examination	5	5
Other assignments/class work	5	5
Mid-Term Exam	10	10
Total	100	100

Members of examination committee: Prof.Dr. Ibrahim gouda

Role of external evaluator: Non

4- Facilities and teaching materials:

Totally adequate	Yes
Adequate to some extent	-
Inadequate	-

List any inadequacies: Non

5- Administrative constraints (List any difficulties encountered)

6- Student evaluation of the course:

Questionnaire Results

Course	
Lecturer	

Assistant	
Book	
Assessment	
Laboratory	

Comments

	List any criticisms	Response of course team
(a)		
(b)		

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

	Comment	Response of course team
(a)	Non	

8- Written Exam Evaluation

- ➤ The exam header agrees with the MAM standard form
- > The written exam covers 69% of the course ILO's in a balanced form.
- > The exam considers the course aims listed in the course specification.
- > The exam level is acceptable, considering the percentage of success.
- > The exam addresses the fundamentals of the automatic control.
- > The too low level of points of question 4 imposes the need to revise the teaching and learning methods of the ILO's covered by quest 4 together with the relevant lab work.

9- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan. State whether or not completed and give reasons for any non-completion:

Actions required	Planned Completion date	Accomplishment
(a) Non		

10- Action plan

Actions required	Completion date	Person responsible
1.		
2.		

Course coordinator: Prof. Dr. Ibrahim gouda

Signature:

Date: September 2021

A- Basic Information

1- Course Code & Title: ARC 221 Architectural Design 1

2- Relevant program/s: Architecture Engineering and Building Technology

3- Year/Level of program: Sophomore -Level 2 - 3rd Semester

4- Credit hours

Credit 3 hrs Lectures 1 hrs Tutorial 6 hrs Practical - hrs **5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course**: Prof. Dr. Ibrahim gouda

6- Course coordinator: Prof. Dr. Ibrahim gouda

7- External evaluator: Non

B- Statistical Information

4- No. of students attending the course:
5- No. of students completing the course:
No. 19 100 %
No. 18 94.74 %

6- Final Results

Semester/Grade	Α	В	С	D	F	Total
Fall	0	2	10	6	1	19
Spring	2	2	1	4	3	12
Summer	0	0	0	0		0
Sum	2	4	11	10		31
Percentage						

C- Professional Information

1. Contents

Tonio	Lecture	Tutorial	Practical	Total	Actual		
Topic	hours	hours	hours	Total	Fall	Spr.	Sum.
• First Project : Dream House :Analysis of program elements	1	6	-	7	7	7	
Research on residential buildings	1	6	-	7	7	7	
Zoning (bubble diagram – matrix of function)	1	6	-	7	7	7	
3d modeling (masses + site)	1	6	-	7	7	7	
Concept development till final approval	1	6	-	7	7	7	
 Drawing layout by using glass box +4 elevations 	1	6	-	7	7	7	
Mid-Term Exam	1	6	-	7	7	7	
Drawing final layout (to scale)	1	6	-	7	7	7	
Drawing Ground floor plan	1	6	-	7	7	7	
Final plans	1	6	-	7	7	7	

Final elevations	1	6	-	7	7	7	
Drawing 2 sections	1	6	1	7	7	7	
Final sections	1	6	1	7	7	7	
Drawing final skis (pre-complete project)	1	6	1	7	7	7	
 Representing final project & Jury. 	1	6	-	7	7	7	
Total hours	15	90		105	105	105	

• Topics taught as a percentage of the content specified:

>90 % 70-90 % <70%

- Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic: This semester is only 13 weeks because of Covid-19
- If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail: Non

Achieved program intended learning outcomes, ILO's:

	<u> </u>		
A4,A13,A14,A22 ,A24	B2,B3,B13	C3,C4,C13,C17	D3,D7

2- Teaching and learning methods:

Lectures: Lecture, discussions,

Class activity Assessments
Case Study: Research

Other assignments/homework: Bi-weekly assignments and reports

Classical lecturing using the white board and data show, General criticism & presentations

If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, give reasons:

3- Student assessment:

Method of assessment	Points	%
Written examination	40	40
Project	20	20
Practical/laboratory work	Non	0
Other assignments/class work	20	20
Mid-Term Exam	20	20
Total	100	100

Members of examination committee: Prof.Dr. Ibrahim gouda

Role of external evaluator: Non

4- Facilities and teaching materials:

Totally adequate	Yes
Adequate to some extent	•
Inadequate	•

List any inadequacies: Non

5- Administrative constraints (List any difficulties encountered)

Nor

6- Student evaluation of the course:

Course	
Lecturer	
Assistant	
Book	
Assessment	
Laboratory	

Comments

	List any criticisms	Response of course team
(a)		
(b)		

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

	Comment	Response of course team
(a)	Non	

8- Written Exam Evaluation

- ➤ The exam header agrees with the MAM standard form
- > The written exam covers 69% of the course ILO's in a balanced form.
- > The exam considers the course aims listed in the course specification.
- > The exam level is acceptable, considering the percentage of success.
- > The exam addresses the fundamentals of the automatic control.
- The too low level of points of question 4 imposes the need to revise the teaching and learning methods of the ILO's covered by quest 4 together with the relevant lab work.

9- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan. State whether or not completed and give reasons for any non-completion:

Actions required		Planned Completion date	Accomplishment		
	(b) Non				

10- Action plan

Actions required	Completion date	Person responsible
1.		
2.		

Course coordinator: Prof.Dr. Ibrahim gouda

Signature:

Date: September 2021

A- Basic Information

1- Course Code & Title: ARC 213 BUILDING TECHNOLOGY

2- Relevant program/s: Architecture Engineering and Building Technology

3- Year/Level of program: Sophomore -Level 2 - 3rd Semester

4- Credit hours

Credit 2 hrs Lectures 2 hrs Tutorial - hrs Practical - hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course:

Dr. Khaled Hesham

6- Course coordinator: Dr. Khaled Hesham

7- External evaluator: Non

B- Statistical Information

1- No. of students attending the course:
No. of students completing the course:
No. 85
81.37
%

3- Final Results

Semester/Grade	Α	В	С	D	F	Total
Fall	4	11	29	41	19	104
Spring	0		0	0		0
Summer	0		0	0		0
Sum	4	11	29	41		104
Percentage						

- **C- Professional Information**
- 1. Contents

Week	Tonio	Lecture	Tutorial	Drastical	Tatal	Actual		
week	Торіс		Tutoriai	Practical	Total	Fall	Spr.	Sum.
1	Introduction to building Technology	2	0	0	2	2		
2	 Construction Equipment (classifications & types). 		0	0	2	2		
3	 Construction Equipments(site,transportation&concrete equipments) 		0	0	2	2		
4	Construction methods (traditional methods)		0	0	2	2		
5	Construction methods (new construction methods)1		0	0	2	2		
6	 Construction methods (new construction methods)2 	2	0	0	2	2		

VA/ I -	Toute	14	T4	 Practical	Tatal	Actual		
Week	Торіс	Lecture	Tutoriai	Practical	Total	Fall	Spr.	Sum.
7	MT Exam	2	0	0	2	2		
8	 Construction methods (new construction methods)3 	2	0	0	2	2		
9	Construction methods (new construction methods)4	2	0	0	2	2		
10	Future building technology &expected development in construction systems		0	0	2	2		
11	Prefabricated buildings.	2	0	0	2	2		
12	Modules of Prefabricated buildings.	2	0	0	2	2		
13	Structural units of Prefabricated buildings	2	0	0	2	2		
14	Prefabrication industry & construction future in Egypt		0	0	2	2		
15			0	0	2	2		
	Total hours	30	0	0	30	30		

Topics taught as a percentage of the content specified:

<70% >90 % 70-90 %

Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic: Non

If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail: Non

Achieved program intended learning outcomes, ILO's:

l U	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
A1, A5, A24	B4, B5,	C1, C2,C23, C25	D1, D3, D4,D5,D6, D7
	B13,B17,B23		

2- Teaching and learning methods:

Classical lecturing using the white board and data show, General criticism & presentations If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, give reasons: Non

3- Student assessment:

Method of assessment	Points	%
Written examination	70	70
Project	Non	0
Practical/laboratory work	Non	0
Other assignments/class work	20	20
Mid-Term Exam	10	10
Total	100	100

Members of examination committee: Dr. Khaled Hesham Role of external evaluator: Non

4- Facilities and teaching materials:

Totally adequate	Yes
Adequate to some extent	-

Inadequate	-

List any inadequacies: Non

5- Administrative constraints (List any difficulties encountered)

Non

6- Student evaluation of the course:

Questionnaire Results

Course	
Lecturer	
Assistant	
Book	
Assessment	
Laboratory	

Comments

	List any criticisms	Response of course team
(a)		
(b)		

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

	Comment	Response of course team
(a)	Non	

8- Written Exam Evaluation

- > The exam header agrees with the MAM standard form
- > The written exam covers 69% of the course ILO's in a balanced form.
- > The exam considers the course aims listed in the course specification.
- > The exam level is acceptable, considering the percentage of success.
- > The exam addresses the fundamentals of the automatic control.
- The too low level of points of question 4 imposes the need to revise the teaching and learning methods of the ILO's covered by quest 4 together with the relevant lab work.

9- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan. State whether or not completed and give reasons for any non-completion:

_			
	Actions required	Planned Completion date	Accomplishment
	(c) Non		

10- Action plan

Actions required	Completion date	Person responsible
3.		
4.		

Course coordinator: Dr. Khaled Hesham

Signature:

Date: September 2021

A- Basic Information

1- Course Code & Title: ARC 214 Computer Applications 1

2- Relevant program/s: Architecture Engineering and Building Technology

3- Year/Level of program: Sophomore -Level 2 - 3rd Semester

4- Credit hours

Credit 4 hrs Lectures 2 hrs Tutorial 3 hrs Practical 2 hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course:

Dr. Reham Mostafa

6- Course coordinator: Dr. Reham Mostafa

7- External evaluator: Non

B- Statistical Information

1- No. of students attending the course:
No. of students completing the course:
No. of students completing the course:
No. of students completing the course:

3- Final Results

Semester/Grade	Α	В	С	D	F	Total
Fall	4	7	9	11		31
Spring	0		0	0		0
Summer	3	2	0	0		0
Sum	7	9	9	11		36
Percentage						

C- Professional Information

1. Contents

Tonio	Lecture	Tutorial	Practical	Total	Actual		
Topic	hours	hours	hours	Total	Fall	Spr.	Sum.
 Introduction & Getting Started 	2	3	2	7	7	7	
 Drawing & Modifying Commands 	2	3	2	7	7	7	
 Drawing & Modifying Commands 	2	3	2	7	7	7	
 Layers Management 	2	3	2	7	7	7	
 Advanced Layers Management 	2	3	2	7	7	7	
 Revision 	2	3	2	7	7	7	
Mid Term Exam	2	3	2	7	7	7	
Hatch Techniques & Blocks	2	3	2	7	7	7	
Dimensions, Text & Project Introduction	2	3	2	7	7	7	
Photo editing / Xref / Attributes / Design Centre / Tool Palettes	2	3	2	7	7	7	

Plotting & Paper Space	2	3	2	7	7	7	
Advanced Commands & Project Correction	2	3	2	7	7	7	
 Revision & Makeup classes 	2	3	2	7	7	7	
Project submission	2	3	2	7	7	7	
Practical Exam	2	3	2	7	7	7	
Total hours	30	45	30	105	105	105	

• Topics taught as a percentage of the content specified:

>90 % 70-90 % <70%

- Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic: Non
- If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail: Non

• Achieved program intended learning outcomes, ILO's:

A2, A4, A8, A14, A15,A21	B1, B2, B3, B13	C5, C12, C13,	D1, D3, D6, D7
		C14, C24	

2- Teaching and learning methods:

Classical lecturing using the white board and data show, General criticism & presentations

If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, give reasons:

3- Student assessment:

Method of assessment	Points	%
Written examination	40	40
Project	10	10
Practical/laboratory work	20	20
Other assignments/class work	20	20
Mid-Term Exam	10	10
Total	100	100

Members of examination committee: Dr. Reham Mostafa

Role of external evaluator: Non

4- Facilities and teaching materials:

Totally adequate	Yes
Adequate to some extent	-
Inadequate	-

List any inadequacies: Non

5- Administrative constraints (List any difficulties encountered)

Non

6- Student evaluation of the course:

Questionnaire Results

Course	
Lecturer	
Assistant	
Book	

Assessment	
Laboratory	

Comments

	List any criticisms	Response of course team
(a)		
(b)		

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

	Comment	Response of course team
(a)	Non	

8- Written Exam Evaluation

- ➤ The exam header agrees with the MAM standard form
- ➤ The written exam covers 69% of the course ILO's in a balanced form.
- > The exam considers the course aims listed in the course specification.
- ➤ The exam level is acceptable, considering the percentage of success.
- > The exam addresses the fundamentals of the automatic control.
- ➤ The too low level of points of question 4 imposes the need to revise the teaching and learning methods of the ILO's covered by quest 4 together with the relevant lab work.

9- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan. State whether or not completed and give reasons for any non-completion:

Actions required		Planned Completion date	Accomplishment		
(d) N	lon				

10- Action plan

-	Actions required	Completion date	Person responsible
	5.		
	6.		

Course coordinator: Dr. Reham Mostafa

Signature:

Date: September 2021

A- Basic Information

1- Course Code & Title: ARC 220 Theories of Architecture - (1)

2- Relevant program/s: Architecture Engineering and Building Technology

3- Year/Level of program: Sophomore -Level 2 - 3rd Semester

4- Credit hours

Credit 2 hrs Lectures 2 hrs Tutorial - hrs Practical - hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course: Dr. Nermin Mater

6- Course coordinator: Dr. Nermin Mater

7- External evaluator: Non

B- Statistical Information

1- No. of students attending the course:
No. of students completing the course:
No. of students completing the course:
No. of students completing the course:

3- Final Results

Semester/Grade	Α	В	С	D	F	Total
Fall	1	11	17	28	17	74
Spring	0		0	0		0
Summer	0		0	0		0
Sum	1	11	17	28		74
Percentage						

C- Professional Information

1. Contents

Tonio	Lecture	Tutorial	Practical	Total		Actual	
Topic	hours	hours	hours	Total	Fall	Spr.	Sum.
 Introduction: about the relationship between architecture and theories of architecture. 	2	-	1	2	2		
 Architectural definitions and constrains 	2	-	-	2	2		
 Types and typologies of Buildings 	2	-	-	2	2		
Design Process :-Briefing -Analysis	2	-	-	2	2		
Design Process: synthesis	2	-	-	2	2		
Design Process: Design- Appraisal Evaluation Communications	2	-	-	2	2		
Mid Term Exam	2	-	-	2	2		

Architectural Spaces is the basic of design and forming:1:- Architectural	2			2	2	
Spaces	2	-	1	2	2	
 Architectural Spaces forming:2:- Buildings and spaces elements 	2	1	1	2	2	
 Architectural Spaces forming: :circulation, vertical, horizontal 	2	-	-	2	2	
 Architectural Forming: Shape- Color- Texture 	2	-	-	2	2	
• The Principles of Architectural Forming Process:-	2	-	-	2	2	
• Introduction about Architectural Theories: (Functionalism) , (Organism)	2	1	1	2	2	
 Researches Discussion 	2	-	-	2	2	
Researches Discussion	2	-	-	2	0	
Total hours	30	-		30	28	

• Topics taught as a percentage of the content specified:

>90 % 70-90 % <70%

Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic: Non

• If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail: Non

Achieved program intended learning outcomes, ILO's:

	3			
A1,A4,A11,A12,A14 ,A16	B3,B9,B12,B20,	C1,C2,C13	D1,D2,D3,D7	
,A18.A19, A23				

2- Teaching and learning methods:

Classical lecturing using the white board and data show, General criticism & presentations

If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, give reasons:

3- Student assessment:

Method of assessment	Points	%
Written examination	70	70
Project	10	10
Practical/laboratory work	Non	0
Other assignments/class work	10	10
Mid-Term Exam	10	10
Total	100	100

Members of examination committee: Dr. Nermin Mater

Role of external evaluator: Non

4- Facilities and teaching materials:

Totally adequate	Yes
Adequate to some extent	-
Inadequate	-

List any inadequacies: Non

5- Administrative constraints (List any difficulties encountered)

6- Student evaluation of the course:

Questionnaire Results

Course	
Lecturer	
Assistant	
Book	
Assessment	
Laboratory	

	List any criticisms	Response of course team
(a)		
(b)		

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

	Comment	Response of course team
(a)	Non	

8- Written Exam Evaluation

- ➤ The exam header agrees with the MAM standard form
- ➤ The written exam covers 69% of the course ILO's in a balanced form.
- > The exam considers the course aims listed in the course specification.
- > The exam level is acceptable, considering the percentage of success.
- > The exam addresses the fundamentals of the automatic control.
- > The too low level of points of question 4 imposes the need to revise the teaching and learning methods of the ILO's covered by quest 4 together with the relevant lab work.

9- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan. State whether or not completed and give reasons for any non-completion:

Actions required	Planned Completion date	Accomplishment
(e) Book update	Oct. 2021	

10- Action plan

P		
Actions required	Completion date	Person responsible
none		

Course coordinator: Dr. Nermin Mater

Signature:

Date: September 2021

A- Basic Information

1- Course Code & Title: ARC 215 Properties & Resistance of Materials

2- Relevant program/s: Architecture Engineering and Building Technology

3- Year/Level of program: Sophomore -Level 2 – 3rd Semester

4- Credit hours

Credit 2 hrs Lectures 1 hrs Tutorial 3 hrs Practical - hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course: Dr. Adham El-Alfy

6- Course coordinator: Dr. Adham El-Alfy

7- External evaluator: Non

B- Statistical Information

1- No. of students attending the course:
No. 41 100 %
2- No. of students completing the course:
No. 41 100 %

3- Final Results

Semester/Grade	A	В	С	D	F	Total
Fall	16	14	10	1		41
Spring	4	1	1	0		6
Summer	0	0	0	0		0
Sum	20	15	11	1		47
Percentage						

C- Professional Information

1. Contents

Торіс		Tutorial hours	Practical hours
Types of structures. Types of loads and supports.	2	3	-
Resultant of loads. Reactions.	2	3	-
Simple and compound beams.	2	3	-
Concentrated loads and moments.	2	3	-
Equilibrium and stability in planner statically determined structures.	2	3	-
Trussed beams.	2	3	-
Mid Term Exam	2	3	-
 Internal forces definition / Simple frames, frames with link members, and closed frames 	2	3	-
Internal forces in beams, frames, and arches.	2	3	-
Trusses; definition, method of joints and method of sections.	2	3	-
Stability conditions.	2	3	-
Uniform and triangular loads.	2	3	-
Normal stresses	2	3	-

Modern Academy for Engineering & Technology

Architectural Engineering & Building Technology Department

2020-2021

Shear stresses	2	3	-
Combined stresses	2	3	-
Total hours	30	45	-
Topics taught as a percentage of the content specified:	>90 %	70-90 %	<70%

Topics taught as a percentage of the content specified:

Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic: Non

- If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail: Non
- Achieved program intended learning outcomes, ILO's:

7 torno roa program ma	onaca icaning catecines, in	<i>-</i> 0.					
A1, A3, A4, A15 B3,B5,B6,B13,B17,B18 C2,C10,C15,C21,C22,C23 D1,D3,D5							
2- Teaching and learning m	nethods:						
Classical lecturing using th	ne white board and data sl	how, General d	criticism & pres	entations			
If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, give reasons:							
3- Student assessment:							
Method of assessment		Points	%				
Written examination	70	70					
Project		Non	0				
Practical/laboratory work		Non	0				
Other assignments/class wor	rk	20	20				

Members of examination committee:	Dr. Adh	am El-Alfy	
Role of external evaluator:	Non		
4- Facilities and teaching materials:	•		
		Totally adequate	Yes
		Adequate to some extent	-
		Inadequate	-
List any inadequacies: Non			

10

100

10

5- Administrative constraints (List any difficulties encountered)

6- Student evaluation of the course:

Questionnaire Results

tioillano itooaito	
Course	
Lecturer	
Assistant	
Book	
Assessment	
Laboratory	

Comments

Mid-Term Exam

Total

	List any criticisms	Response of course team
(a)		
(b)		

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

Comment	Response of course team
---------	-------------------------

1.	,)	Non	
1 (0	1)	NON	

8- Written Exam Evaluation

- ➤ The exam header agrees with the MAM standard form
- The written exam covers 69% of the course ILO's in a balanced form.
- > The exam considers the course aims listed in the course specification.
- > The exam level is acceptable, considering the percentage of success.
- > The exam addresses the fundamentals of the automatic control.
- The too low level of points of question 4 imposes the need to revise the teaching and learning methods of the ILO's covered by quest 4 together with the relevant lab work.

9- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan. State whether or not completed and give reasons for any non-completion:

	Actions required	Planned Completion date	Accomplishment
(f)	Non		

10- Action plan

Actions required	Completion date	Person responsible
7.		
8.		

Course coordinator: Dr. Adham El-Alfy

Signature:

Date: September 2021

A- Basic Information

1- Course Code & Title: (ARC 223) Visual Training (1)

2- Program(s) on which this course is given:

Architecture Engineering and Building Technology Program

3- Year/Level of program: Third Semester (Level 2)

4- Credit hours

Credit: 2 hrs. Lectures: 1 hrs. Tutorial: 3 hrs. Total 4hrs
5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course: Prof. Dr. Mona El-Basyoni

6- Course coordinator: Prof. Dr. Mona El-Basyoni

7- External evaluator: None

B- Statistical Information

1- No. of students attending the course:

2- No. of students completing the course:

No.

No.

59	100	%
52	88.1	%

3- Final Results

Semester/Grade	Α	В	С	D	F	Total
Fall	11.86	13.59	49.14	13.55	11.86	100
Spring	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Summer	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Sum	11.86	13.55	49.14	13.55	11.86	100
Percentage	11.86	13.55	49.14	13.55	11.86	100

C- Professional Information

1 – Course Teaching Hours

Week	Taria	Lastura T	Tutowiel	Drastical	al Drastical	Described Total	Total		Actual	
Week Topic		Lecture	Tutorial	Practical	Total	Fall	Spr.	Sum.		
1	Thickness of lines using pencil.	1	3	0	4	4				
2	Texture of different materials using pencil	1	3	0	4	4				

Wast	Topic	Lecture Tutorial	Totadal	Donathad	T ()	Actual		
Week		Lecture	Tutorial	Practical	Total	Fall	Spr.	Sum.
3	Copying a drawing with different scale.	1	3	0	4	4		
4	Different techniques for sketching.	1	3	0	4	4		
5	Sketching 2D drawings/ Presentation for different architectural drawings.	1	3	0	4	4		
6	Techniques for sketching 3D drawings	1	3	0	4	4		
7	MT Exam	-	-	-	-	-		
8	Rules for freehand perspective.	1	3	0	4	4		
9	Techniques for sketching 3D drawings.	1	3	0	4	4		
10	Sketching 3D drawings from nature.	1	3	0	4	4		
11	Shade and shadows in 3D drawings	1	3	0	4	4		
12	Shade and shadows in 3D drawings	1	3	0	4	4		
	Total hours	11	33	0	44	44		

Topics taught as a percentage of the content specified:

90 %

• Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic:

Corona pandemic, the term was reduced to 12 weeks

• If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail:

None

Achieved program intended learning outcomes, ILO's:

A13, A20, B4, B13, B14, C13, C17, C18, D1, D3, D8

2- Teaching and learning methods:

Lectures: Lecture, tutorials, General criticism & presentations

Class activity sketching

Case Study: Free architecture sketching
Other Bi-weekly assignments

assignments/homework:

If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, give reasons: None

3- Student Assessment Methods

Method of assessment	Points	%
Written examination (drawing)	40	40
Quizzes	None	0
Practical/laboratory work	None	0
Mini Project	10	10
Periodical Sketches	20	20
Other assignments/class work	20	20

Mid-Term Exam	10	10
Total	100	100

Members of examination committee:

Prof. Dr. Mona El-Basyoni

Role of external evaluator:

None

4- Facilities and teaching materials:

Totally adequate	Yes
Adequate to some extent	-
Inadequate	-

List any inadequacies:

None

5- Administrative constraints (List any difficulties encountered)

None

6- Student evaluation of the course:

Questionnaire Results

Course	0
Lecturer	0
Assistant	0
Book	0
Assessment	0
Laboratory	0

	List any criticisms	Response of course team
(a)	None	None

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

	Comment	Response of course team
(a)	None	None

8- Written Exam Evaluation

- > The exam header agrees with the MAM standard form
- ➤ The written exam covers 69% of the course ILO's in a balanced form.
- > The exam considers the course aims listed in the course specification.
- > The exam level is acceptable, considering the percentage of success.
- > The exam addresses the fundamentals of the automatic control.

The too low level of points of question 4 imposes the need to revise the teaching and learning methods of the ILO's covered by quest 4 together with the relevant lab work.

9- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan. State whether or not completed and give reasor for any non-completion:

Actions required	Planned Completion date	Accomplishment
None		

10- Action plan for academic year 2021 - 2022

Actions required	Completion date	Person responsible
None		

Course coordinator: Prof. Dr. Mona El-Basyoni

Signature:

Date: September 21

A- Basic Information

1- Course Code & Title: ARC 212 Architectural Construction 2

2- Relevant program/s: Architecture Engineering and Building Technology

3- Year/Level of program: Sophomore -Level 2 – 4th Semester

4- Credit hours

Credit 3 hrs Lectures 2 hrs Tutorial 3 hrs Practical - hrs **5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course**: Prof. Dr. Ibrahim gouda

6- Course coordinator: Prof. Dr. Ibrahim gouda

7- External evaluator: Non

B- Statistical Information

1- No. of students attending the course:
No. of students completing the course:
No. of students completing the course:
No. of students completing the course:

3- Final Results

Semester/Grade	Α	В	С	D	F	Total
Fall	1	0	2	4	16	23
Spring	2	2	6	5	5	20
Summer	0	0	2	7	5	14
Sum	3	2	10	25	21	61
Percentage						

C- Professional Information

1. Contents

Tonio	Lecture	Tutorial	Practical	Total	Actual		
Topic	hours	hours	hours	TOLAI	Fall	Spr.	Sum.
 Introduction & Elements of Building. 	2	3	-	5	5		
 Sequence of Building Construction. 	2	3	-	5	5		
 Construction Systems: Bearing walls. 	2	3	-	5	5		
Construction Systems: Skeleton Construction.	2	3	-	5	5		
Foundations: Surface foundations.	2	3	-	5	5		
Foundations: Deep foundations.	2	3	-	5	5		
Mid Term Exam (M. T1).	2	3	-	5	5		
Brick walls: Types of brick & mortar	2	3	-	5	5		
Brick wall bonding: English Bond & Flemish Bond.	2	3	-	5	5		
Masonry walls: Classifications of stones – walling philosophy.	2	3	-	5	5		

Modern Academy for Engineering & Technology

Architectural Engineering & Building Technology Department

2020-2021

Masonry walls: Sills – Cornices – Copings.	2	3	-	5	5	
Roof Structures: Linear structural elements – Surface resistant.	2	3	1	5	5	
R.C. floors &steel floors: Sections and details.	2	3	-	5	5	
Revision	2	3	-	5	5	
Revision	2	3	-	5	5	
Total hours	30	45	-	75	75	

• Topics taught as a percentage of the content specified:

>90 % 70-90 % <70%

- Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic: Non
- If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail: Non
- Achieved program intended learning outcomes, ILO's:

A3, A4, A24	B2,B5,B11, B12,	C2, C3, C12, C14,	D1, D2, D3, D6, D7,D8
70, 74, 724			01, 02, 03, 00, 07,00
	B14 , B22	C23, C24,C25	

2- Teaching and learning methods:

Classical lecturing using the white board and data show, General criticism & presentations

If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, give reasons:

3- Student assessment:

Method of assessment	Points	%
Written examination	40	40
Drawing sheets	40	40
Oral examination	5	5
Other assignments/class work	5	5
Mid-Term Exam	10	10
Total	100	100

Members of examination committee: Prof. Dr. Ibrahim gouda

Role of external evaluator: Non

4- Facilities and teaching materials:

Totally adequate	Yes	
Adequate to some extent	-	
Inadequate	-	

List any inadequacies: Non

5- Administrative constraints (List any difficulties encountered) Non

6- Student evaluation of the course:

Questionnaire Results

Course				
Lecturer				

Assistant	
Book	
Assessment	
Laboratory	

	List any criticisms	Response of course team
(a)		
(b)		

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

	Comment	Response of course team
(a)	Non	

8- Written Exam Evaluation

- > The exam header agrees with the MAM standard form
- ➤ The written exam covers 69% of the course ILO's in a balanced form.
- > The exam considers the course aims listed in the course specification.
- > The exam level is acceptable, considering the percentage of success.
- > The exam addresses the fundamentals of the automatic control.
- The too low level of points of question 4 imposes the need to revise the teaching and learning methods of the ILO's covered by quest 4 together with the relevant lab work.

9- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan. State whether or not completed and give reasons for any non-completion:

	or any non-completion									
Actions required		Planned Completion date	Accomplishment							
(a)	Non									

10- Action plan

71411								
Actions required	Completion date	Person responsible						
9.								
10.								

Course coordinator: Prof. Dr. Ibrahim gouda

Signature:

Date: September 2021

A- Basic Information

1- Course Code & Title: ARC 222 Architectural Design 2

2- Relevant program/s: Architecture Engineering and Building Technology

3- Year/Level of program: Sophomore -Level 2 – 4th Semester

4- Credit hours

Credit 3 hrs Lectures 1 hrs Tutorial 6 hrs Practical - hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course: Prof. Dr. Ibrahim gouda

6- Course coordinator: Prof. Dr. Ibrahim gouda

7- External evaluator: Non

B- Statistical Information

1- No. of students attending the course:
No. of students completing the course:
No. of students completing the course:
No. of students completing the course:

3- Final Results

Semester/Grade	A	В	С	D	F	Total
Fall	0	2	5	6	4	17
Spring	0	2	7	4	7	20
Summer	2	5	6	10		14
Sum	2	9	18	20	11	51
Percentage						

C- Professional Information

1. Contents

Topic	Lecture hours	Tutorial hours	Practical hours	Total	Actual		
					Fall	Spr.	Sum.
Choosing one project from 5 general projects	1	6	•	7	7	7	
 Analysis of program elements 	1	6	-	7	7	7	
 Research on the chosen project 	1	6	-	7	7	7	
Zoning (bubble diagram , matrix of functions	1	6	-	7	7	7	
3D modeling (masses , site) , skis	1	6	-	7	7	7	
Concept development , skis	1	6	-	7	7	7	
Mid Term Exam	1	6	-	7	7	7	
Final plans	1	6	-	7	7	7	
Final sections	1	6	-	7	7	7	
Final elevations	1	6	-	7	7	7	
3D perspectives	1	6	-	7	7	7	

 Development project till final approval 6 7 7 Representing project by digital media 1 7 7 7 6 or manual method Representing project by digital media 1 6 7 7 7 or manual method 1 6 7 7 Representing final project , jury **Total hours** 15 90 105 105 105

• Topics taught as a percentage of the content specified:

>90 % 70-90 % <70%

- Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic: Non
- If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail: Non

• Achieved program intended learning outcomes, ILO's:

A4,A13,A14, A22, A24 b1 to b5 B2, B3, B13 C3, C4,C13,C17 D3, D7

2- Teaching and learning methods:

Classical lecturing using the white board and data show, General criticism & presentations

If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, give reasons:

3- Student assessment:

Method of assessment	Points	%
Written examination	40	40
Project	20	20
Practical/laboratory work	Non	0
Other assignments/class work	20	20
Mid-Term Exam	20	20
Total	100	100

Members of examination committee: Prof. Dr. Ibrahim gouda

Role of external evaluator:

4- Facilities and teaching materials:

Totally adequate	Yes
Adequate to some extent	-
Inadequate	-

List any inadequacies: Non

5- Administrative constraints (List any difficulties encountered)

6- Student evaluation of the course:

Questionnaire Results

Course	
Lecturer	
Assistant	
Book	
Assessment	

Laboratory

Comments

	List any criticisms	sms Response of course team	
(a)			
(b)			

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

	Comment	Response of course team
(a)	Non	

8- Written Exam Evaluation

- ➤ The exam header agrees with the MAM standard form
- ➤ The written exam covers 69% of the course ILO's in a balanced form.
- > The exam considers the course aims listed in the course specification.
- ➤ The exam level is acceptable, considering the percentage of success.
- > The exam addresses the fundamentals of the automatic control.
- > The too low level of points of question 4 imposes the need to revise the teaching and learning methods of the ILO's covered by quest 4 together with the relevant lab work.

9- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan. State whether or not completed and give reasons for any non-completion:

Actions required	Planned Completion date	Accomplishment		
(h) Non				

10- Action plan

Actions required	Completion date	Person responsible
11.		
12.		

Course coordinator: Prof. Dr. Ibrahim gouda

Signature:

Date: September 2021

A- Basic Information

1- Course Code & Title: ARC 241 History of Architecture(1)

2- Relevant program/s: Architecture Engineering and Building Technology

3- Year/Level of program: Sophomore -Level 2 – 4th Semester

4- Credit hours

Credit 2 hrs Lectures 2 hrs Tutorial - hrs Practical - hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course: Dr. Hesham Yahia

6- Course coordinator: Dr. Hesham Yahia

7- External evaluator: Non

B- Statistical Information

1- No. of students attending the course:
No. of students completing the course:
No. description
No. descriptio

3- Final Results

Semester/Grade	A	В	С	D	F	Total
Fall			1			1
Spring	5	5	15		6	31
Summer	1	2	0	0		3
Sum	6	7	16	0		35
Percentage						

C- Professional Information

1. Contents

1. Odlitelito		1					
Topic		Practical	Total	Actual			
_	hours	hours	hours		Fall	Spr.	Sum.
 Introduction : about history of architecture <u>Prehistoric</u> architecture: <u>Ancient Egyptian</u> 	2	-	1	2	2		
• The pharaonic Character and Features	2	1	1	2	2		
 The Architectural Buildings(Tombs) 	2	-	-	2	2		
The Architectural Buildings (Temples)	2	-	-	2	2		
The Architectural Buildings(Temples)	2	-	-	2	2		
The Hellenistic Architecture:	2	-	-	2	2		
Mid Term Exam	2	-	-	2	2		
Greek Architecture: Character and Features	2	-	-	2	2		

The Greek Columns ,Temples, Buildings	2	-	-	2	2	
• <u>The Roman Architecture:</u> Features - Columns-temples	2	1	1	2	2	
Buildings (theater-Amphitheater	2	-	-	2	2	
Seminars	2	-	-	2	2	
Researches Discussion	2	-	-	2	2	
Researches Discussion	2	-	-	2	2	
 Revision 	2	-	-	2	2	
Total hours	30	-	•	30	30	

• Topics taught as a percentage of the content specified:

>90 % 70-90 % <70%

- Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic: Non
- If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail: Non

• Achieved program intended learning outcomes, ILO's:

A17,A19	B4, B20,B21	C18,C21,C22	D1,D2,D3,D4

2- Teaching and learning methods:

Classical lecturing using the white board and data show, General criticism & presentations

If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, give reasons:

3- Student assessment:

Method of assessment	Points	%
Written examination	70	70
Project	10	10
Practical/laboratory work	Non	0
Other assignments/class work	10	10
Mid-Term Exam	10	10
Total	100	100

Members of examination committee: Dr. Hesham Yahia

Role of external evaluator: Non

4- Facilities and teaching materials:

Totally adequate	Yes
Adequate to some extent	-
Inadequate	-

List any inadequacies: Non

5- Administrative constraints (List any difficulties encountered) Non

6- Student evaluation of the course:

Questionnaire Results

Course	
Lecturer	

Assistant	
Book	
Assessment	
Laboratory	

	List any criticisms	Response of course team		
(a)				
(b)				
	Comment		Response of course team	
(a)	Non			

8- Written Exam Evaluation

- ➤ The exam header agrees with the MAM standard form
- > The written exam covers 69% of the course ILO's in a balanced form.
- > The exam considers the course aims listed in the course specification.
- > The exam level is acceptable, considering the percentage of success.
- > The exam addresses the fundamentals of the automatic control.
- ➤ The too low level of points of question 4 imposes the need to revise the teaching and learning methods of the ILO's covered by quest 4 together with the relevant lab work.

9- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan. State whether or not completed and give reasons for any non-completion:

Actions required		Planned Completion date	Accomplishment	
(i)	Non			

10- Action plan

Actions required	Completion date	Person responsible
1.		
2.		

Course coordinator: Dr. Hesham Yahia

Signature:

Date: September 2021

A- Basic Information

1- Course Code & Title: ARC 216 Surveying

2- Relevant program/s: Architecture Engineering and Building Technology

3- Year/Level of program: - Sophomore -Level 2 – 4th Semester

4- Credit hours

Credit 2 hrs Lectures 1 hrs Tutorial 1 hrs Practical 2 hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course:

Dr. Mohamed El Masry

6- Course coordinator: Dr. Mohamed El Masry

7- External evaluator: Non

B- Statistical Information

1- No. of students attending the course:
No. 54 100 %
2- No. of students completing the course:
No. 52 96.3 %

3- Final Results

Semester/Grade	Α	В	С	D	F	Total
Fall	0	0	0	0		0
Spring	16	17	13	6	2	54
Summer	0	0	0	0		0
Sum	16	17	13	6		54
Percentage						

C- Professional Information

1. Contents

Topic	Lecture hours	Tutorial hours	Practical hours
Definition of surveying.	1	1	2
Types of measurements.	1	1	2
Measurement errors.	1	1	2
Linear measurements.	1	1	2
Taping.	1	1	2
Distance corrections.	1	1	2
Mid-Term Exam	1	1	2
Leveling./ Types of Levels.	1	1	2
Profile and cross-sectional leveling.	1	1	2
Area computations	1	1	2
Angle measurements and Theodolites	1	1	2
Traverse surveys and computations	1	1	2
Contour Maps / Cut and Fill	1	1	2
Topographic surveying	1	1	2

Modern Academy for Engineering & Technology

Architectural Engineering & Building Technology Department

2020-2021

Practical exam	1	1	2
Total hours	15	15	30

• Topics taught as a percentage of the content specified:

>90 % 70-90 % <70%

• Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic: Non

• If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail: Non

• Achieved program intended learning outcomes, ILO's:

A4, A8, A14, A24 B2, B9, B18, B22 C1, C6, C15,C16 D3, D5, D6

2- Teaching and learning methods:

Classical lecturing using the white board and data show, General criticism & presentations

If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, give reasons:

Non

3- Student assessment:

Method of assessment	Points	%
Written examination	60	60
Project	Non	0
Practical/laboratory work	20	20
Other assignments/class work	10	10
Mid-Term Exam	10	10
Total	100	100

Members of examination committee: Dr. Mohamed El Masry

Role of external evaluator:

4- Facilities and teaching materials:

Totally adequate	Yes
Adequate to some extent	-
Inadequate	-

List any inadequacies: Non

5- Administrative constraints (List any difficulties encountered)

Non

6- Student evaluation of the course:

Questionnaire Results

Course	
Lecturer	
Assistant	
Book	
Assessment	
Laboratory	

Comments

	List any criticisms	Response of course team
(a)		
(b)		

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

	Comment	Response of course team
(a)	Non	

8- Written Exam Evaluation

- ➤ The exam header agrees with the MAM standard form
- ➤ The written exam covers 69% of the course ILO's in a balanced form.
- > The exam considers the course aims listed in the course specification.
- > The exam level is acceptable, considering the percentage of success.
- > The exam addresses the fundamentals of the automatic control.
- The too low level of points of question 4 imposes the need to revise the teaching and learning methods of the ILO's covered by quest 4 together with the relevant lab work.

9- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan. State whether or not completed and give reasons for any non-completion:

Actions required		Planned Completion date	Accomplishment		
(i)	Non				

10- Action plan

Actions required	Completion date	Person responsible		
1.				
2.				

Course coordinator: Dr. Mohamed El Masry

Signature:

Date: September 2021

A- Basic Information

1- Course Code & Title: ARC 217 Theory of Structures

2- Relevant program/s: Architecture Engineering and Building Technology

3- Year/Level of program: Sophomore -Level 2 – 4th Semester

4- Credit hours

Credit 2 hrs Lectures 1 hrs Tutorial 3 hrs Practical - hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course: Dr. Tamer Seleem

6- Course coordinator: Dr. Tamer Seleem

7- External evaluator: Non

B- Statistical Information

1- No. of students attending the course:
No. of students completing the course:
No. of students completing the course:
No. of students completing the course:

3- Final Results

Semester/Grade	Α	В	С	D	F	Total
Fall	0	0	0	0		0
Spring	5	6	3	12	8	34
Summer	0		0	0		0
Sum	5	6	3	12		34
Percentage						

C- Professional Information

1. Contents

Торіс	Lecture hours	Tutorial hours	Practical hours
Types of structures. Types of loads and supports.	1	3	-
Resultant of loads. Reactions.	1	3	-
Simple and compound beams.	1	3	-
Concentrated loads and moments.	1	3	-
Equilibrium and stability in planner statically determined structures. s	1	3	-
Trussed beams.	1	3	-
Mid-Term Exam	1	3	-
Simple frames, frames with link members, and closed frames.	1	3	-
 Internal forces in beams, frames, and arches. + Internal forces definition. 	1	3	-
Trusses; definition, method of joints and method of sections.	1	3	-
Stability conditions.	1	3	-
Uniform and triangular loads.	1	3	-

Modern Academy for Engineering & Technology

2020-2021

Architectural Engineering & Building Technology Department

Normal stresses	1	3	-
Shear stresses	1	3	-
Combined stresses	1	3	-
Total hours	15	45	-
Topics taught as a percentage of the content specified:	>90 %	70_Q0 %	<70%

Topics taught as a percentage of the content specified:

Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic: Non

• If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail: Non

• Achieved program intended learning outcomes, ILO's:

A1,A4,A5,A8,A14 B2,B3,B4,B5,B11,B13 C1,C2,C3,C7, C24 D6,D7

2- Teaching and learning methods:

Classical lecturing using the white board and data show, General criticism & presentations

If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, give reasons: Non

3- Student assessment:

Method of assessment	Points	%
Written examination	70	70
Project	Non	0
Practical/laboratory work	Non	0
Other assignments/class work	20	20
Mid-Term Exam	10	10
Total	100	100

Members of examination committee: Dr. Tamer Seleem

Role of external evaluator: Non

4- Facilities and teaching materials:

Totally adequate	Yes
Adequate to some extent	-
Inadequate	-

List any inadequacies: Non

5- Administrative constraints (List any difficulties encountered)

Non

6- Student evaluation of the course:

Questionnaire Results

Course	
Lecturer	
Assistant	
Book	
Assessment	
Laboratory	

Comments

	List any criticisms	Response of course team	Ī
(a)			1

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

	Comment	Response of course team
(a)	Non	

8- Written Exam Evaluation

- > The exam header agrees with the MAM standard form
- > The written exam covers 69% of the course ILO's in a balanced form.
- > The exam considers the course aims listed in the course specification.
- > The exam level is acceptable, considering the percentage of success.
- > The exam addresses the fundamentals of the automatic control.
- > The too low level of points of question 4 imposes the need to revise the teaching and learning methods of the ILO's covered by quest 4 together with the relevant lab work.

9- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan. State whether or not completed and give reasons for any non-completion:

Actions required	Planned Completion date	Accomplishment			
(k) Non					

10- Action plan

Actions required	Completion date	Person responsible
3.		
4.		

Course coordinator: Dr. Tamer Seleem

Signature:

Date: September 2021

%

Annual Course Report Academic year 2020-2021

A- Basic Information

1- Course Code & Title: (ARC 218) Sciagraphy and Perspective

2- Program(s) on which this course is given:

Architecture Engineering and Building Technology Program

3- Year/Level of program: Fourth Semester (Level 2)

4- Credit hours

Credit: 3 hrs. **Lectures:** 2 hrs. **Tutorial:** 4 hrs. **Total** 6hrs. **5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course:** Prof. Dr. Mona El-Basyoni

6- Course coordinator: Prof. Dr. Mona El-Basyoni

7- External evaluator: None

B- Statistical Information

4- No. of students attending the course: No. 67 100

5- No. of students completing the course: No. 62 92.54 %

6- Final Results

Semester/Grade	Α	В	С	D	F	Total
Fall	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Spring	31	11	12	8	5	67
Summer	20.0	15.0	4	2	1.0	42.0
Sum	51	26	16	10	6	109
Percentage						100

C- Professional Information

1 – Course Teaching Hours

Week	Торіс	Lecture	Tutorial	Practical	Total	Actual		
						Fall	Spr.	Sum.
1	Introduction to shades and shadows, Shade of points and lines.	2	4	0	6	-	6	-

M/ 1	- .		-	5 ()	T ()		Actual	
Week	Topic	Lecture	Tutorial	Practical	Total	Fall	Spr.	Sum.
2	Shades of plains and surfaces	2	4	0	6	-	6	-
3	Shades of plains and surfaces	2	4	0	6	-	6	-
4	Shades of circles	2	4	0	6	-	6	-
5	Shades and shadows of objects and masses (prisms)	2	4	0	6	1	6	ı
6	Shades and shadows of objects and masses (cone and cylinder)	2	4	0	6	1	6	ı
7	MT Exam	ı	-	-	1	1		
8	Architectural applications	2	4	0	6	1	6	1
9	One vanishing point perspective	2	4	0	6	-	6	-
10	Interior perspective	2	4	0	6	-	6	-
11	Two vanishing points perspective	2	4	0	6	-	6	1
12	Applications on two vanishing points perspective	2	4	0	6	-	6	-
	Total hours	22	44	0	66	•	66	

Topics taught as a percentage of the content specified:

90 %

Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic:

Corona pandemic, the term was reduced to 12 weeks

If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail:

None

• Achieved program intended learning outcomes, ILO's:

A4, A20, A13, B4, B14, C13, C18, C12, D3, D8

2- Teaching and learning methods:

Lectures: Lecture, tutorials, General criticism & presentations

Class activity sketching

Case Study:architectural sketchingOtherBi-weekly assignments

assignments/homework:

If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, give reasons: None

3- Student Assessment Methods

Method of assessment	Points	%
Written examination (drawing)	40	40
Quizzes	None	0
Practical/laboratory work	None	0
Project	0	0
Periodical Sketches	30	30

Other assignments/class work	20	20
Mid-Term Exam	10	10
Total	100	100

Members of examination committee:

Prof. Dr. Mona El-Basyoni

Role of external evaluator:

None

4- Facilities and teaching materials:

Totally adequate	Yes
Adequate to some extent	-
Inadequate	-

List any inadequacies:

None

5- Administrative constraints (List any difficulties encountered)

None

6- Student evaluation of the course:

Questionnaire Results

Course	0
Lecturer	0
Assistant	0
Book	0
Assessment	0
Laboratory	0

	List any criticisms	Response of course team
(a)	None	None

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

	Comment	Response of course team		
(a)	None	None		

8- Written Exam Evaluation

- > The exam header agrees with the MAM standard form
- > The written exam covers 69% of the course ILO's in a balanced form.
- > The exam considers the course aims listed in the course specification.
- > The exam level is acceptable, considering the percentage of success.
- > The exam addresses the fundamentals of the automatic control.

The too low level of points of question 4 imposes the need to revise the teaching and learning methods of the ILO's covered by quest 4 together with the relevant lab work.

9- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan. State whether or not completed and give reasor for any non-completion:

Actions required	Planned Completion date	Accomplishment
None		

10- Action plan for academic year 2021 - 2022

Actions required	Completion date	Person responsible
None		

Course coordinator: Prof. Dr. Mona El-Basyoni

Signature:

Date: September 21

Second year Architecture Level 3

S		Course			
Code		Title			
1	ARC 311	Architectural Construction & Building materials 1			
2	ARC 321	Architecture & Human Studies			
3	ARC 322	Architectural Design 3			
4	ARC 324	Design Methodology			
5	ARC 314	Reinforced concrete & steel structures			
6	ARC 327	Theories of Architecture (2)			
7	ARC 326	History and Theories of planning			
8	ARC 312	Architectural Construction & Building materials 2			
9	ARC 313	Computer Applications 2			
10	ARC 323	Architectural Design 4			
11	ARC 328	Visual Training (2)			
12	ARC 341	History of Architecture (2)			
13	ARC 310	Environmental Control			
14	ARC 315	Foundation			

A- Basic Information

1- Course Code & Title: ARC 311 Architectural Construction & Building Materials(1)

2- Relevant program/s: Architecture Engineering and Building Technology

3- Year/Level of program: Sophomore -Level 3 - 5th Semester

4- Credit hours

Credit 3 hrs Lectures 2 hrs Tutorial 3 hrs Practical - hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course: Dr. Magdy Tamam

6- Course coordinator: Dr. Magdy Tamam

7- External evaluator: Non

B- Statistical Information

7- No. of students attending the course:
8- No. of students completing the course:
No. 58 100 %
No. 46 79.31 %

9- Final Results

Semester/Grade	Α	В	С	D	F	Total
Fall	0	1	1	22	22	46
Spring	4	4	9	3	13	33
Summer			1			1
Sum	4	5	11	25	35	80
Percentage						100.0

C- Professional Information

1. Contents

Tonio	Lecture	Tutorial	Practical	Total	1	Actual	
Topic	hours	hours	hours		Fall	Spr.	Sum.
Introduction & Revision (Symbols)	2	3		5			
Waterproofing – Heat, sound and Radiation Insulations (Methods -Types- Materials).	2	3		5			
Insulation Layers and Applying methods.	2	3		5			
Expansion, Settlement and Material Joints. (Floors-Roofs-Walls) .	2	3		5			
Walls and Floors (Interior& Exterior) (Finishing Materials, Plaster, painting).	2	3		5			
Stairs (Design–Types-Specifications and Construction).	2	3		5			
Mid-Term Exam				5			
Reinforced Concrete Stairs (Details)- Handrail – Finishing Materials	2	3		5			
Wood (introduction-types-use in buildings)	2	3		5			
Wooden Work & Products Design and Drawing basics (Joist sizes - Joints- accessories).	2	3		5			
Wooden Doors (Interior& Exterior) (Frames, Stock and Hardware).	2	3		5			

Wooden doors Details (Solid Molded, Slat 2 3 5 Wood doors Details (Paneled, Flush 2 3 5 doors). Wood doors Details (Doors Hardware 2 3 5 Equipment). 2 3 Revision:Revision 5

45

30

• Topics taught as a percentage of the content specified:

>90 % 70-90 % <70%

75

Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic: Non

Total hours

- If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail: Non
- Achieved program intended learning outcomes, ILO's:

A14, A15, A20, A21, A23, A24,A25	B14, B15, B17 ,B22,B23	C14, C15, C17, C22,C24 ,C23 ,	D1, D2,D3, D6, D7, D8
	, , ,	C25	

2- Teaching and learning methods:

Lecture, presentations, discussions, tutorials, problem solving, self-learning, modeling and Laboratory Experiments

If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, give reasons:

3- Student assessment:

Method of assessment	Points	%
Written examination	40	40
Oral examination	Non	0
Practical/laboratory work	Non	0
Other assignments/class work	50	50
Mid-Term Exam	10	10
Total	100	100

Members of examination committee: Dr. Magdy Tamam

Role of external evaluator:

Non

4- Facilities and teaching materials:

Totally adequate	Yes
Adequate to some extent	
Inadequate	

List any inadequacies:

5- Administrative constraints (List any difficulties encountered)

6- Student evaluation of the course:

Questionnaire Results

Course	
Lecturer	

Assistant	
Book	
Assessment	
Laboratory	

Comments

	List any criticisms	Response of course team
(a)		
(b)		

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

	Comment	Response of course team
(a)	Non	

8- Written Exam Evaluation

- > The exam header agrees with the MAM standard form
- ➤ The written exam covers 69% of the course ILO's in a balanced form.
- > The exam considers the course aims listed in the course specification.
- > The exam level is acceptable, considering the percentage of success.
- > The exam addresses the fundamentals of the automatic control.
- The too low level of points of question 4 imposes the need to revise the teaching and learning methods of the ILO's covered by quest 4 together with the relevant lab work.

9- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan. State whether or not completed and give reasons for any non-completion:

Actions required	Planned Completion date	Accomplishment
(a) Non		

10- Action plan

Actions required	Completion date	Person responsible
1.		
2.		

Course coordinator: Dr. Magdy Tamam

Signature:

Date: September 2021

A- Basic Information

1- Course Code & Title: ARC 321 Architecture & Human Studies

2- Relevant program/s: Architecture Engineering and Building Technology

3- Year/Level of program: Sophomore -Level 3 - 5th Semester

4- Credit hours

Credit 2 hrs Lectures 2 hrs Tutorial - hrs Practical - hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course:

Dr. Mohamed Thabat

6- Course coordinator: Dr. Mohamed Thabat

7- External evaluator: Non

B- Statistical Information

10- No. of students attending the course:No.144100 %11- No. of students completing the course:No.13795.14 %

12- Final Results

Semester/Grade	Α	В	С	D	F	Total
Fall	45	28	43	14	7	137
Spring	4		4	1	1	10
Summer	3	1				4
Sum	52	29	47	15	8	151
Percentage						100.0

C- Professional Information

1. Contents

Topic	Lecture	Tutorial	Practical	Total		Actual	
Торіс	hours	hours	hours		Fall	Spr.	Sum.
Introduction, basic definitions and	2			2	2		
terminology							
Main topics of human studies & Architecture	2			2	2		
Human needs & its impact on space& Arch.	2			2	2		
Islamic culture in Arch.	2			2	2		
Arch. values in Islamic city	2			2	2		
Arch. As build environment The role of the	2			2	2		
environment (green &smart) Arch							
Mid Term Exam							
Shaping the culture & behavior of a Society	2			2	2		
throughout history							
Shaping the culture & behavior of a Society	2			2	2		
throughout history							
Vernaculars & traditional arch	2			2	2		
Relation between man & environment	2			2	2		
1. Relation between man & environment	2			2	2		
Natural & informal arch. [Nubian / siwa / etc.							
Informal arch	2			2	2		
Community participation	2			2	2		
Introduction, basic definitions and	2			2	2		
terminology							

Total hours	30	0	0	30	30	
Topics taught as a percentage of the co	ntent speci	fied:		>90 %	70-90 %	<70%

Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic: Non

• If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail: Non

Achieved program intended learning outcomes, ILO's:

A4,A5,A17,A24	B3,B4,B19	C6,C12,C21,C22,	D1,D3, D5,D6
		C25	

2- Teaching and learning methods:

Lecture, presentations, discussions, tutorials, problem solving, self-learning, modeling and Laboratory Experiments

If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, give reasons:

3- Student assessment:

Method of assessment	Points	%
Written examination	70	70
Oral examination	Non	0
Practical/laboratory work	Non	0
Other assignments/class work	20	20
Mid-Term Exam	10	10
Total	100	100

Members of examination committee: Dr. Mohamed Thabat

Role of external evaluator: Non

4- Facilities and teaching materials:

Totally adequate	Yes
Adequate to some extent	
Inadequate	

List any inadequacies:

5- Administrative constraints (List any difficulties encountered)

6- Student evaluation of the course:

Questionnaire Results

Course	
Lecturer	
Assistant	
Book	
Assessment	
Laboratory	

Comments

	List any criticisms	Response of course team
(a)		
(b)		

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

	Comment	Response of course team
(a)	Non	

8- Written Exam Evaluation

- ➤ The exam header agrees with the MAM standard form
- ➤ The written exam covers 69% of the course ILO's in a balanced form.
- > The exam considers the course aims listed in the course specification.
- > The exam level is acceptable, considering the percentage of success.
- > The exam addresses the fundamentals of the automatic control.
- ➤ The too low level of points of question 4 imposes the need to revise the teaching and learning methods of the ILO's covered by quest 4 together with the relevant lab work.

9- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan. State whether or not completed and give reasons for any non-completion:

	Actions required	Planned Completion date	Accomplishment
(b)	Non		

10- Action plan

Actions required	Completion date	Person responsible
3.		
4.		

Course coordinator: Dr. Mohamed Thabat

Signature:

Date: September 2021

A- Basic Information

1- Course Code & Title: ARC 322 Architectural Design 3

2- Relevant program/s: Architecture Engineering and Building Technology

3- Year/Level of program: Sophomore -Level 3 - 5th Semester

4- Credit hours

Credit 3 hrs Lectures 1 hrs Tutorial 6 hrs Practical - hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course:

Dr. Asamer Zakariea

6- Course coordinator: Dr. Asamer Zakariea

7- External evaluator: Non

B- Statistical Information

13- No. of students attending the course:No.75100 %14- No. of students completing the course:No.7093.33 %

15- Final Results

Semester/Grade	Α	В	С	D	F	Total
Fall	2	16	20	20	12	70
Spring	0	6	7	6	0	19
Summer						
Sum	2	22	27	26	12	89
Percentage						100.0

C- Professional Information

1. Contents

Tonio	Lecture	Tutorial	Practical	Total		Actual	
Topic	hours	hours	hours		Fall	Spr.	Sum.
1st project : School	1	6		7	7		
School project + site analysis	1	6		7	7		
Design criteria of School buildings	1	6		7	7		
Bubble diagram + zoning of elements	1	6		7	7		
Site model	1	6		7	7		
Masses – model - Concept development	1	6		7	7		
Mid-Term Exam				7	7		
Drawing master plan	1	6		7	7		
Solving design – problems in plan	1	6		7	7		
Final plans + Final site design	1	6		7	7		
Drawing main sections + Main elevation	1	6		7	7		
Drawing main sections + Main elevation	1_	6		7	7		
Final preservation of project + jury	1	6		7	7		
Total hours	12	72	0	105	105		

Topics taught as a percentage of the content specified:

>90 % **70-90** % **<70**%

• Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic: Non

• If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail: Non

• Achieved program intended learning outcomes, ILO's:

	A5, A13 ,A14,A17,A18, A21	B3, B4, B13, B14	C3, C6, C17	D3,D7	
--	---------------------------	------------------	-------------	-------	--

2- Teaching and learning methods:

Lecture, presentations, discussions, tutorials, problem solving, self-learning, modeling and Laboratory Experiments

If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, give reasons:

3- Student assessment:

Method of assessment	Points	%
Written examination	60	60
Oral examination	Non	0
Practical/laboratory work	Non	0
Other assignments/class work	50	50
Mid-Term Exam	10	10
Total	100	100

Members of examination committee: Dr. Asamer Zakariea

Role of external evaluator: Non

4- Facilities and teaching materials:

Totally adequate	Yes
Adequate to some extent	
Inadequate	

List any inadequacies: Incomplete laboratory equipment, substituted by computer simulations

5- Administrative constraints (List any difficulties encountered)

Nor

6- Student evaluation of the course:

Questionnaire Results

Course	
Lecturer	
Assistant	
Book	
Assessment	
Laboratory	

Comments

• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
	List any criticisms	Response of course team
(a)		
(b)		

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

	Comment	Response of course team
(a)	Non	

8- Written Exam Evaluation

- > The exam header agrees with the MAM standard form
- The written exam covers 69% of the course ILO's in a balanced form.
- > The exam considers the course aims listed in the course specification.
- > The exam level is acceptable, considering the percentage of success.
- The exam addresses the fundamentals of the automatic control.
- > The too low level of points of question 4 imposes the need to revise the teaching and learning methods of the ILO's covered by quest 4 together with the relevant lab work.

9- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan. State whether or not completed and give reasons for any non-completion:

Actions required	Planned Completion date	Accomplishment	
(c) Non			

10- Action plan

Actions required	Completion date	Person responsible		
5. Improve wi fi in halls		academy		
Support team work through additional small project		lecturer		

Course coordinator: Dr. Asamer Zakariea

Signature:

Date: September 2021

A- Basic Information

1- Course Code & Title: ARC 324 Design Methodology

2- Relevant program/s: Architecture Engineering and Building Technology

3- Year/Level of program: Sophomore -Level 3 - 5th Semester

4- Credit hours

Credit 2 hrs Lectures 2 hrs Tutorial - hrs Practical - hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course: Dr. Fatma Magdy

6- Course coordinator: Dr. Fatma Magdy

7- External evaluator: Non

B- Statistical Information

16- No. of students attending the course:No.150100%17- No. of students completing the course:No.14496.00%

18- Final Results

Semester/Grade	Α	В	С	D	F	F Total	
Fall	12	33	41	33	25	144	
Spring	0	2	6	7	1	16	
Summer	5		1			6	
Sum	17	35	48	40	26	166	
Percentage						100.0	

C- Professional Information

1. Contents

Tania	Lecture	Tutorial	Practical	Total		Actual	
Topic	hours	hours	hours		Fall	Spr.	Sum.
Traditional methods of thinking	2			2	2		
Architectural problem & objectives	2			2	2		
Main Goals ,Secondary Goals	2			2	2		
Pyramid of Goals	2			2	2		
Architectural Invention process	2			2	2		
Phases of design process Tools of Architectural invention	2			2	2		
Mid Term Exam							
Methods of Architectural process Methods of Data Collection	2			2	2		
Architectural Design Process phases	2			2	2		
Examples of Different Building Design ,Goals , Zoning	2			2	2		
Different components forms ,shapes, in Architecture	2			2	2		
Different Architectural ,icons Ideas	2			2	2		
Explain Different Architectural examples ,concept ,idea	2			2	2		
Researches Presentation, revision	2			2	2		

Modern Academy for Engineering & Technology

2020-2021

Architectural Engineering & Building Technology Department

Traditional methods of thinking	2			2	2	
Total hours	30	0	0	30	30	

Topics taught as a percentage of the content specified:

>90 % 70-90 %

<70%

- Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic: Non
- If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail: Non

• Achieved program intended learning outcomes, ILO's:

A4, A5,A8, A9, A11	B5, B7, B20	C3, C4, C8,	D3, D5, D6, D7
		C18,C12,C15,C20	

2- Teaching and learning methods:

Lecture, presentations, discussions, tutorials, problem solving, self-learning, modeling and Laboratory Experiments

If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, give reasons:

3- Student assessment:

Method of assessment	Points	%
Written examination	70	70
Oral examination	Non	0
Practical/laboratory work	Non	0
Other assignments/class work	20	20
Mid-Term Exam	10	10
Total	100	100

Members of examination committee: Dr. Fatma Magdy

Role of external evaluator: Non

4- Facilities and teaching materials:

Totally adequate	Yes
Adequate to some extent	
Inadequate	

List any inadequacies: Incomplete laboratory equipment, substituted by computer simulations

5- Administrative constraints (List any difficulties encountered)

Nor

6- Student evaluation of the course:

Questionnaire Results

Course	
Lecturer	
Assistant	
Book	
Assessment	
Laboratory	

Comments

	List any criticisms	Response of course team
(a)		
(b)		

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

	Comment	Response of course team
(a)	Non	

8- Written Exam Evaluation

- > The exam header agrees with the MAM standard form
- > The written exam covers 69% of the course ILO's in a balanced form.
- > The exam considers the course aims listed in the course specification.
- ➤ The exam level is acceptable, considering the percentage of success.
- > The exam addresses the fundamentals of the automatic control.
- The too low level of points of question 4 imposes the need to revise the teaching and learning methods of the ILO's covered by quest 4 together with the relevant lab work.

9- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan. State whether or not completed and give reasons for any non-completion:

Actions required		Planned Completion date	Accomplishment	
(d) N	Von			

10- Action plan

Actions required	Completion date	Person responsible		
7.				
8.				

Course coordinator: Dr. Fatma Magdy

Signature:

Date: September 2021

A- Basic Information

1- Course Code & Title: ARC 314 Reinforced Concrete & Steel Structures 2- Relevant program/s: Architecture Engineering and Building Technology

3- Year/Level of program: Sophomore -Level 3 - 5th Semester

4- Credit hours

Credit 3 hrs Lectures 2 hrs Tutorial 1 hrs Practical - hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course: Dr. Ayman Ezzat

6- Course coordinator: Dr. Ayman Ezzat

7- External evaluator: Non

B- Statistical Information

19- No. of students attending the course:No.47100 %20- No. of students completing the course:No.4391.49 %

21- Final Results

Semester/Grade	Α	В	С	D	F	Total	
Fall	3	7	3	14	16	43	
Spring	0	3	2	0	1	6	
Summer	2		2	2	2	8	
Sum	5	10	7	16	19	57	
Percentage						100.0	

C- Professional Information

1. Contents

Topic	Lecture	Tutorial	Practical	Total		Actual	
Торіс	hours	hours	hours		Fall	Spr.	Sum.
Introduction to reinforced concrete.	2	1					
Design fundamentals for concrete	2	1					
structures.							
Analysis and design of sections under	2	1					
bending moment							
Load distribution	2	1					
Details of beams' reinforcement	2	1					
Solid slabs.	2	1					
Mid-Term Exam							
Stairs- Columns.	2	1					
Special slabs.	2	1					
Design fundamentals of steel structures.	2	1					
Details for trusses.	2	1					
Details for steel frames	2	1					
Design of columns	2	1					
Design o beams	2	1					
Design of connections	2						
Total hours	30	45	0				

Topics taught as a percentage of the content specified:

>90 % 70-90 % <70%

Modern Academy for Engineering & Technology

Architectural Engineering & Building Technology Department

2020-2021

- Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic: Non
- If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail: Non

• Achieved program intended learning outcomes, ILO's:

A4, A5,A6	B2, B3, B11,B24	C1, C3, C7, C24	D6, D7

2- Teaching and learning methods:

Lecture, presentations, discussions, tutorials, problem solving, self-learning, modeling and Laboratory Experiments

If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, give reasons:

3- Student assessment:

Method of assessment	Points	%
Written examination	70	70
Oral examination	Non	0
Practical/laboratory work	Non	0
Other assignments/class work	20	20
Mid-Term Exam	10	10
Total	100	100

Members of examination committee: Dr. Ayman Ezzat

Role of external evaluator:

4- Facilities and teaching materials:

Totally adequate	Yes
Adequate to some extent	
Inadequate	

List any inadequacies: Incomplete laboratory equipment, substituted by computer simulations

5- Administrative constraints (List any difficulties encountered)

Non

6- Student evaluation of the course:

Questionnaire Results

Course	
Lecturer	
Assistant	
Book	
Assessment	
Laboratory	

Comments

	List any criticisms	Response of course team
(a)		
(b)		

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

-	••••••••••	···· • ······· • · · ······ (• / ·	
		Comment	Response of course team

l (a) l Non	

8- Written Exam Evaluation

- > The exam header agrees with the MAM standard form
- ➤ The written exam covers 69% of the course ILO's in a balanced form.
- > The exam considers the course aims listed in the course specification.
- > The exam level is acceptable, considering the percentage of success.
- > The exam addresses the fundamentals of the automatic control.
- > The too low level of points of question 4 imposes the need to revise the teaching and learning methods of the ILO's covered by quest 4 together with the relevant lab work.

9- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan. State whether or not completed and give reasons for any non-completion:

Actions required	Planned Completion date	Accomplishment	
(e) Non			

10- Action plan

Actions required	Completion date	Person responsible
9.		
10.		

Course coordinator: Dr. Ayman Ezzat

Signature:

Date: September 2021

A- Basic Information

1- Course Code & Title: ARC 327 Theories of Architecture (2)

2- Relevant program/s: Architecture Engineering and Building Technology

3- Year/Level of program: Sophomore -Level 3 - 5th Semester

4- Credit hours

Credit 2 hrs Lectures 2 hrs Tutorial - hrs Practical - hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course: Dr. Marwa Abbas

6- Course coordinator: Dr. Marwa Abbas

7- External evaluator: Non

B- Statistical Information

22- No. of students attending the course:No.89100%23- No. of students completing the course:No.8494.38%

24- Final Results

Semester/Grade	Α	В	С	D	F	Total
Fall	13	8	23	32	8	84
Spring						
Summer						
Sum	13	8	23	32	8	84
Percentage						100.0

C- Professional Information

1. Contents

Topic	Lecture	Tutorial	Practical	Total		Actual	
Торіс	hours	hours	hours		Fall	Spr.	Sum.
building types	2			2	2		
Educational building	2			2	2		
Educational building	2			2	2		
office building	2			2	2		
hotels	2			2	2		
Commercial buildings	2			2	2		
Mid-Term Exam							
Restaurants	2			2	2		
Restaurants	2			2	2		
Theatres	2			2	2		
Theatres	2			2	2		
Museum	2			2	2		
Hospitals – parking	2			2	2		
architectural themes	2			2	2		
architectural themes	2			2	2		
Total hours	30	0	0	30	30		

Topics taught as a percentage of the content specified:

>90 % **70-90** % **<70**%

Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic: Non

• If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail: Non

Achieved program intended learning outcomes, ILO's:

A15.A17.A18.A19	B1,B2,B3,B4,B5,B6,B7,B8	C1,C2,C3	D1,D2,D3,D4,D5,D6,D7,D8,D9

2- Teaching and learning methods:

Lecture, presentations, discussions, tutorials, problem solving, self-learning, modeling and Laboratory Experiments

If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, give reasons:

3- Student assessment:

Method of assessment	Points	%
Written examination	70	70
Oral examination	Non	0
Practical/laboratory work	Non	0
Other assignments/class work	20	20
Mid-Term Exam	10	10
Total	100	100

Members of examination committee: Dr. Marwa Abbas

Role of external evaluator: Non

4- Facilities and teaching materials:

Totally adequate	Yes
Adequate to some extent	
Inadequate	

List any inadequacies:

Incomplete laboratory equipment, substituted by computer simulations

5- Administrative constraints (List any difficulties encountered)

6- Student evaluation of the course:

Questionnaire Results

Course	
Lecturer	
Assistant	
Book	
Assessment	
Laboratory	

Comments

	List any criticisms	Response of course team
(a)		
(b)		

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

	Comment	Response of course team
(a)	Non	

8- Written Exam Evaluation

- > The exam header agrees with the MAM standard form
- The written exam covers 69% of the course ILO's in a balanced form.
- > The exam considers the course aims listed in the course specification.
- > The exam level is acceptable, considering the percentage of success.
- The exam addresses the fundamentals of the automatic control.
- > The too low level of points of question 4 imposes the need to revise the teaching and learning methods of the ILO's covered by quest 4 together with the relevant lab work.

9- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan. State whether or not completed and give reasons for any non-completion:

Actions required		Planned Completion date	Accomplishment	
(f)	Non			

10- Action plan

Actions required	Completion date	Person responsible
11.		
12.		

Course coordinator: Dr. Marwa Abbas

Signature:

Date: September 2021

ARC 326 History & Theory of Planning

Annual Course Report Academic year 2020-2021

A- Basic Information

1- Course Code & Title: ARC 326 History & Theory of Planning

2- Relevant program/s: Architecture Engineering and Building Technology

3- Year/Level of program: Sophomore -Level 3 - 5th Semester

4- Credit hours

Credit 2 hrs Lectures 2 hrs Tutorial - hrs Practical - hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course: Prof. Dr. Nahed Omran

6- Course coordinator: Prof. Dr. Nahed Omran

7- External evaluator: Non

B- Statistical Information

25- No. of students attending the course:No.109100 %26- No. of students completing the course:No.10293.58 %

27- Final Results

i iliai itooaito						
Semester/Grade	Α	В	С	D	F	Total
Fall	26	27	25	14	10	102
Spring						
Summer	8	12	11	2		33
Sum	33	39	36	16	10	135
Percentage						100.0

C- Professional Information

1. Contents

Tania	Lecture	Tutorial	Practical	Total	-	Actual	
Topic	hours	hours	hours		Fall	Spr.	Sum.
The beginning of the city	2			2	2		
Mesopotamia cities.	2			2	2		
Ancient Egyptian civilization	2			2	2		
Planning of Greek cities.	2			2	2		
Planning of roman cities.	2			2	2		
Analysis for the planning theories in	2			2	2		
that ear				2	2		
Mid-Term							
Cities in the middle eras	2			2	2		
Islamic cities	2			2	2		
Islamic city (case studies)	2			2	2		
The renaissance cities.	2			2	2		
Applications for the model towns	2			2	2		
Theories for city planning	2			2	2		
The Contemporary Egyptian city and							
its problems-environmental problems-	2			2	2		
pollution-slum areas							
Final revision – discussion for the second requirement report	2			2	2		

Total hours	30	0	0	30	30	
• Topics taught as a percentage of the content specified: >90 % 70-90 % <70%						
Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic: Non						
 If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail: Non 						
 Achieved program intended learning outcomes, ILO's: 						
A16,A15,A17,A18 B2,E	33,B18,B20,	B21 C	13,C21,C22		D1,D7,D	08

2- Teaching and learning methods:

Lecture, presentations, discussions, Quizzes, problem solving, self-learning, modeling and Laboratory Experiments

If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, give reasons:

3- Student assessment:

Method of assessment	Points	%
Written examination	70	70
Oral examination	Non	0
Practical/laboratory work	Non	0
Other assignments/class work	20	20
Mid-Term Exam	10	10
Total	100	100

Members of examination committee: Prof. Dr. Nahed Omran

Role of external evaluator: Non

4- Facilities and teaching materials:

Totally adequate	Yes
Adequate to some extent	
Inadequate	

List any inadequacies: Incomplete laboratory equipment, substituted by computer simulations

5- Administrative constraints (List any difficulties encountered) Non

6- Student evaluation of the course:

Questionnaire Results

Course	
Lecturer	
Assistant	
Book	
Assessment	
Laboratory	

Comments

	List any criticisms	Response of course team
(a)		

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

	Comment	Response of course team
(a)	Non	

8- Written Exam Evaluation

- ➤ The exam header agrees with the MAM standard form
- > The written exam covers 69% of the course ILO's in a balanced form.
- > The exam considers the course aims listed in the course specification.
- > The exam level is acceptable, considering the percentage of success.
- > The exam addresses the fundamentals of the automatic control.
- The too low level of points of question 4 imposes the need to revise the teaching and learning methods of the ILO's covered by quest 4 together with the relevant lab work.

9- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan. State whether or not completed and give reasons for any non-completion:

Actions required	Planned Completion date	Accomplishment
(g) Non		

10- Action plan

Actions required	Completion date	Person responsible
Site visit to Old Islamic Cairo		
2. More time to open discussion		

Course coordinator: Prof. Dr. Nahed Omran

Signature:

Date: September 2021

A- Basic Information

1- Course Code & Title: ARC 312 Architectural Construction & Building Materials(2)

2- Relevant program/s: Architecture Engineering and Building Technology

3- Year/Level of program: Sophomore -Level 3 - 5th Semester

4- Credit hours

Credit 3 hrs Lectures 2 hrs Tutorial 3 hrs Practical - hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course: Dr. Magdy Tamam

6- Course coordinator: Dr. Magdy Tamam

7- External evaluator: Non

B- Statistical Information

28- No. of students attending the course:No.37100 %29- No. of students completing the course:No.3389.19 %

30- Final Results

Semester/Grade	Α	В	С	D	F	Total
Fall	0	4	5	13	11	33
Spring	0	1	2	10	18	31
Summer	1	6	14	3		24
Sum	1	11	21	26	29	88
Percentage						100.0

C- Professional Information

1. Contents

Tonio	Lecture	Tutorial	Practical	Total	Actual		
Topic	hours	hours	hours		Fall	Spr.	Sum.
Introduction & Revision	2	3		5	5		
Steel works(types-sections-materials-usage)	2	3		5	5		
Steel connections & welding	2	3		5	5		
Steel columns – frames – beams – roofing – cladding	2	3		5	5		
Steel stairs (Design – types – specifications & construction) and mechanical works	2	3		5	5		
Steel doors & windows (intro – types – usage – joints – accessories – details – equipment)	2	3		5	5		
Mid-Term Exam				5	5		
Intro in working drawing projects , plans of project with check list & finishing tables	2	3		5	5		
Sections of projects	2	3		5	5		
Elevations of project with check list & finishing table	2	3		5	5		

Modern Academy for Engineering & Technology

Architectural Engineering & Building Technology Department

2020-2021

Layout (softscape – hardscape) with finishes table	2	3		5	5	
Sanitary works & its drawing with symbols	2	3		5	5	
Electrical works of its drawing with symbols	2	3		5	5	
Mechanical works (elevations – sections)	2	3		5	5	
Revision:presentation	2	3		5	5	
Total hours	28	42	0	75	75	

• Topics taught as a percentage of the content specified:

>90 % 70-90 % <70%

- Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic: Non
- If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail: Non
- Achieved program intended learning outcomes, ILO's:

	<u> </u>		
A14, A15, A20, A21, A23,A24	B13, B14, B15, B17	C15, C14, C18,	D1, D2,D3, D6, D7, D8
	, B22	C25, C24	

2- Teaching and learning methods:

Lecture, presentations, discussions, tutorials, problem solving, self-learning, modeling and Laboratory Experiments

If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, give reasons:

3- Student assessment:

Method of assessment	Points	%
Written examination	40	40
Oral examination	Non	0
Practical/laboratory work	Non	0
Other assignments/class work	40	40
Mid-Term Exam	20	20
Total	100	100

Members of examination committee: Dr. Magdy Tamam

Role of external evaluator: Non

4- Facilities and teaching materials:

Totally adequate	Yes
Adequate to some extent	
Inadequate	

List any inadequacies: Incomplete laboratory equipment, substituted by computer simulations

5- Administrative constraints (List any difficulties encountered)

Nor

6- Student evaluation of the course:

Questionnaire Results

Course	
Lecturer	

Assistant	
Book	
Assessment	
Laboratory	

Comments

	List any criticisms	Response of course team
(a)		
(b)		

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

	Comment	Response of course team
(a)	Non	

8- Written Exam Evaluation

- ➤ The exam header agrees with the MAM standard form
- ➤ The written exam covers 69% of the course ILO's in a balanced form.
- > The exam considers the course aims listed in the course specification.
- ➤ The exam level is acceptable, considering the percentage of success.
- > The exam addresses the fundamentals of the automatic control.
- The too low level of points of question 4 imposes the need to revise the teaching and learning methods of the ILO's covered by quest 4 together with the relevant lab work.

9- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan. State whether or not completed and give reasons for any non-completion:

Actions required	Planned Completion date	Accomplishment
(h) Non		

10- Action plan

Actions required	Completion date	Person responsible	
3.			
4.			

Course coordinator: Dr. Magdy Tamam

Signature:

Date: September 2021

Modern Academy

for Engineering and Technology in Maadi



Annual Course Report Academic year 2020-2021

A- Basic Information

1- Course Code & Title: ARC 313 Computer Applications 2

2- Relevant program/s: Architecture Engineering and Building Technology

3- Year/Level of program: Sophomore -Level 3 - 5th Semester

4- Credit hours

Credit 4hrs Lectures 2 hrs Tutorial 2 hrs Practical 2 hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course: Dr. Sherif Salah

6- Course coordinator: Dr. Sherif Salah

7- External evaluator: Non

B- Statistical Information

31- No. of students attending the course:
No. 43 100 %
32- No. of students completing the course:
No. 33 76.74 %

33- Final Results

Semester/Grade	Α	В	С	D	F	Total
Fall	2	2	10	8	11	33
Spring	5	16	17	22	5	65
Summer	1		1	1		3
Sum	8	18	28	31	16	101
Percentage						100.0

C- Professional Information

1. Contents

Topic	Lecture	Tutorial	Practical	Total	Actual		
Торіс	hours	hours	hours		Fall	Spr.	Sum.
Introduction, basic definitions and	2	2	2	6	6		
terminology extruding & revolving objects	2	2	2				
Solid editing in autocad 3d, ucs	2	2	2	6	6		
3d operations, sweep & loft in autocad	2	2	2	6	6		
Cameras in autocad – modeling shapes .	2	2	2	6	6		
Introduction to 3dmax program interface	2	2	2	6	6		
Creating standard primitives objects in 3d	2	2	2	6	6		
max	2	2	2				
Creating compound objects				6	6		
Mid term exam	2	2	2	6	6		
Drawing 2d shapes in 3dmax	2	2	2	6	6		
Modifier list applications	2	2	2	6	6		
Modifier list applications	2	2	2	6	6		
Using lights, materials, cameras	2	2	2	6	6		
Using lights , materials , cameras	2	2	2	6	6		
Practical exam	2	2	2	6	6		

Modern Academy for Engineering & Technology

Architectural Engineering & Building Technology Department

2020-2021

<70%

Revision	2	2	2	6	6	
Total hours	30	30	30	90	90	

• Topics taught as a percentage of the content specified:

>90 % 70-90 %

• Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic: Non

• If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail: Non

Achieved program intended learning outcomes, ILO's:

A1,A4, A13, A14, A20	B1, B4, B9, B13,	C14,C15,C17,C21	D1,D2, D3, D5,D6 D7, D8
	B14, B15 ,B21		

2- Teaching and learning methods:

Lecture, presentations, discussions, tutorials, problem solving, self-learning, modeling and Laboratory Experiments

If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, give reasons:

3- Student assessment:

Method of assessment	Points	%
Written examination	70	70
Oral examination	Non	0
Practical/laboratory work	Non	0
Other assignments/class work	20	20
Mid-Term Exam	10	10
Total	100	100

Members of examination committee: Dr. Sherif Salah

Role of external evaluator: Non

4- Facilities and teaching materials:

Totally adequate	Yes
Adequate to some extent	
Inadequate	

List any inadequacies: Incomplete laboratory equipment, substituted by computer simulations

5- Administrative constraints (List any difficulties encountered)

Nor

6- Student evaluation of the course:

Questionnaire Results

Course	
Lecturer	
Assistant	
Book	
Assessment	
Laboratory	

Comments

	List any criticisms	Response of course team
(a)		
(b)		

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

	Comment	Response of course team
(a)	Non	

8- Written Exam Evaluation

- > The exam header agrees with the MAM standard form
- The written exam covers 69% of the course ILO's in a balanced form.
- > The exam considers the course aims listed in the course specification.
- ➤ The exam level is acceptable, considering the percentage of success.
- > The exam addresses the fundamentals of the automatic control.
- The too low level of points of question 4 imposes the need to revise the teaching and learning methods of the ILO's covered by quest 4 together with the relevant lab work.

9- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan. State whether or not completed and give reasons for any non-completion:

Actions required		Planned Completion date	Accomplishment		
(i)	Non				

10- Action plan

Actions required	Completion date	Person responsible
5.		
6.		

Course coordinator: Dr. Sherif Salah

Signature:

Date: September 2021

A- Basic Information

1- Course Code & Title: ARC 323 Architectural Design 4

2- Relevant program/s: Architecture Engineering and Building Technology

3- Year/Level of program: Sophomore -Level 3 - 5th Semester

4- Credit hours

Credit 3 hrs Lectures 1 hrs Tutorial 6 hrs Practical - hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course:

Dr. Asamer Zakariea

6- Course coordinator: Dr. Asamer Zakariea

7- External evaluator: Non

B- Statistical Information

34- No. of students attending the course:
No. 28 100 %
35- No. of students completing the course:
No. 28 100 %

36- Final Results

Semester/Grade	Α	В	С	D	F	Total
Fall	0	0	7	19	2	28
Spring	5	5	16	21	2	49
Summer	1	7	9	5		22
Sum	6	12	32	45	4	99
Percentage						100.0

C- Professional Information

1. Contents

Topic	Lecture	Tutorial	Practical	Total	Actual		
Торіс	hours	hours	hours		Fall	Spr.	Sum.
1st project : School	1	6		7	7		
Library project + site analysis	1	6		7	7		
Design criteria of library buildings	1	6		7	7		
Bubble diagram + zoning of elements	1	6		7	7		
Site model	1	6		7	7		
Masses – model - Concept development	1	6		7	7		
Mid-Term Exam				7	7		
Drawing master plan	1	6		7	7		
Solving design – problems in plan	1	6		7	7		
Final plans	1	6		7	7		
Drawing main sections	1	6		7	7		
Drawing elevations	1	6		7	7		
Formation development in elevations	1	6		7	7		
Drawing 3d perspectives or isometric	1	6		7	7		
Final site design Final preservation of	1	6		7	7		
project + jury		O					
Total hours	14	84	0	105	105		

Topics taught as a percentage of the content specified:

>90 % 70-90 % <70%

• Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic: Non

If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail: Non

• Achieved program intended learning outcomes, ILO's:

Knowledge & Understanding	Intellectual skills	Applied Skills	General transferable skills
A5, A13,A14,A17,A18, A21	B3, B4, B13, B14	C3, C6, C17	D3,D7

2- Teaching and learning methods:

Lecture, presentations, discussions, tutorials, problem solving, self-learning, modeling and Laboratory Experiments

If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, give reasons:

3- Student assessment:

Method of assessment	Points	%
Written examination	40	40
Oral examination	Non	0
Practical/laboratory work	Non	0
Other assignments/class work	50	50
Mid-Term Exam	10	10
Total	100	100

Members of examination committee: Dr. Asamer Zakariea

Role of external evaluator: Non

4- Facilities and teaching materials:

Totally adequate	Yes
Adequate to some extent	
Inadequate	

List any inadequacies: Incomplete laboratory equipment, substituted by computer simulations

5- Administrative constraints (List any difficulties encountered)

Non

6- Student evaluation of the course:

Questionnaire Results

Course	
Lecturer	
Assistant	
Book	
Assessment	
Laboratory	

Comments

	List any criticisms	Response of course team
(a)		
(b)		

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

Comment Response of course team

/ \	A I		
121	l Non		
ı (a)	INOH		

8- Written Exam Evaluation

- > The exam header agrees with the MAM standard form
- ➤ The written exam covers 69% of the course ILO's in a balanced form.
- > The exam considers the course aims listed in the course specification.
- ➤ The exam level is acceptable, considering the percentage of success.
- > The exam addresses the fundamentals of the automatic control.
- > The too low level of points of question 4 imposes the need to revise the teaching and learning methods of the ILO's covered by quest 4 together with the relevant lab work.

9- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan. State whether or not completed and give reasons for any non-completion:

	Actions required	Planned Completion date	Accomplishment
(j)	Non		

10- Action plan

Actions required	Completion date	Person responsible
7.		
8.		

Course coordinator: Dr. Asamer Zakariea

Signature:

Date: September 2021

A- Basic Information

1- Course Code & Title: ARC 328 Visual Training(2)

2- Relevant program/s: Architecture Engineering and Building Technology

3- Year/Level of program: Sophomore -Level 3 - 5th Semester

4- Credit hours

Credit 3 hrs Lectures 2 hrs Tutorial 3 hrs Practical - hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course: Dr. Amira Mostafa

6- Course coordinator: Dr. Amira Mostafa

7- External evaluator: Non

B- Statistical Information

37- No. of students attending the course:No.5100 %38- No. of students completing the course:No.5100 %

39- Final Results

Semester/Grade	Α	В	С	D	F	Total
Fall		1	2	2		5
Spring	1	15	10	32	11	69
Summer	2	7	3	3		15
Sum	3	22	13	35	11	84
Percentage						100.0

C- Professional Information

1. Contents

Topic	Lecture	Tutorial	Practical	Total	Actual		
Торіс	hours	hours	hours		Fall	Spr.	Sum.
Introduction of color as phenomena, color symbol, properties, and psychology of color effect	2	3		5	5		
Painting circle of (3)basic color (6 -12)	2	3		5	5		
color theory of Ostwald and coloring techniques	2	3		5	5		
color notation (Munsell theory) and coloring techniques	2	3		5	5		
Color value and Grey scale	2	3		5	5		
Intensity of color (chrome)	2	3		5	5		
Mid-Term Exam				5	5		
Cool & warm colors	2	3		5	5		
Research presentation & Discussion	2	3		5	5		
Combining & contrasting colors	2	3		5	5		
Harmony & disharmony of colors	2	3		5	5		
Introduction water colors naturally	2	3		5	5		
Drawing architectural water colors project and manual presentation	2	3		5	5		
water colors in presenting layout and plans	2	3		5	5		

Modern Academy for Engineering & Technology

2020-2021

Architectural Engineering & Building Technology Department

water colors in presenting elevations	2	3		5	5	
Total hours	28	42	0	75	75	

Topics taught as a percentage of the content specified:

>90 % 70-90 % <70%

- Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic: Non
- If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail: Non

• Achieved program intended learning outcomes, ILO's:

A1, A19, A13	B13, B14, B16	C13, C14	D1, D2, D3, D6, D7

2- Teaching and learning methods:

Lecture, presentations, discussions, tutorials, problem solving, self-learning, modeling and Laboratory Experiments

If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, give reasons:

3- Student assessment:

Method of assessment	Points	%
Written examination	40	40
Oral examination	Non	0
Practical/laboratory work	Non	0
Other assignments/class work	50	50
Mid-Term Exam	10	10
Total	100	100

Members of examination committee: Dr. Amira Mostafa

Role of external evaluator: Non

4- Facilities and teaching materials:

Totally adequate	Yes
Adequate to some extent	
Inadequate	

List any inadequacies: Incomplete laboratory equipment, substituted by computer simulations

5- Administrative constraints (List any difficulties encountered)

Non

6- Student evaluation of the course:

Questionnaire Results

Course	
Lecturer	
Assistant	
Book	
Assessment	
Laboratory	

Comments

12-4	D
List any criticisms	Response of course team
,,	1 100 01 100 10 100 110

(a)	
(b)	

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

	Comment	Response of course team
(a)	Non	

8- Written Exam Evaluation

- ➤ The exam header agrees with the MAM standard form
- > The written exam covers 69% of the course ILO's in a balanced form.
- > The exam considers the course aims listed in the course specification.
- ➤ The exam level is acceptable, considering the percentage of success.
- > The exam addresses the fundamentals of the automatic control.
- > The too low level of points of question 4 imposes the need to revise the teaching and learning methods of the ILO's covered by quest 4 together with the relevant lab work.

9- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan. State whether or not completed and give reasons for any non-completion:

Actions required	Planned Completion date	Accomplishment	
(k) Non			

10- Action plan

Actions required	Completion date	Person responsible	
9.			
10.			

Course coordinator: Dr. Amira Mostafa

Signature:

Date: September 2021

A- Basic Information

1- Course Code & Title: ARC 341 History of Architecture (2)

2- Relevant program/s: Architecture Engineering and Building Technology

3- Year/Level of program: Sophomore -Level 3 - 5th Semester

4- Credit hours

Credit 2 hrs Lectures 2 hrs Tutorial - hrs Practical - hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course: Dr. Faten Salah

6- Course coordinator: Dr. Faten Salah

7- External evaluator: Non

B- Statistical Information

40- No. of students attending the course:No.164100 %41- No. of students completing the course:No.15795.73 %

42- Final Results

Semester/Grade	Α	В	С	D	F	Total
Fall						
Spring	43	68	27	16	3	157
Summer	4	18	5	11	2	40
Sum	47	86	32	27	5	197
Percentage						100.0

C- Professional Information

1. Contents

Topic	Lecture	Tutorial	Practical	Total	Actual		
Торіо	hours	hours	hours		Fall	Spr.	Sum.
General introduction for the course	2			2		2	
Christian age	2			2		2	
Christian age	2			2		2	
Coptic architecture	2			2		2	
Byzantine architecture	2			2		2	
Byzantine architecture	2			2		2	
Mid-Term Exam							
Romanesque architecture	2			2		2	
Gothic style in France	2			2		2	
Gothic style in Italy	2			2		2	
Gothic style in Europe	2			2		2	
Digital Presentation of the Final							
Researches:	2			2		2	
(Jury): Staff's Criticism / Evaluation for	2			2		2	
each Student							
Digital Presentation of the Final							
Researches:	2			2		2	
(Jury): Staff's Criticism / Evaluation for	2			2			
each Student							
General introduction for the course	2			2		2	

Modern Academy for Engineering & Technology

Architectural Engineering & Building Technology Department

2020-2021

<70%

Christian age	2			2	2	
Total hours	30	0	0			

Topics taught as a percentage of the content specified:

>90 % 70-90 %

- Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic: Non
- If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail: Non

• Achieved program intended learning outcomes, ILO's:

A12,A19	B7,B13,B14,B20,B21	C12,C13.C18	D2,D3,D4,D5,D9

2- Teaching and learning methods:

Lecture, presentations, discussions, tutorials, problem solving, self-learning, modeling and Laboratory Experiments

If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, give reasons:

3- Student assessment:

Method of assessment	Points	%
Written examination	70	70
Oral examination	Non	0
Practical/laboratory work	Non	0
Other assignments/class work	20	20
Mid-Term Exam	10	10
Total	100	100

Members of examination committee: Dr. Faten Salah

Role of external evaluator: Non

4- Facilities and teaching materials:

Totally adequate	Yes
Adequate to some extent	
Inadequate	

List any inadequacies: Incomplete laboratory equipment, substituted by computer simulations

5- Administrative constraints (List any difficulties encountered)

Non

6- Student evaluation of the course:

Questionnaire Results

Course	
Lecturer	
Assistant	
Book	
Assessment	
Laboratory	

Comments

List any criticisms	Response of course team

(a)	
(b)	

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

	Comment	Response of course team
(a)	Non	

8- Written Exam Evaluation

- ➤ The exam header agrees with the MAM standard form
- > The written exam covers 69% of the course ILO's in a balanced form.
- > The exam considers the course aims listed in the course specification.
- ➤ The exam level is acceptable, considering the percentage of success.
- > The exam addresses the fundamentals of the automatic control.
- > The too low level of points of question 4 imposes the need to revise the teaching and learning methods of the ILO's covered by quest 4 together with the relevant lab work.

9- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan. State whether or not completed and give reasons for any non-completion:

Actions required		required	Planned Completion date	Accomplishment	
(I) I	Von				

10- Action plan

Actions required	Completion date	Person responsible		
11.				
12.				

Course coordinator: Dr. Faten Salah

Signature:

Date: September 2021

A- Basic Information

1- Course Code & Title: ARC 310 Environment Control

2- Relevant program/s: Architecture Engineering and Building Technology

3- Year/Level of program: Sophomore -Level 3 - 5th Semester

4- Credit hours

Credit 2 hrs Lectures 2 hrs Tutorial 1 hrs Practical - hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course: Dr. Heba Mahrous

6- Course coordinator: Dr. Heba Mahrous

7- External evaluator: Non

B- Statistical Information

43- No. of students attending the course:No.76100 %44- No. of students completing the course:No.6484.21 %

45- Final Results

Semester/Grade	Α	В	С	D	F	Total
Fall						
Spring	3	2	14	28	17	64
Summer	18	14	10	9	3	52
Sum	21	16	24	38	20	116
Percentage						100.0

C- Professional Information

1. Contents

Topic	Lecture	Tutorial	Practical	Total	Actual		
Торіс	hours	hours	hours		Fall	Spr.	Sum.
Introduction –Environment and its		1		3	3	3	
physical aspects – climatic regions and	2						
levels of studying							
Climatic Elements affecting design	2	1		3	3	3	
process							
Solar Radiation and its properties	2	1		3	3	3	
Design of sun breakers	2	1		3	3	3	
Heat and thermal behavior of the building	2	1		3	3	3	
wind and air movement	2	1		3	3	3	
Mid-Term Exam		1		3	3	3	
basics of natural ventilation Heat	2	1		3	3	3	
performance of the building							
Elements of human comfort	2	1					
Components of day lighting Day lighting	2	1		3	3	3	
design tools							
Research presentation & Discussion	2	1		3	3	3	
Introduction –Environment and its		1		3	3	3	
physical aspects – climatic regions and	2						
levels of studying							
Climatic Elements affecting design	2	1		3	3	3	
process							

Modern Academy for Engineering & Technology

Architectural Engineering & Building Technology Department

2020-2021

Solar Radiation and its properties	2	1		3	3	3	
Design of sun breakers heat and thermal behavior of the building	2	1		3	3	3	
Total hours	28	14	0	42	42	42	

• Topics taught as a percentage of the content specified:

>90 % 70-90 % <70%

- Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic: Non
- If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail: Non

• Achieved program intended learning outcomes, ILO's:

A5, A8, A12,A24	B2, B3, B13, B15,	C1, C2, C11, C17,	D1, D2,D3, D4,D5,D6, D7, D8
	B17	C19,C25	

2- Teaching and learning methods:

Lecture, presentations, discussions, tutorials, problem solving, self-learning, modeling and Laboratory Experiments

If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, give reasons:

3- Student assessment:

Method of assessment	Points	%
Written examination	70	70
Oral examination	Non	0
Practical/laboratory work	Non	0
Other assignments/class work	20	20
Mid-Term Exam	10	10
Total	100	100

Members of examination committee: Dr. Heba Mahrous

Role of external evaluator: Non

4- Facilities and teaching materials:

Totally adequate	Yes
Adequate to some extent	
Inadequate	

List any inadequacies: Incomplete laboratory equipment, substituted by computer simulations

5- Administrative constraints (List any difficulties encountered)

Nor

6- Student evaluation of the course:

Questionnaire Results

tioillane Nesults	
Course	
Lecturer	
Assistant	
Book	
Assessment	
Laboratory	

Comments

	List any criticisms	Response of course team
(a)		
(b)		

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

	Comment	Response of course team
(a)	Non	

8- Written Exam Evaluation

- ➤ The exam header agrees with the MAM standard form
- ➤ The written exam covers 69% of the course ILO's in a balanced form.
- > The exam considers the course aims listed in the course specification.
- > The exam level is acceptable, considering the percentage of success.
- > The exam addresses the fundamentals of the automatic control.
- The too low level of points of question 4 imposes the need to revise the teaching and learning methods of the ILO's covered by quest 4 together with the relevant lab work.

9- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan. State whether or not completed and give reasons for any non-completion:

Actions required	Planned Completion date	Accomplishment
(m) Non		

10- Action plan

Actions required	Completion date	Person responsible
13. Improve wi fi connection		Academy
to improve the connection with		
students in lecture time		
14. Asking head of table		Academy
arrangement to increase the		·
time of tutorial		

Course coordinator: Dr. Heba Mahrous

Signature:

Date: September 2021

A- Basic Information

1- Course Code & Title: ARC 315 Foundations

2- Relevant program/s: Architecture Engineering and Building Technology

3- Year/Level of program: Sophomore -Level 3 - 5th Semester

4- Credit hours

Credit 2 hrs Lectures 2 hrs Tutorial - hrs Practical - hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course: Prof. Dr. Adham Elalfy

6- Course coordinator: Prof. Dr. Adham Elalfy

7- External evaluator: Non

B- Statistical Information

46- No. of students attending the course:No.160100%47- No. of students completing the course:No.14188.13%

48- Final Results

Semester/Grade	Α	В	С	D	F	Total
Fall						
Spring	70	39	21	11	0	141
Summer	7	2				9
Sum	77	41	21	11	0	150
Percentage						100.0

C- Professional Information

1. Contents

Topic	Lecture hours	Tutorial hours	Practical hours
Introduction to Soil Mechanics	2		
Soil Exploration	2		
Soil classification	2		
Physical properties of soil	2		
Mechanical properties	2		
Active soil pressure	2		
Mid-Term Exam			
Bearing Capacity of the types of soil Compaction of soil	2		
Foundation introduction	2		
Design of isolated square footing	2		
Design of isolated rectangular footing	2		
Design of combined footing	2		
Design of raft foundation	2		
Deep foundation	2		
Deep foundation	2		
Total hours	28	0	0

• Topics taught as a percentage of the content specified:

>90 % 70-90 % <70%

Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic: Non

• If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail: Non

Achieved program intended learning outcomes, ILO's:

A3. A4 A5 A9. A15 B2. B5. B6. B22. C2.C12. C13. C14 D6	A3, A4 A5 A9, A15	B2, B5, B6, B22,	C2,C12, C13, C14	D6
--	-------------------	------------------	------------------	----

2- Teaching and learning methods:

Lecture, presentations, discussions, tutorials, problem solving, self-learning, modeling and Laboratory Experiments

If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, give reasons:

3- Student assessment:

Method of assessment	Points	%
Written examination	70	70
Oral examination	Non	0
Practical/laboratory work	Non	0
Other assignments/class work	20	20
Mid-Term Exam	10	10
Total	100	100

Members of examination committee: Prof. Dr. Adham Elalfy

Role of external evaluator: Non

4- Facilities and teaching materials:

Totally adequate	Yes
Adequate to some extent	
Inadequate	

List any inadequacies: Incomplete laboratory equipment, substituted by computer simulations

5- Administrative constraints (List any difficulties encountered)

Non

6- Student evaluation of the course:

Questionnaire Results

Course	62%
Lecturer	71%
Assistant	72%
Book	74%
Assessment	65%
Laboratory	33%

Comments

	List any criticisms	Response of course team
(a)		
(b)		

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

	Comment	Response of course team
(a)	Non	

8- Written Exam Evaluation

- > The exam header agrees with the MAM standard form
- ➤ The written exam covers 69% of the course ILO's in a balanced form.
- > The exam considers the course aims listed in the course specification.
- > The exam level is acceptable, considering the percentage of success.
- > The exam addresses the fundamentals of the automatic control.
- ➤ The too low level of points of question 4 imposes the need to revise the teaching and learning methods of the ILO's covered by quest 4 together with the relevant lab work.

9- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan. State whether or not completed and give reasons for any non-completion:

Actions required	Planned Completion date	Accomplishment
(n) Non		

10- Action plan

Actions required	Completion date	Person responsible
15.		
16.		

Course coordinator: Prof. Dr. Adham Elalfy

Signature:

Date: September 2021

A- Basic Information

1- Course Code & Title: ARC 330 Construction Equipment

2- Relevant program/s: Architecture Engineering and Building Technology

3- Year/Level of program: Sophomore -Level 3 - 5th Semester

4- Credit hours

Credit 2 hrs Lectures 2 hrs Tutorial - hrs Practical - hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course: Dr. ESlam Hamdy

6- Course coordinator: Dr. Eslam Hamdy

7- External evaluator: Non

B- Statistical Information

49- No. of students attending the course:No.3100 %50- No. of students completing the course:No.3100 %

51- Final Results

Semester/Grade	Α	В	С	D	F	Total	
Fall	1	2				3	
Spring	31	99	93	73	12	308	
Summer	3	3	7	4		22	
Sum	34	102	100	77	12	330	
Percentage						100.0	

C- Professional Information

1. Contents

Tonio	Lecture	Tutorial	Practical	Total	Actual		
Topic	hours	hours	hours		Fall	Spr.	Sum.
Introduction to construction	2			2	2		
Equipment				_			
Construction equipment in site	2			2	2		
Cost analysis(The productivity of the equipment)	2			2	2		
Wrenches wood used in the construction work	2			2	2		
Different techniques optimizing equipment for best production	2			2	2		
Site Planning and preparation for a construction equipment (1).	2			2	2		
Site Planning and preparation for a construction equipment (2).	2			2	2		
Determining Equipment Costs	2			2	2		
Time Schedule	2			2	2		
Calculating Equipment Costs	2			2	2		
Energy consumed in the construction of buildings	2			2	2		
Elements of the energy consumption in the construction phase of the building	2			2	2		

Modern Academy for Engineering & Technology Architectural Engineering & Building Technology Department

2020-2021

Factors affecting the energy consumption at the stage of construction of the building	2			2	2	
Program for construction equipment.	2			2	2	
Complete construction project	2			2	2	
Total hours	30	0	0	30	30	

• Topics taught as a percentage of the content specified:

>90 % 70-90 % <70%

- Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic: Non
- If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail: Non
- Achieved program intended learning outcomes, ILO's:

A14 ,A15 ,A16,A24 B2,B3,B9,B20,B22,B23 C11.C12,C15,C23 D1,D3,D6,D7

2- Teaching and learning methods:

Lecture, presentations, discussions, tutorials, problem solving, self-learning, modeling and Laboratory Experiments

If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, give reasons:

3- Student assessment:

Method of assessment	Points	%
Written examination	70	70
Oral examination	Non	0
Practical/laboratory work	Non	0
Other assignments/class work	20	20
Mid-Term Exam	10	10
Total	100	100

Members of examination committee: Dr. ESlam Hamdy

Role of external evaluator:

4- Facilities and teaching materials:

Totally adequate	Yes
Adequate to some extent	
Inadequate	

List any inadequacies: Incomplete laboratory equipment, substituted by computer simulations

5- Administrative constraints (List any difficulties encountered)

Non

6- Student evaluation of the course:

Questionnaire Results

Course	
Lecturer	
Assistant	
Book	
Assessment	

Laboratory	

Comments

	List any criticisms	Response of course team
(a)		
(b)		

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

	Comment	Response of course team
(a)	Non	

8- Written Exam Evaluation

- > The exam header agrees with the MAM standard form
- ➤ The written exam covers 69% of the course ILO's in a balanced form.
- > The exam considers the course aims listed in the course specification.
- > The exam level is acceptable, considering the percentage of success.
- > The exam addresses the fundamentals of the automatic control.
- The too low level of points of question 4 imposes the need to revise the teaching and learning methods of the ILO's covered by quest 4 together with the relevant lab work.

9- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan. State whether or not completed and give reasons for any non-completion:

Actions requ	iired	Planned Completion date	Accomplishment
(o) Non			

10- Action plan

Actions required	Completion date	Person responsible
17.		
18.		

Course coordinator: Dr. ESlam Hamdy

Signature:

Date: September 2021

Senior 1, Level 4

S		Course
J	Code	Title
1	ARC 421	Architectural Design 5
2	ARC 423	Housing & City Planning 1
3	ARC 425	Theories of Architecture and Arts (3)
4	ARC 410	Technical Installations and Plumbing Engineering 1
5	ARC 412	Working Drawing & Construction Methods 1
6	ARC 422	Architectural Design 6
7	ARC 424	Housing & City Planning 2
8	ARC 440	History of Architecture and Arts (3)
9	ARC 411	Technical Installations and Plumbing Engineering – B
10	ARC 413	Working Drawing & Construction Methods 2
11	ARC 43*	Elective course of Applied Engineering
12	ARC 43*	Elective course of Applied Engineering
13	ARC 45*	Elective course of Basic Humanitarian
14	ARC 45*	Elective course of Basic Humanitarian

A- Basic Information

1- Course Code & Title: ARC 421 Architectural Design 5

2- Relevant program/s: Architecture Engineering and Building Technology

3- Year/Level of program: Senior 1, Senior 1, Level 4, 7th Semester, 7th Semester

4- Credit hours

Credit 3 hrs Lectures 1 hrs Tutorial 6 hrs Practical - hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course: Dr . Faten Salah

6- Course coordinator: Dr . Faten Salah

7- External evaluator: Non

B- Statistical Information

1- No. of students attending the course:
No. 316 100 %
2- No. of students completing the course:
No. 305 96.5 %

3- Final Results

Semester/Grade	Α	В	С	D	F	Total
Fall	5	42	115	143	11	316
Spring	0		9	24	7	40
Summer						
Sum	5	42	124	167	18	356
Percentage	1%	12%	35%	47%	0	100

C- Professional Information

1. Contents

Topic	Lecture	Tutorial	Practical	Total	Actual		
Торіс	hours	hours	hours		Fall	Spr.	Sum.
 Introduction to the design 1st project (A type of a project with a complex and multipurpose functions and spaces) 	1	6		7	7		
 Research: relevant architectural data and similar projects either International or local projects. 	1	6		7	7		
 Research: Data gathering, site analysis, climatic studies, zoning and analysis of similar projects 	1	6		7	7		
Sketch 1 (Schematic / conceptual design)	1	6		7	7		
 Sketch 2 (focuses on designing and formulating project plans) 	1	6		7	7		

 Sketch 3 (Design development for plans) + Sketch 4 (focuses on designing and formulating project elevations) 	1	6	7	7	
Mid-Term Exam	1	6	7	7	
 Sketch 5 (focuses on preparing project sections) 		6	7	7	
 Semi final sketch (Design Development for Layout, plans, elevations, sections and 3d models) 	1	6	7	7	
 Final sketch (Presenting Layout, plans, elevations, sections and 3d models for approval). Presentation and rendering sessions 		6	7	7	
 Final Submission and Project Discussion 	1	6	7	7	
 Introduction to 2nd project(A type of a building of symbolic and structural implications) 	1	6	7	7	
 Sketch 1 (Schematic / conceptual design) 	1	6	7	7	
 Sketch 2 (Presenting proposed layout, plans, elevations, sections and 3d models) Final Submission and Project Discussion 	1	6	7	7	
 Introduction to the design 1st project (A type of a project with a complex and multipurpose functions and spaces) Research: relevant architectural data and similar projects either International or local projects. 	1	6	7	7	
Total hours	15	90	105	105	

• Topics taught as a percentage of the content specified:

>90 % 70-90 % <70%

Non

Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic: Non

• If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail: Non

Achieved program intended learning outcomes, ILO's:

A4,A11,A13,A23	B3,B4,B13,B14,B16,B17,B19,B20	C4. C13. C15	D1,D3,D6,D7
		. C17. C18 .	
		C19 . C20 .	
		C21	

2- Teaching and learning methods:

Classical lecturing using the white board and data show, General criticism & presentations

If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, give reasons:

3- Student assessment:

Method of assessment	Points	%

Written examination	40	40
Project	24	24
Practical/laboratory work	Non	0
Other assignments/class work	26	26
Mid-Term Exam	10	10
Total	100	100

Members of examination committee: Dr. Faten Salah

Role of external evaluator: Non

4- Facilities and teaching materials:

Totally adequate	Yes
Adequate to some extent	-
Inadequate	-

List any inadequacies: Non

5- Administrative constraints (List any difficulties encountered)

Non

6- Student evaluation of the course:

Questionnaire Results

Course	
Lecturer	
Assistant	
Book	
Assessment	
Laboratory	

Comments

	List any criticisms	Response of course team
(a)		
(b)		

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

	Comment	Response of course team
(a)	Non	

8- Written Exam Evaluation

- > The exam header agrees with the MAM standard form
- ➤ The written exam covers 69% of the course ILO's in a balanced form.
- > The exam considers the course aims listed in the course specification.
- > The exam level is acceptable, considering the percentage of success.
- > The exam addresses the fundamentals of the automatic control.
- The too low level of points of question 4 imposes the need to revise the teaching and learning methods of the ILO's covered by quest 4 together with the relevant lab work.

9- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan. State whether or not completed and give reasons for any non-completion:

Actions required	Planned Completion date	Accomplishment
(p) Non		

10- Action plan

Actions required	Completion date	Person responsible
13.		
14.		

Course coordinator: Dr . Faten Salah

Signature:

Date: September 2021

Annual Course Report Academic year 2020-2021

A- Basic Information

1- Course Code & Title: ARC 423 Housing & City Planning 1

2- Relevant program/s: Architecture Engineering and Building Technology

3- Year/Level of program: Senior 1, Senior 1, Level 4, 7th Semester, 7th Semester

4- Credit hours

Credit 2 hrs Lectures 1 hrs Tutorial 3 hrs Practical - hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course:

Dr . Shahinaz El Tayiaa

6- Course coordinator: Dr . Shahinaz El Tayiaa

7- External evaluator: Non

B- Statistical Information

1- No. of students attending the course:
No. of students attending the course:
No. of students completing the course:
No. of students attending the course:
No. of students attending the course:
No. of students attending the course:

3- Final Results

Semester/Grade	Α	В	С	D	F	Total
Fall	34	171	83	29		317
Spring	1	4	8	29	5	47
Summer	0	0	0	0		0
Sum	35	175	91	58		364
Percentage	10%	48%	25%	16%		

C- Professional Information

1. Contents

Tonio	Lecture	Tutorial	Practical	Total	Actual		
Topic	hours	hours	hours		Fall	Spr.	Sum.
Planning definition , elements & level	1	3		4	4		
Thinking methodology	1	3		4	4		

Thinking methodology	1	3	4	4	
Site analysis studies	1	3	4	4	
Site analysis studies (GIS Application)	1	3	4	4	
Following up the project (GIS Application)	1	3	4	4	
Mid-Term Exam	1	3	4	4	
 Following up the project (GIS Application) 	1	3	4	4	
Evaluating site analysis studies	1	3	4	4	
Simian on neighbor hoods (Introducing neighbor hoods)	1	3	4	4	
Following up the alternatives + Evaluation	1	3	4	4	
 Following up the alternatives + Evaluation 	1	3	4	4	
Evaluating alternatives	1	3	4	4	
Semi final presentation (Following up the project)	1	3	4	0	
Final Presentation	1	3	4	0	
Total hours	15	30	60	50	

• Topics taught as a percentage of the content specified:

>90 % 70-90 % <70%

- Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic: Non
- If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail: Non
- Achieved program intended learning outcomes, ILO's:

A11,A16,A17,A19	B10,B11 C6,C20	D2,D3,D5
-----------------	----------------	----------

2- Teaching and learning methods:

Classical lecturing using the white board and data show, General criticism & presentations If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, give reasons:

Non

3- Student assessment:

Method of assessment	Points	%
Written examination	40	40
Project	20	20
Practical/laboratory work	Non	0
Other assignments/class work	30	30
Mid-Term Exam	10	10
Total	100	100

Members of examination committee: Dr . Shahinaz El Tayiaa Role of external evaluator: Non

4- Facilities and teaching materials:

	Totally adequate	Yes
--	------------------	-----

Adequate to some extent	-
Inadequate	-

List any inadequacies: Non

5- Administrative constraints (List any difficulties encountered)

6- Student evaluation of the course:

Questionnaire Results

ioiniano itobalto	
Course	
Lecturer	
Assistant	
Book	
Assessment	
Laboratory	

Comments

	List any criticisms	Response of course team
(a)		
(b)		

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

	Comment	Response of course team
(a)	Non	

8- Written Exam Evaluation

- ➤ The exam header agrees with the MAM standard form
- ➤ The written exam covers 69% of the course ILO's in a balanced form.
- > The exam considers the course aims listed in the course specification.
- > The exam level is acceptable, considering the percentage of success.
- > The exam addresses the fundamentals of the automatic control.
- The too low level of points of question 4 imposes the need to revise the teaching and learning methods of the ILO's covered by quest 4 together with the relevant lab work.

9- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan. State whether or not completed and give reasons for any non-completion:

Actions required	Planned Completion date	Accomplishment
(q) Non		

10- Action plan

Actions required	Completion date	Person responsible
15.		
16.		

Course coordinator: Dr . Shahinaz El Tayiaa

Signature:

Date: September 2021

A- Basic Information

1- Course Code & Title: ARC 425 Theories of Architecture and Arts (3)

2- Relevant program/s: Architecture Engineering and Building Technology BSc Program

3- Year/Level of program: Senior 1, Senior 1, Level 4, 7th Semester, 7th Semester

4- Credit hours

Credit 2 hrs Lectures 2 hrs Tutorial - hrs Practical - hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course: Dr . Faten Salah

6- Course coordinator: Dr . Faten Salah

7- External evaluator: Non

B- Statistical Information

1- No. of students attending the course:
No. of students completing the course:
No. of students completing the course:
No. of students completing the course:

3- Final Results

Semester/Grade	Α	В	С	D	F	Total
Fall	31	48	66	104	74	323
Spring	4	6	14	0		24
Summer	2	4	1	0		7
Sum	37	58	81	104		354
Percentage						

C- Professional Information

1. Contents

Tonio	Lecture	Tutorial	Practical	Total	, ,	Actual	
Topic	hours	hours	hours		Fall	Spr.	Sum.
1.General introduction for the course	2			2	2		
2.Architectural characteristics of Renaissance Era Analyzing projects of Architects.	2			2	2		
3.Architectural characteristics of Renaissance Era Analyzing projects of Architects.	2			2	2		
4.Architectural characteristics of BAROQUE, Analyzing projects of Architects	2			2	2		
5.Architectural characteristics of The Age of Enlightenment	2			2	2		

6.Social, technical and urban transformation in19 th century. The influences of the industrial revolution on art and architecture in 19 th century.	2		2	2	
7.Mid term exam	2		2	2	
8.Architectural trends and schools in 19 th century	2		2	2	
 9.Architectural trends and schools in 19thcentury 	2		2	2	
10.Architectural trends and schools in 19 th century	2		2	2	
11.The impact of new materials on architecture	2		2	2	
12.Architecture of steel and reinforced concrete in19 th century	2		2	2	
13.Architecture of steel and reinforced concrete in19 th century	2		2	2	
 14.Digital Presentation of the Final Researches: (Jury): Staff's Criticism / Evaluation for each Student 	2		2	2	
Final Revision	2		2	2	
Total hours	30		30	30	

• Topics taught as a percentage of the content specified:

>90 % 70-90 % <70%

- Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic: Non
- If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail: Non
- Achieved program intended learning outcomes, ILO's:

	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
A4 .A13.A19.A21.A24	B3.B12 .B14.B21	C13.C17.C18.C19	D3.D4.D5.D9

2- Teaching and learning methods:

Classical lecturing using the white board and data show, General criticism & presentations

If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, give reasons:

Non

3- Student assessment:

Method of assessment	Points	%
Written examination	70	70
Researches	20	20
Practical/laboratory work	Non	0
Other assignments/class work	Non	0
Mid-Term Exam	10	10
Total	100	100

Members of examination committee: Dr . Faten Salah

Role of external evaluator: Non

4- Facilities and teaching materials:

Totally adequate	Yes
Adequate to some extent	-
Inadequate	-

List any inadequacies: Non

5- Administrative constraints (List any difficulties encountered)

Nor

6- Student evaluation of the course:

Questionnaire Results

Course	
Lecturer	
Assistant	
Book	
Assessment	
Laboratory	

Comments

	List any criticisms	Response of course team
(a)		
(b)		

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

	Comment	Response of course team
(a)	Non	

8- Written Exam Evaluation

- > The exam header agrees with the MAM standard form
- ➤ The written exam covers 69% of the course ILO's in a balanced form.
- > The exam considers the course aims listed in the course specification.
- > The exam level is acceptable, considering the percentage of success.
- > The exam addresses the fundamentals of the automatic control.
- ➤ The too low level of points of question 4 imposes the need to revise the teaching and learning methods of the ILO's covered by quest 4 together with the relevant lab work.

9- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan. State whether or not completed and give reasons for any non-completion:

	Actions required	Planned Completion date	Accomplishment
(r)	Non		

10- Action plan

Actions required	Completion date	Person responsible
17.		
18.		

Course coordinator: Dr . Faten Salah

Signature:

Date: September 2021

A- Basic Information

1- Course Code & Title: ARC410 Technical Installation in Buildings1

2- Relevant program/s: Architecture Engineering and Building Technology BSc Program

3- Year/Level of program: Senior 1, Level 4, 7th Semester

4- Credit hours

Credit 2 hrs Lectures 1 hrs Tutorial 3 hrs Practical - hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course:

Dr. Sayed Abdel- Khaleaa

6- Course coordinator: Dr. Sayed Abdel- Khaleaa

7- External evaluator: Non

B- Statistical Information

1- No. of students attending the course:
No. of students completing the course:
No. of students completing the course:
No. of students completing the course:

3- Final Results

Semester/Grade	Α	В	С	D	F	Total
Fall	109	111	74	49	17	360
Spring	0		0	0		0
Summer	3		1	0		4
Sum	112	111	75	49		364
Percentage						

C- Professional Information

1. Contents

Topic	Lecture	Tutorial	Practical	Total	Actual		
Торіс	hours	hours hours		hours		Spr.	Sum.
 Principles of light. Principles of heat. 	1	3		4	4		
Nature of light. Nature of heat.	1	3		4	4		
 Nature of vision. Thermal load on buildings. 	1	3		4	4		
 Measurement of lighting. U – values. 	1	3		4	4		
 Measurement of lighting. U – values. 	1	3		4	4		
 Measurement of lighting. Thermal load upon building envelope. 	1	3		4	4		
Mid-Term Exam.	1	3		4	4		
 Artificial lighting. Luminaries. Thermal load upon building envelope. 	1	3		4	4		
 Artificial Lighting costs. Heat gain \ loss in buildings. 	1	3		4	4		

Modern Academy for Engineering & Technology

Architectural Engineering & Building Technology Department

2020-2021

Natural lighting. Heat gain \ loss in buildings.	1	3	4	4	
 Natural light sources. Heat gain \ loss in buildings. 	1	3	4	4	
 Daylight factors. Thermal insulation. 	1	3	4	4	
Combined lighting. Thermal insulation.	1	3	4	4	
Principles of light. Principles of heat.	1	3	4	4	
Nature of light. Nature of heat.	1	3	4	4	
Total hours	15	45	60	60	

• Topics taught as a percentage of the content specified:

>90 % 70-90 % <70%

- Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic: Non
- If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail: Non

Achieved program intended learning outcomes, ILO's:

A1, A4, A5,A6 ,A11,A12,A14 ,A24	B2, B3, B4,B5,	C1, C12,C15,	D6
	B7,B11,B24	C19,C22,C23,C25	

2- Teaching and learning methods:

Classical lecturing using the white board and data show, General criticism & presentations

If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, give reasons:

3- Student assessment:

Method of assessment	Points	%
Written examination	70	70
Researches	Non	0
Practical/laboratory work	Non	0
Other assignments/class work	20	20
Mid-Term Exam	10	10
Total	100	100

Members of examination committee: Dr. Sayed Abdel- Khaleaa

Role of external evaluator: Non

4- Facilities and teaching materials:

Totally adequate	Yes
Adequate to some extent	-
Inadequate	-

List any inadequacies: Non

5- Administrative constraints (List any difficulties encountered)

Non

6- Student evaluation of the course:

Questionnaire Results

Course	
Lecturer	

Assistant	
Book	
Assessment	
Laboratory	

Comments

	List any criticisms	Response of course team
(a)		
(b)		
	Comment	Response of course team
(a)	Non	

8- Written Exam Evaluation

- > The exam header agrees with the MAM standard form
- ➤ The written exam covers 69% of the course ILO's in a balanced form.
- > The exam considers the course aims listed in the course specification.
- > The exam level is acceptable, considering the percentage of success.
- > The exam addresses the fundamentals of the automatic control.
- The too low level of points of question 4 imposes the need to revise the teaching and learning methods of the ILO's covered by quest 4 together with the relevant lab work.

9- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan. State whether or not completed and give reasons for any non-completion:

	Actions required	Planned Completion date	Accomplishment
(s)	Non		

10- Action plan

Actions required	Completion date	Person responsible
19.		
20.		

Course coordinator: Dr. Sayed Abdel- Khaleaa

Signature:

Date: September 2021

A- Basic Information

1- Course Code & Title: ARC412 Working drawing and Construction Methods 1

2- Program(s) on which this course is given:

Architecture Engineering and Building Technology

3- Year/Level of program: Level 3

4- Credit hours

Credit: 3 hrs. Lectures: 2 hrs. Tutorial: 4 hrs. Total 6hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course: Dr. Azza Gamal

6- Course coordinator: Dr. Azza Gamal , Dr. Shimaa Hassan

7- External evaluator: None

B- Statistical Information

52- No. of students attending the course:

53- No. of students completing the course:

No.

No.

350 100 **%**326 93.1 **%**

54- Final Results

Semester/Grade	A	В	С	D	F	Total
Fall	36	53	110	104	23	326
Spring	1	2	6	36	5	40
Summer	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Sum	37	55	116	140	28	366
Percentage	9.97	14.82	31.69	38.25	7.65	100.0

C- Professional Information

1 - Course Teaching Hours

Mask Tonio		Tonia Lastura Tutorial		Drestical	tical Total	Actual		
Week	Topic	Lecture	Tutorial	Practical	Total	Fall		Sum.
1	Introduction to Working Drawing and construction methods	2	4	0	6	6	6	
2	Concrete Structure Systems	2	4	0	6	6	6	

3	Floor plans working drawings (Ground Floor)	2	4	0	6	6	6	
4	Typical floor plans	2	4	0	6	6	6	
5	Basement plans	2	4	0	6	6	6	
6	Site plan (Layout)	2	4	0	6	6	6	
7	Med Term Exam	2	4	0	6	6	6	
8	Sections	2	4	0	6	6	6	
9	Elevations	2	4	0	6	6	6	
10	Concrete Stairs Plans	2	4	0	6	6	6	
11	Concrete Stairs Sections	2	4	0	6	6	6	
12	Project submission and discussion.	2	4	0	6	6	6	
13	Revision	2	4	0	6	0	0	
14		2	4	0	6	0	0	
15		2	4	0	6	0	0	
	Total hours	30	28	0	58	72	72	

Topics taught as a percentage of the content specified:

More than 95%

• Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic:

This semester is only 13 weeks because of Covid-19

• If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail:

None

• Achieved program intended learning outcomes, ILO's:

A1,A2,A3,A4,A5,A6,A7,A8,A9,A10,B1,B2,B3,B4,B5,C1,C2,C3,C4,C5,D1,D2,D3

2- Teaching and learning methods:

Lectures: Lecture, discussions, tutorials,

Class activity Exercises; discussions
Case Study: Selected Project
Other -weekly assignments

assignments/homework:

If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, give reasons: None

3- Student Assessment Methods

Method of assessment	Points	%
Written examination	40	40
Quizzes	10	10
Practical/laboratory work	-	0
Project	20	20
Periodical Sketches	-	
Other assignments/class work	20	20

Mid-Term Exam	10	10
Total	100	100

Members of examination committee:

Dr. Azza Gamal , Dr. Shimaa Hassan , Dr. Moataz Elbaz

Role of external evaluator:

None

4- Facilities and teaching materials:

Totally adequate	
Adequate to some extent	Yes
Inadequate	

List any inadequacies:

5- Administrative constraints (List any difficulties encountered)

None

6- Student evaluation of the course:

Questionnaire Results

- Questionnaire resource	
Course	
Lecturer	
Assistant	
Book	
Assessment	
Laboratory	

	List any criticisms	Response of course team
(a)	None	None

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

	Comment	Response of course team			
(a)	None	None			

8- Written Exam Evaluation

The results of the course are normally distributed with mean at and with standard deviation. This means that the main objectives of the course are achieved for most of the students.

9- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan. State whether or not completed and give reasons for any non-completion:

Actions required	Planned Completion date	Accomplishment	
Book Update	Oct. 2021	Done	

10- Action plan for academic year 2021 – 2022

Actions required	Completion date	Person responsible
None	Sept. 2022	None

Course coordinator: Dr. Azza Gamal

Signature:

Date: 21/8/2021

A- Basic Information

1- Course Code & Title: ARC 422 Architectural Design 6

2- Relevant program/s: Architecture Engineering and Building Technology BSc Program

3- Year/Level of program: Senior 1, Level 4, 7th Semester

4- Credit hours

Credit 3 hrs Lectures 1 hrs Tutorial 6 hrs Practical - hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course: Dr. Ahmed Nour

6- Course coordinator: Dr. Ahmed Nour

7- External evaluator: Non

B- Statistical Information

1- No. of students attending the course:
No. 89 100 %
2- No. of students completing the course:
No. 86 96.6 %

3- Final Results

Semester/Grade	A	В	С	D	F	Total
Fall	0	3	29	54	3	89
Spring	6	49	109	115	11	290
Summer	0	0	4	8		12
Sum	6	52	142	177	14	391
Percentage						

C- Professional Information

1. Contents

Tonio	Lecture	Tutorial	Practical	Total	Actual		
Торіс	hours	hours	hours		Fall	Spr.	Sum.
 Introduction to 3rd project (A Multi-story Residential and commercial Building) 	1	6		7	7		
 Research: relevant architectural data and similar projects either International or local projects. 	1	6		7	7		
Sketch 1 (Schematic / conceptual design)	1	6		7	7		
 Sketch 2 (focuses on designing and formulating project plans) 	1	6		7	7		
 Sketch 3 (Design development for plans) 	1	6		7	7		
 Sketch 4 (focuses on designing and formulating project elevations and main sections) 	1	6		7	7		
Mid-Term Exam				7	7		

Development for Layout, plans, elevations, sections and 3d models)	1	6	7	7	
 Sketch 6 - Final sketch (Presenting Layout, plans, elevations, sections and 3d models for approval). Presentation and rendering sessions 	1	6	,	,	
 Final Submission and Project Discussion 	1	6	7	7	
 Introduction to 4th project (A type of a project with both function and structural implications) 	1	6	7	7	
 Research: Data gathering, site analysis, climatic studies, zoning and analysis of similar projects 	1	6	7	7	
 Sketch 1 (Schematic / conceptual design) 	1	6	7	7	
 Sketch 2 (Design development for plans) 	1	6	7	7	
 Sketch 3 (Presenting proposed layout, plans, elevations, sections and 3d models) 	1	6	7	7	
Total hours	30	90	105	105	

• Topics taught as a percentage of the content specified:

>90 % 70-90 %

<70%

- Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic: Non
- If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail: Non
- Achieved program intended learning outcomes, ILO's:

A4,A11,A13,A14,A17,A	B3,B4,B13,B14,B16,B17,B19,B	C4,C13,C15,C17,C18,C19,C20,C	D1,D3,D6,D7
23	20	21	

2- Teaching and learning methods:

Classical lecturing using the white board and data show, General criticism & presentations

If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, give reasons:

Non

3- Student assessment:

Method of assessment	Points	%
Written examination	40	40
Project	20	20
Practical/laboratory work	Non	0
Other assignments/class work	30	30
Mid-Term Exam	10	10
Total	100	100

Members of examination committee: Dr. Ahmed Nour

Role of external evaluator: Non

4- Facilities and teaching materials:

Totally adequate	Yes
Adequate to some extent	-
Inadequate	-

List any inadequacies:

Non

5- Administrative constraints (List any difficulties encountered)

Non

6- Student evaluation of the course:

Questionnaire Results

Course	
Lecturer	
Assistant	
Book	
Assessment	
Laboratory	

Comments

	List any criticisms	Response of course team
(a)		
(b)		

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

	Comment	Response of course team
(a)	Non	

8- Written Exam Evaluation

- ➤ The exam header agrees with the MAM standard form
- > The written exam covers 69% of the course ILO's in a balanced form.
- > The exam considers the course aims listed in the course specification.
- > The exam level is acceptable, considering the percentage of success.
- > The exam addresses the fundamentals of the automatic control.
- ➤ The too low level of points of question 4 imposes the need to revise the teaching and learning methods of the ILO's covered by quest 4 together with the relevant lab work.

9- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan. State whether or not completed and give reasons for any non-completion:

	Actions required	Planned Completion date	Accomplishment
(t)	Non		

10- Action plan

Modern Academy for Engineering & Technology Architectural Engineering & Building Technology Department

2020-2021

21.	
22.	

Course coordinator: Dr. Ahmed Nour

Signature: Date: September 2021

A- Basic Information

1- Course Code & Title: ARC 424 Housing & City Planning 2

2- Relevant program/s: Architecture Engineering and Building Technology BSc Program

3- Year/Level of program: Senior 1, Level 4, 7th Semester

4- Credit hours

Credit 2 hrs Lectures 1 hrs Tutorial 3 hrs Practical - hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course:

Dr. Shahinaz El Tayaa

6- Course coordinator: Dr. Shahinaz El Tayaa

7- External evaluator: Non

B- Statistical Information

1- No. of students attending the course:
No. 12 100 %
2- No. of students completing the course:
No. 11 91.6 %

3- Final Results

Semester/Grade	Α	В	С	D	F	Total
Fall	1	1	6	3	1	12
Spring	0	47	131	104	6	288
Summer	1	11	21	9	1	48
Sum	2	59	158	116	8	348
Percentage						

C- Professional Information

1. Contents

1. Contents		1					
Торіс	Lecture hours	Tutorial hours	Practical hours	Total	Actual Fall	Spr.	Sum.
 Planning elements & introducing the project 	1	3		4	4	•	
Site analysis studies (Revision on GIS)	1	3		4	4		
Site analysis studies	1	3		4	4		
Site analysis studies (following up the project)	1	3		4	4		
Following up the site analysis studies & evaluation	1	3		4	4		
Following up the site analysis studies & evaluation	1	3		4	4		
Mid-Term Exam	1	3		4	4		
Evaluating the site analysis studies	1	3		4	4		
Solving strategies (following up the alternatives)	1	3		4	4		

Modern Academy for Engineering & Technology

Architectural Engineering & Building Technology Department

2020-2021

Solving strategies (following up the alternatives)	1	3	4	4	
 Solving strategies (following up the alternatives) 	1	3	4	4	
Evaluating alternatives	1	3	4	4	
Evaluating alternatives	1	3	4	4	
Semi-final presentation (following up the project)	1	3	4	0	
Final presentation	1	3	4	0	
Total hours	15	45	60	50	

• Topics taught as a percentage of the content specified:

>90 % 70-90 % <70%

- Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic: Non
- If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail: Non

Achieved program intended learning outcomes, ILO's:

	J		
A16,A17,A19, A22	B10,B11,B12,B13	C5,C6,C21	D2,D3,D5

2- Teaching and learning methods:

Classical lecturing using the white board and data show, General criticism & presentations

If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, give reasons:

3- Student assessment:

Method of assessment	Points	%
Written examination	40	40
Project	30	30
Practical/laboratory work	Non	0
Other assignments/class work	20	20
Mid-Term Exam	10	10
Total	100	100

Members of examination committee: Dr. Shahinaz El Tayaa

Role of external evaluator: Non

4- Facilities and teaching materials:

Totally adequate	Yes
Adequate to some extent	-
Inadequate	-

List any inadequacies: Non

5- Administrative constraints (List any difficulties encountered)

6- Student evaluation of the course:

Questionnaire Results

Course	
Lecturer	

Architectural Engineering & Building Technology Department

Assistant	
Book	
Assessment	
Laboratory	

	List any criticisms	Response of course team
(a)		
(b)		

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

		Comment	Response of course team
Ī	(a)	Non	

8- Written Exam Evaluation

- > The exam header agrees with the MAM standard form
- ➤ The written exam covers 69% of the course ILO's in a balanced form.
- > The exam considers the course aims listed in the course specification.
- > The exam level is acceptable, considering the percentage of success.
- > The exam addresses the fundamentals of the automatic control.
- The too low level of points of question 4 imposes the need to revise the teaching and learning methods of the ILO's covered by quest 4 together with the relevant lab work.

9- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan. State whether or not completed and give reasons for any non-completion:

Actions required	Planned Completion date	Accomplishment
(u) Non		

10- Action plan

Actions required	Completion date	Person responsible
23.		
24.		

Course coordinator: Dr. Shahinaz El Tayaa

Signature:

Date: September 2021

A- Basic Information

1- Course Code & Title: ARC 440 History of Architecture and Arts (3)

2- Relevant program/s: Architecture Engineering and Building Technology BSc Program

3- Year/Level of program: Senior 1, Level 4, 8th Semester

4- Credit hours

Credit 2 hrs Lectures 2 hrs Tutorial - hrs Practical - hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course:

Dr. Mona El.Basyoni

6- Course coordinator: Dr. Mona El.Basyoni -Dr . Faten Salah

7- External evaluator: Non

B- Statistical Information

1- No. of students attending the course:
No. | - | - %
2- No. of students completing the course:
No. | - | - %

3- Final Results

Semester/Grade	Α	В	С	D	F	Total
Fall	5	0	1	1		7
Spring	81	140	76	27	3	327
Summer	5	33	28	27	3	096
Sum	91	17340	105	58	6	430
Percentage						

C- Professional Information

1. Contents

1. Contents	Loctura	Tutorial	Practical	Total	Actual		
Topic	Lecture hours	Tutorial hours	hours	Total	Fall	Spr.	Sum.
		Hours	Hours			opi.	Sulli.
 Urban traditions in the Islamic world. 	2			2	2		
Caliph. Periods.	2			2	2		
 Tulane's period. 	2			2	2		
Building concepts in Islamic Arch.	2			2	2		
Fatimid caiphs' period.	2			2	2		
 Fatimid caiphs' period. (Site Visit) / Ayyubids period. 	2			2	2		
Mid-Term Exam	2			2	2		
Home in Islamic Arch.	2			2	2		
 Mamluks (Bahri and Circassian) period. 	2			2	2		
 Mamluks (Bahri and Circassian) period. 	2			2	2		
Mamluks (Bahri and Circassian) period.(Site Visit)	2			2	2		
Ottoman (Turks) period.	2			2	2		

Modern Academy for Engineering & Technology

Architectural Engineering & Building Technology Department

2020-2021

Napolic Invasion (Mohamed Ali) period.	2		2	2	
Research	2		2	2	
 Individual presentation. 	2		2	2	
Total hours	30		30	30	

• Topics taught as a percentage of the content specified:

>90 % 70-90 % <70%

- Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic: Non
- If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail: Non

Achieved program intended learning outcomes, ILO's:

A18,A 19	B4,B13,B 20,B21	C 20, C 21,C22	D1,D3,D 4, D8

2- Teaching and learning methods:

Classical lecturing using the white board and data show, General criticism & presentations

If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, give reasons:

3- Student assessment:

Method of assessment	Points	%
Written examination	70	70
Researches	20	20
Practical/laboratory work	Non	0
Other assignments/class work	Non	0
Mid-Term Exam	10	10
Total	100	100

Members of examination committee: Dr. Mona El.Basyoni -Dr . Faten Salah

Role of external evaluator: Non

4- Facilities and teaching materials:

Totally adequate	Yes
Adequate to some extent	-
Inadequate	-

List any inadequacies: Non

5- Administrative constraints (List any difficulties encountered)

Nor

6- Student evaluation of the course:

Questionnaire Results

Course	
Lecturer	
Assistant	
Book	
Assessment	
Laboratory	

c	٦m	m	^,	nte
1.0	nm	m	ρı	715

	List any criticisms	Response of course team
(a)		
(b)		

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

	Comment	Response of course team	l
(a)	Non		l

8- Written Exam Evaluation

- ➤ The exam header agrees with the MAM standard form
- ➤ The written exam covers 69% of the course ILO's in a balanced form.
- > The exam considers the course aims listed in the course specification.
- > The exam level is acceptable, considering the percentage of success.
- > The exam addresses the fundamentals of the automatic control.
- > The too low level of points of question 4 imposes the need to revise the teaching and learning methods of the ILO's covered by quest 4 together with the relevant lab work.

9- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan. State whether or not completed and give

reasons for any non-completion:

Actions required	Planned Completion date	Accomplishment
(v) Non		
Actions required	Completion date	Person responsible
25.		
26.		

Course coordinator: Dr. Mona El.Basyoni

Signature:

Date: September 2021

A- Basic Information

1- Course Code & Title: ARC411 Technical Installation in Buildings 2

2- Relevant program/s: Architecture Engineering and Building Technology BSc Program

3- Year/Level of program: Senior 1, Level 4, 8th Semester

4- Credit hours

Credit 2 hrs Lectures 1 hrs Tutorial 3 hrs Practical - hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course:

Dr. Sayed Abdel- Khaleaa

6- Course coordinator: Dr. Sayed Abdel- Khaleaa

7- External evaluator: Non

B- Statistical Information

1- No. of students attending the course:
No. 10 100 %
2- No. of students completing the course:
No. 10 100 %

3- Final Results

Semester/Grade	A	В	С	D	F	Total
Fall	0	1	2	7		10
Spring	55	134	112	31	3	335
Summer	4	1	3	0		8
Sum	59	136	117	38		353
Percentage						

C- Professional Information

1. Contents

1. Contents	1 1	T (' ' '	D (' 1	T ()	A -41		
Topic	Lecture	Tutorial	Practical	Total	Actual		
Торіс	hours	hours	hours		Fall	Spr.	Sum.
 Principles of sound. Principles of sanitary installations. 	1	3		4	4		
 Nature of sound. Sanitary installation in buildings. 	1	3		4	4		
 Sound levels. Sources of water. Water treatment. 	1	3		4	4		
 Attenuation of sound. Water supply in buildings. 	1	3		4	4		
 Nature of hearing. Water supply in buildings. 	1	3		4	4		
 Measurement of sound. Drainage systems. 	1	3		4	4		
Mid-Term Exam.	1	3		4	4		
 Noise control. Waste water treatment. 	1	3		4	4		

Noise trnsfer. Under ground water tanks.	1	3	4	4	
 Artifsound insulation. Fire fighting in buildings. 	1	3	4	4	
 Acoustic principles. Electricity installation in buildings. 	1	3	4	4	
 Reflection of sound. Fire alarm in buildings. 	1	3	4	4	
 Absorption of sound. Air control in buildings. 	1	3	4	4	
 Reverberation of sound. HVAC systems. 	1	3	4	4	
 Principles of sound. Principles of sanitary installations. Nature of sound. Sanitary installation in buildings. 	1	3	4	4	
Total hours	15	45	60	60	

Topics taught as a percentage of the content specified:

>90 % 70-90 % <70%

- Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic: Non
- If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail: Non

Achieved program intended learning outcomes, ILO's:

A1, A4, A5, A6 ,A11 ,A12 ,A14	B2, B3,	C1 , C12,	D6
,A24	B4,B5,B7,B11, B24	C15,C19,C22,C23,,C25	

2- Teaching and learning methods:

Classical lecturing using the white board and data show, General criticism & presentations
If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, give reasons:

Non

3- Student assessment:

Method of assessment	Points	%
Written examination	70	70
Researches	Non	0
Practical/laboratory work	Non	0
Other assignments/class work	20	20
Mid-Term Exam	10	10
Total	100	100

Members of examination committee: Dr. Sayed Abdel- Khaleaa

Role of external evaluator: Non

4- Facilities and teaching materials:

Totally adequate	Yes
Adequate to some extent	-
Inadequate	-

List any inadequacies: Non

5- Administrative constraints (List any difficulties encountered)

Non

6- Student evaluation of the course:

Questionnaire Results

Course	
Lecturer	
Assistant	
Book	
Assessment	
Laboratory	

Comments

	List any criticisms	Response of course team
(a)		
(b)		

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

	Comment	Response of course team	l
(a)	Non		l

8- Written Exam Evaluation

- ➤ The exam header agrees with the MAM standard form
- > The written exam covers 69% of the course ILO's in a balanced form.
- > The exam considers the course aims listed in the course specification.
- > The exam level is acceptable, considering the percentage of success.
- The exam addresses the fundamentals of the automatic control.
- The too low level of points of question 4 imposes the need to revise the teaching and learning methods of the ILO's covered by guest 4 together with the relevant lab work.

9- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan. State whether or not completed and give reasons for any non-completion:

Actions required	Planned Completion date	Accomplishment
(w) Non		

10- Action plan

Actions required	Completion date	Person responsible
27.		
28.		

Course coordinator: Dr. Sayed Abdel- Khaleaa

Signature:

Date: September 2021

A- Basic Information

1- Course Code & Title: ARC413 Working drawing and Construction Methods 2

2- Program(s) on which this course is given:

Architecture Engineering and Building Technology

3- Year/Level of program: Level 3

4- Credit hours

Credit: 3 hrs. Lectures: 2 hrs. Tutorial: 4 hrs. Total 6hrs
5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course: Dr. Azza Gamal Haggag

6- Course coordinator: Dr. Azza Gamal , Dr. Shimaa Hassan

7- External evaluator: None

B- Statistical Information

55- No. of students attending the course: (according to Fall No. &spring semesters)

56- No. of students completing the course: (according to No.

Fall &spring semesters)

57- Final Results

395	100	%
384	97.2	%

Semester/Grade	Α	В	С	D	F	Total
Fall	4	17	31	24	4	80
Spring	39	71	121	77	7	315
Summer	1	1	1	5	1	10
Sum	44	89	153	106	12	405
Percentage						100.0

C- Professional Information

1 – Course Teaching Hours

Wook	Tonio	Lastura Tutarial	Lastura	Dractical Total	Total		Actual	
Week	Topic	Lecture	Tutorial	Practical	Total 6	Fall	Spr.	Sum.
1	Introduction to the course and preparing previous projects to include updated details of the course	2	4		6	6	6	

2	Roof Gardens	2	4	6	-	6	
3	False ceiling & partitions	2	4	6	6	6	
4	Wet area plans and section elevations	2	4	6	6	6	
5	Wet area sanitary and water supply work	2	4	6	1	6	
6	Introduction to shop drawings – semi project	2	4	6	-	6	
7	M.T. Exam	2	4	6	6	6	
8	Raised Floors	2	4	6	6	6	
9	Wall cladding materials	2	4	6	6	6	
10	Curtain walls	2	4	6	6	6	
11	Steel stairs	2	4	6	6	6	
12	Research presentation	2	4	6	6	0	
13	Revision	2	4	6	6	6	
14	Project final submission	2	4	6	6	0	
14	(on line)						
15	Mega quiz (on line)	2	4	6	0	0	
	Total hours	30	60	90	66	72	

• Topics taught as a percentage of the content specified:

More than 85%

Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic:

At the first semester, students were very weak in comprehension, which caused many topics to be repeated, The second semester was only 13 weeks because of Covid-19

• If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail:

None

• Achieved program intended learning outcomes, ILO's:

A4, A8,A13, A14, A15, A21,A24, B3, B4, B17, B22,B24,B25, C4, C10, C14, C15,C18,C23, D2, D3, D6, D7

2- Teaching and learning methods:

Lectures: Lecture, discussions, tutorials,

Class activity Exercises; discussions

Case Study:Selected ProjectOtherweekly assignments

assignments/homework:

If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, give reasons: None

3- Student Assessment Methods

Method of assessment	Points	%
Written examination	40	40
Quizzes	10	10
Practical/laboratory work	-	0
Project	20	20

Periodical Sketches	-	
Other assignments/class work	20	20
Mid-Term Exam	10	10
Total	100	100

Members of examination committee:

Dr. Azza Gamal , Dr. Shimaa Hassan , Dr. Moataz Elbaz

Role of external evaluator:

None

4- Facilities and teaching materials:

Totally adequate	
Adequate to some extent	Yes
Inadequate	
List any inadequacies	- Weak Internet connections
,	- Uncomfortable online lectures halls

5- Administrative constraints (List any difficulties encountered)	
None	

6- Student evaluation of the course:

Questionnaire Results

Questionnaire resource	
Course	Adequate according to time schedule
Lecturer	Helpful
Assistant	Some are supportive and helpful, while others are strict regarding grades
Book	No Need
Assessment	Adequate and fair - in the interest of the student
Laboratory	

	List any criticisms	Response of course team
	Sometimes the number of term weeks is	None - out of control
(a)	reduced, which does not allow enough revisions	
	for the year's work grades before final exams	

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

Comment	Response of course team
---------	-------------------------

(a)	None	None

8- Written Exam Evaluation

The exam level is particularly convenient according the percentage of success. Low success percentage in question 2 may be attribute to problem of the majority of students thinking about details and fine sketches. This means that the main objectives of the course are achieved for most of the students.

9- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan. State whether or not completed and give reasons for any non-completion:

Actions required Planned Completion date		Accomplishment
Book	Not completed because- applicable to previous experience in the ARC 412 course - students rely on online recorded lectures and pdf. handouts only	Cancelled

10- Action plan for academic year 2021 - 2022

Actions required	Completion date	Person responsible
Introducing some new topics in the scientific content, such as interactive interfaces and smart architecture	Oct. 2022	Dr.Azza Gamal

Course coordinator: Dr. Azza Gamal

Signature:

Date: 21/8/2021

(Applied Engineering and Design Elective Course)

A- Basic Information

1- Course Code & Title: ARC 430 Housing in Developing Countries-B

2- Relevant program/s: Architecture Engineering and Building Technology BSc Program

3- Year/Level of program: Senior 1, Level 4, 7th Semester

4- Credit hours

Credit 2 hrs Lectures 2 hrs Tutorial - hrs Practical - hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course:

Dr. Doaa Abd El Latif

6- Course coordinator: Dr. Doaa Abd El Latif

7- External evaluator: Non

B- Statistical Information

1- No. of students attending the course:
No. of students completing the course:
No. define the course of the course

3- Final Results

Semester/Grade	Α	В	С	D	F	Total
Fall	12	11	11	6	6	46
Spring	5	4	4	0	1	14
Summer	12	7	1	0		20
Sum	29	22	16	6		80
Percentage						

C- Professional Information

1. Contents

Tonio	Lecture	Tutorial	Practical	Total	Actual		
Topic	hours	hours	hours		Fall	Spr.	Sum.
User's participation US. Policy of centralization	2			2	2		
 John Turners US rod burgess 	2			2	2		
Users participation in dueling	2			2	2		
Cases of users participation outside Egypt	2			2	2		
Main elements in dwelling process	2			2	2		
Turner's Concepts and his main issues	2			2	2		
Mid-Term Exam	2			2	2		
Recent dwelling approach in Egypt	2			2	2		
Recent dwelling approach in Egypt	2			2	2		

Architectural Engineering & Building Technology Department

Quantitative proprieties of dwelling sectors	2	2	2	
 Quantitative proprieties of dwelling sectors 	2	2	2	
 Quantitative proprieties of dwelling sectors 	2	2	2	
 Quantitative proprieties of dwelling sectors 	2	2	2	
Dwelling Levels	2	2	2	
Dwelling Levels	2	2	2	
Total hours	30	30	30	

• Topics taught as a percentage of the content specified:

>90 % 70-90 % <70%

- Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic: Non
- If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail: Non

Achieved program intended learning outcomes, ILO's:

A9,A16,A22,A24 B2,B4,B12 C15,C16 D2,D6,D8,D9

2- Teaching and learning methods:

Classical lecturing using the white board and data show, General criticism & presentations If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, give reasons:

Non

3- Student assessment:

Method of assessment	Points	%
Written examination	70	70
Researches	Non	0
Practical/laboratory work	Non	0
Other assignments/class work	20	20
Mid-Term Exam	10	10
Total	100	100

Members of examination committee: Dr. Doaa Abd El Latif

Role of external evaluator: Non

4- Facilities and teaching materials:

Totally adequate	Yes
Adequate to some extent	-
Inadequate	-

List any inadequacies: Non

5- Administrative constraints (List any difficulties encountered)

6- Student evaluation of the course:

Questionnaire Results

Course	
Lecturer	

Architectural Engineering & Building Technology Department

Assistant	
Book	
Assessment	
Laboratory	

Comments

	List any criticisms	Response of course team
(a)		
(b)		

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

	Comment	Response of course team
(a)	Non	

8- Written Exam Evaluation

- ➤ The exam header agrees with the MAM standard form
- > The written exam covers 69% of the course ILO's in a balanced form.
- > The exam considers the course aims listed in the course specification.
- > The exam level is acceptable, considering the percentage of success.
- > The exam addresses the fundamentals of the automatic control.
- > The too low level of points of question 4 imposes the need to revise the teaching and learning methods of the ILO's covered by quest 4 together with the relevant lab work.

9- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan. State whether or not completed and give reasons for any non-completion:

Actions required	Planned Completion date	Accomplishment
(x) Non		

10- Action plan

Actions required	Completion date	Person responsible
29.		
30.		

Course coordinator: Dr. Doaa Abd El Latif

Signature:

Date: September 2021

(Humanitarian Elective Courses)

A- Basic Information

1- Course Code & Title: ARC 432 Design, Environmental planning and power

2- Relevant program/s: Architecture Engineering and Building Technology BSc Program

3- Year/Level of program: Senior 1, Level 4,

4- Credit hours

Credit 2 hrs Lectures 2 hrs Tutorial hrs Practical - hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course:

Dr. Shahinaz El Tayaa

6- Course coordinator: Dr. Shahinaz El Tayaa

7- External evaluator: Non

B- Statistical Information

1- No. of students attending the course:
No. of students completing the course:
No. of students completing the course:
No. of students completing the course:

3- Final Results

Semester/Grade	Α	В	С	D	F	Total
Oemester/Orace	^	, b	•	J	•	Total
Fall	9	75	114	115	28	341
Spring	0		0	0		0
Summer	0		0	0		0
Sum	9	75	114	115		341
Percentage						

C- Professional Information

1. Contents

Tania	Lecture	Tutorial	Practical	Total	Actual		
Topic	hours	hours	hours		Fall	Spr.	Sum.
Environmental fields and its level	2			2	2		
Environmental fields and its level	2			2	2		
climatic zone in Egypt Integrated Environmental design	2			2	2		
climatic zone in Egypt Integrated Environmental design	2			2	2		
definition of saving Energy comfort degrees and human needs	2			2	2		
definition of saving Energy comfort degrees and human needs	2			2	2		
Mid-Term Exam	2			2	2		

Architectural Engineering & Building Technology Department

Ecological system saving from natural condition: sand movement – Beaches/ Ecological system saving from natural condition: sand movement – Beaches	2		2	2	
Floods – facing Air earth pollution	2		2	2	
 Environmental effects, forms and site Design 	2		2	2	
 Daylight needs – Aerodynamics Architecture 	2		2	2	
ventilation Design and protection from wind	2		2	2	
 renewed energy – solar energy and its efficiency 	2		2	2	
 renewed energy – solar energy and its efficiency. 	2		2	2	
Revision	2		2	2	
Total hours	30		30	30	

• Topics taught as a percentage of the content specified:

>90 % 70-90 % <70%

Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic: Non

• If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail: Non

• Achieved program intended learning outcomes, ILO's:

A11,A18,A21, A24	B2, B3, B13, B15,	C1, C2, C12, C17,	D1, D2,D3, D4,D5,D6, D7, D8
	B17,B22,B24	C19,C25	

2- Teaching and learning methods:

Classical lecturing using the white board and data show, General criticism & presentations

If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, give reasons:

3- Student assessment:

Method of assessment	Points	%
Written examination	70	70
Researches	Non	0
Practical/laboratory work	Non	0
Other assignments/class work	20	20
Mid-Term Exam	10	10
Total	100	100

Members of examination committee: Dr. Shahinaz El Tayaa

Role of external evaluator: Non

4- Facilities and teaching materials:

Totally adequate	Yes
Adequate to some extent	-
Inadequate	-

List any inadequacies: Non

5- Administrative constraints (List any difficulties encountered)

Non

6- Student evaluation of the course:

Questionnaire Results

Course	
Lecturer	
Assistant	
Book	
Assessment	
Laboratory	

Comments

	List any criticisms	Response of course team
(a)		
(b)		

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

	Comment	Response of course team
(a)	Non	

8- Written Exam Evaluation

- > The exam header agrees with the MAM standard form
- ➤ The written exam covers 69% of the course ILO's in a balanced form.
- > The exam considers the course aims listed in the course specification.
- > The exam level is acceptable, considering the percentage of success.
- > The exam addresses the fundamentals of the automatic control.
- > The too low level of points of question 4 imposes the need to revise the teaching and learning methods of the ILO's covered by quest 4 together with the relevant lab work.

9- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan. State whether or not completed and give reasons for any non-completion:

Actions required Planned Completion date		Accomplishment		
(y) Non				

10- Action plan

Actions required	Completion date	Person responsible
1.		
2.		

Course coordinator: Dr. Shahinaz El Tayaa

Signature:

Date: September 202

A- Basic Information

1- Course Code & Title: ARC 434 Modular Coordination

2- Relevant program/s: Architecture Engineering and Building Technology BSc Program

3- Year/Level of program: Senior 1, Level 4,

4- Credit hours

Credit 2 hrs Lectures 2 hrs Tutorial - hrs Practical - hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course: Dr. Azza Gamal

6- Course coordinator: Dr. Azza Gamal

7- External evaluator: Non

B- Statistical Information

1- No. of students attending the course:
No. of students completing the course:
No. of students completing the course:
No. of students completing the course:

3- Final Results

Semester/Grade	Α	В	С	D	F	Total
Fall	66	94	76	48	23	307
Spring	3	18	48	74	13	156
Summer	23	12	8	3	1	47
Sum	92	124	132	122	1	510
Percentage						

C- Professional Information

1. Contents

Taula	Lecture	Tutorial	Practical	Total	Actual		
Topic	hours	hours	hours		Fall	Spr.	Sum.
Meaning & purpose of modular coordination – An Introductionn	2			2	2		
Measuring units & Measurement	2			2	2		
modular coordination& Modules	2			2	2		
Modules Types & its applications	2			2	2		
Le Corbosier Module	2			2	2		
Modular coordination & mass production	2			2	2		
Mid-Term Exam	2			2	2		
Application on Standardization process	2			2	2		
Construction by Precast concrete units	2			2	2		
Steel Construction	2			2	2		
Timber Construction	2			2	2		

• Organization for Standardization & 2 2 2 Quality control 2 2 2

• ISO Standards 2 2 ISO Standards 2 • Research Presentations 2 2 **Total hours** 30 30 30

Topics taught as a percentage of the content specified:

>90 % 70-90 % <70%

- Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic: Non
- If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail: Non

Achieved program intended learning outcomes, ILO's:

A1,A6,A8 B1,B2,B9 C1,C5,C10 D6

2- Teaching and learning methods:

Classical lecturing using the white board and data show, General criticism & presentations

If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, give reasons: Non

3- Student assessment:

Method of assessment	Points	%
Written examination	70	70
Researches	Non	0
Practical/laboratory work	Non	0
Other assignments/class work	20	20
Mid-Term Exam	10	10
Total	100	100

Members of examination committee: Dr. Azza Gamal Non

Role of external evaluator:

4- Facilities and teaching materials:

Totally adequate	Yes
Adequate to some extent	-
Inadequate	-

List any inadequacies: Non

5- Administrative constraints (List any difficulties encountered)

Non

6- Student evaluation of the course:

Questionnaire Results

Course	
Lecturer	
Assistant	
Book	
Assessment	
Laboratory	

Comments

Architectural Engineering & Building Technology Department

	List any criticisms	Response of course team
(a)		
(b)		

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

	Comment	Response of course team
(a)	Non	

8- Written Exam Evaluation

- ➤ The exam header agrees with the MAM standard form
- > The written exam covers 69% of the course ILO's in a balanced form.
- > The exam considers the course aims listed in the course specification.
- > The exam level is acceptable, considering the percentage of success.
- > The exam addresses the fundamentals of the automatic control.
- > The too low level of points of question 4 imposes the need to revise the teaching and learning methods of the ILO's covered by quest 4 together with the relevant lab work.

9- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan. State whether or not completed and give reasons for any non-completion:

Actions required	Planned Completion date	Accomplishment
(z) Non		

10- Action plan

Actions required	Completion date	Person responsible
3.		
4.		

Course coordinator: Dr. Azza Gamal

Signature:

Date: September 2021

(Humanitarian Elective Courses)

A- Basic Information

1- Course Code & Title: ARC 450 Project Management

2- Relevant program/s: Architecture Engineering and Building Technology

3- Year/Level of program: Level 4

4- Credit hours

Credit 2 hrs Lectures 2 hrs Tutorial - hrs Practical - hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course: Dr. Moutaz Elbaz

6- Course coordinator: Dr. Moutaz Elbaz

7- External evaluator: Non

B- Statistical Information

1- No. of students attending the course:
No. of students completing the course:
No. of students completing the course:
No. of students completing the course:

3- Final Results

Semester/Grade	Α	В	С	D	F	Total
Fall	0	0	0	0		0
Spring	39	95	65	49	17	265
Summer	2	2	2	1		7
Sum	40	97	67	50		272
Percentage	12.4	19.3	26.2	31.7	10.3	100.0

C- Professional Information

1. Contents

	Lecture	Tutorial	Practical	Total		Actual	
Торіс	hours	hours	hours		Fall	Spr.	Sum.
Project management history and definitions	2			2	2	2	2
 Project management Knowledge Area 1 	2			2	2	2	2
 Project management Knowledge Area 2 	2			2	2	2	2
Quiz 1	2			2	2	2	2
Construction Project Planning	2			2	2	2	2
 Cost & resources management 	2			2	2	2	2
Mid term	2			2	2	2	2
 Project Planning Techniques 1 	2			2	2	2	2

Project Planning Techniques 2	2		2	2	2	2
Project Planning Techniques 3	2		2	2	2	0
Resources leveling and crashing	2		2	2	2	0
Microsoft project introduction	2		2	2	0	0
Microsoft project Practice	2		2	0	0	0
Project Discussion	2		2	0	0	0
Quiz 2 and open discussion	2		2	2	0	0
To fall become	0.0			0.0	00	40

• Topics taught as a percentage of the content specified:

>90 % 70-90 %

<70%

Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic: Non

• If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail: Non

Achieved program intended learning outcomes, ILO's:

Knowledge & Understanding	Intellectual skills	Applied Skills	General transferable skills
A3, A6, A25	B3, B17	C2, C3	D6, D9

2- Teaching and learning methods:

Classical lecturing using the white board and data show, General criticism & presentations in addition to Online lectures using the Academy's platform and licensed softwares

If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, give reasons:

3- Student assessment:

Method of assessment	Points	%
Written examination	70	70
Researches	Non	0
Practical/laboratory work	Non	0
Other assignments/class work	20	20
Mid-Term Exam	10	10
Total	100	100

Members of examination committee: Dr. Moutaz Elbaz

Role of external evaluator: Non

4- Facilities and teaching materials:

Totally adequate	Yes
Adequate to some extent	-
Inadequate	-

List any inadequacies: Non

5- Administrative constraints (List any difficulties encountered) Non

6- Student evaluation of the course:

Ques	tionnaire Results	
	Course	

Architectural Engineering & Building Technology Department

Lecturer	
Assistant	
Book	
Assessment	
Laboratory	

Comments

	List any criticisms	Response of course team
(a)	The course book is not useful	A new book prepared considering the new content

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

		Comment	Response of course team
ſ	(a)	Non	

8- Written Exam Evaluation

- > The exam header agrees with the MAM standard form
- > The written exam covers 69% of the course ILO's in a balanced form.
- > The exam considers the course aims listed in the course specification.
- > The exam level is acceptable, considering the percentage of success.
- > The exam addresses the fundamentals of the automatic control.
- > The too low level of points of question 4 imposes the need to revise the teaching and learning methods of the ILO's covered by quest 4 together with the relevant lab work.

9- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan. State whether or not completed and give reasons for any non-completion:

Actions required	Planned Completion date	Accomplishment
(aa) Non		

10- Action plan

	Actions re	qui	ired	Completion date Person responsil	ble		
31.	Preparing	а	new	course	To be determined in agreement with the Dr. Moutaz Elbaz		
book					architecture engineering and building		
					Technology Dpt.		

Course coordinator: Dr. Moutaz Elbaz

Signature:

Date: August 2021

(Humanitarian Elective Courses)

A- Basic Information

1- Course Code & Title: ARC 451 Architecture, Civilization and Heritage

2- Relevant program/s: Architecture Engineering and Building Technology BSc Program

3- Year/Level of program: Senior 1, Level 4

4- Credit hours

Credit 2 hrs Lectures 2 hrs Tutorial - hrs Practical - hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course: Dr. Nahed Omran

6- Course coordinator: Dr. Nahed Omran

7- External evaluator: Non

B- Statistical Information

1- No. of students attending the course:
No. of students completing the course:
No. of students completing the course:
No. of students completing the course:

3- Final Results

Semester/Grade	A	В	С	D	F	Total	
Fall	9	9	5	2	2	27	
Spring	0	1	2	2		5	
Summer	0	0	0	0		0	
Sum	9	10	7	4		32	
Percentage							

C- Professional Information

1. Contents

Top	nio.	Lecture	Tutorial	Practical	Total	Actual		
10	JIC	hours	hours	hours		Fall	Spr.	Sum.
Culture and Archited definitions, terms, a culture and Archited	nd characteristics of	2			2	2		
 Heritage and Archite Classification of Her sites) 	ecture (Definitions, ritage, World Heritage	2			2	2		
, ,	three world views nismic and Systemic relation to Architecture)	2			2	2		
The Interrelation be Architecture (Gener and examples)	tween culture and al theories, concepts	2			2	2		

 Architecture as cultural expression - Features and characteristics (A detailed discussion of the multi-components of culture and its impacts on the architectural patterns) 	2		2	2	
Social interaction and urban environment – perception , environment image and behavior patterns. The role of the architect towards the local culture of the place. (community design, participatory design approaches)	2		2	2	
Mid-Term Exam	2		2	2	
The role of participation and community involvement in Architectural and Urban Design (Local Case studies)	2		2	2	
 A brief discussion of the Anthropology as a tool of understanding local and indigenous cultures and its application to Architecture 	2		2	2	
Regionalism of architecture and architectural expression	2		2	2	
 Architectural and Urban Heritage (A review of Values) 	2		2	2	
 Urban and Architectural Conservation (A review of interventions) 	2		2	2	
 Local and international case studies of urban and Architectural projects corresponding to the cultural dimension of the societies. 	2		2	2	
Site Visit	2		2	2	
Research project presentation and discussion	2		2	2	
Total hours	30		30	30	

Topics taught as a percentage of the content specified:

>90 % 70-90 % <70%

- Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic: Non
- If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail: Non

Achieved program intended learning outcomes, ILO's:

A5, A9, A11, A17	B18,B19, B21	C19, C21,C22	D3, D6, D9

2- Teaching and learning methods:

Classical lecturing using the white board and data show, General criticism & presentations If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, give reasons:

Non

3- Student assessment:

Method of assessment	Points	%
Written examination	70	70
Researches	Non	0
Practical/laboratory work	Non	0
Other assignments/class work	20	20

Architectural Engineering & Building Technology Department

Mid-Term Exam	10	10
Total	100	100

Members of examination committee: Dr. Nahed Omran

Role of external evaluator: Non

4- Facilities and teaching materials:

Totally adequate	Yes
Adequate to some extent	-
Inadequate	-

List any inadequacies: Non

5- Administrative constraints (List any difficulties encountered)

Non

6- Student evaluation of the course:

Questionnaire Results

Course	
Lecturer	
Assistant	
Book	
Assessment	
Laboratory	

Comments

	List any criticisms	Response of course team
(a)	They need site visit to some historical building	we can arrange after Covid-19
(b)		

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

	Comment	Response of course team
(a)	Non	

8- Written Exam Evaluation

- > The exam header agrees with the MAM standard form
- ➤ The written exam covers 69% of the course ILO's in a balanced form.
- > The exam considers the course aims listed in the course specification.
- ➤ The exam level is acceptable, considering the percentage of success.
- > The exam addresses the fundamentals of the automatic control.
- ➤ The too low level of points of question 4 imposes the need to revise the teaching and learning methods of the ILO's covered by quest 4 together with the relevant lab work.

9- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan. State whether or not completed and give reasons for any non-completion:

Actions required	Planned Completion date	Accomplishment
Non		

10- Action plan

	Actions required	Completion date	Person responsible
1.	site visit to some historical building	بعد فترة الكرونا	أستاذ المادة
2.			

Course coordinator: Dr. Nahed Omran

Signature:

Date: September 2021

(Humanitarian Elective Courses)

A- Basic Information

1- Course Code & Title: ARC 452 ADVANCED STUDIES IN INTERIOR DESIGN
 2- Relevant program/s: Architecture Engineering and Building Technology BSc Program

3- Year/Level of program: Senior 1,Level 4

4- Credit hours

Credit 2 hrs Lectures 1 hrs Tutorial - hrs Practical - hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course:

Dr. Marwa Basyony

6- Course coordinator: Dr. Marwa Basyony

7- External evaluator: Non

B- Statistical Information

1- No. of students attending the course:
No. - - %
2- No. of students completing the course:
No. - - %

3- Final Results

Semester/Grade	Α	В	С	D	F	Total
Fall	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Spring	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Summer	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Sum	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Percentage						

C- Professional Information

1. Contents

Tonio	Lecture	Tutorial	Practical	Total	Actual		
Торіс	hours	hours	hours		Fall	Spr.	Sum.
 Introduction 	2			2			
 Interior Design process 	2			2			
Elements of Interior Design	2			2			
 Principles of Interior Design 	2			2			
 Colors in Interiors (Research) 	2			2			
 Introduction to Finishing's 	2			2			
Mid term Exam	2			2			
 Flooring Finishings 	2			2			
Walls & Ceiling finishes	2			2			
Finishing materials & (Project Introduction)	2			2			
Styles of Furniture	2			2			
 Furniture Accessories (1) & (Proj. Study) 	2			2			

Modern Academy for Engineering & Technology

Architectural Engineering & Building Technology Department

2020-2021

Furniture Accessories (2)	2		2		
Furniture Accessories (3) & (Proj. Semifinal)	2		2		
Project Final.	2		2		
Total hours	30		30		

Topics taught as a percentage of the content specified:

<70% >90 % 70-90 %

- Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic: Non
- If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail: Non

Achieved program intended learning outcomes, ILO's:

	7		
A12,A13,A20,A21	B1, B2, B5, B9, B13,	C1, C2, C3,C 4,	D1,D2,D3,D5,D6
	B14, B15,B22	C10, C16, C17	

2- Teaching and learning methods:

Classical lecturing using the white board and data show, General criticism & presentations

If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, give reasons: Non

3- Student assessment:

Method of assessment	Points	%
Written examination	70	70
Researches	Non	0
Practical/laboratory work	Non	0
Other assignments/class work	20	20
Mid-Term Exam	10	10
Total	100	100

Members of examination committee: Dr. Marwa Basyony Non

Role of external evaluator:

4- Facilities and teaching materials:

Totally adequate	Yes
Adequate to some extent	-
Inadequate	-

List any inadequacies: Non

5- Administrative constraints (List any difficulties encountered)

6- Student evaluation of the course:

Questionnaire Results

Course	
Lecturer	
Assistant	
Book	
Assessment	
Laboratory	

Comments

	List any criticisms	Response of course team
(a)		
(b)		

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

	Comment	Response of course team
(a)	Non	

8- Written Exam Evaluation

- ➤ The exam header agrees with the MAM standard form
- > The written exam covers 69% of the course ILO's in a balanced form.
- > The exam considers the course aims listed in the course specification.
- > The exam level is acceptable, considering the percentage of success.
- > The exam addresses the fundamentals of the automatic control.
- ➤ The too low level of points of question 4 imposes the need to revise the teaching and learning methods of the ILO's covered by quest 4 together with the relevant lab work.

9- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan. State whether or not completed and give reasons for any non-completion:

Actions required	Planned Completion date	Accomplishment
(bb) Non		

10- Action plan

VIWII								
Actions required	Completion date	Person responsible						
3.								
4.								

Course coordinator: Dr. Marwa Basyony

Signature:

Date: September 2021

(Humanitarian Elective Courses)

A- Basic Information

1- Course Code & Title: ARC 431 Urban Renewal

2- Relevant program/s: Architecture Engineering and Building Technology BSc Program

3- Year/Level of program: Senior 1,Level 4

4- Credit hours

Credit 2 hrs Lectures 1 hrs Tutorial - hrs Practical - hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course: Dr. Mehad Omara

6- Course coordinator: Dr. Mehad Omara

7- External evaluator: Non

B- Statistical Information

1- No. of students attending the course:
No. of students completing the course:
No. of students completing the course:

3- Final Results

Semester/Grade	Α	В	С	D	F	Total
Fall	0	0	0	0		0
Spring	3	7	8	3	3	24
Summer	0	0	0	0		0
Sum	3	7	8	3		24

C- Professional Information

1. Contents

Tania	Lecture	Tutorial	Practical	Total	Actual		
Topic	hours	hours	hours		Fall	Spr.	Sum.
General introduction on renovation	2			2		2	
Ismailia projects	2			2		2	
Ismailia projects	2			2		2	
Projects analysis	2			2		2	
Helwan project	2			2		2	
Projects analysis	2			2		2	
Mid-Term Exam	2			2		2	
Asyout projects	2			2		2	
syout projects	2			2		2	
Projects analysis	2			2		2	
Researches	2			2		2	
Researches	2			2		2	
Difference between projects	2			2		2	
General introduction on renovation	2			2		2	
Ismailia projects	2			2		2	

Total hours	30		30	3	0
 Topics taught as a percentage Reasons in detail for not teach If any topics were taught which Achieved program intended lea 	ng any topic: Non are not specified, give	e reasons in detail:	>90 % Non	70-90 %	<70%
A7,A16	B10,B11,B20	C1,C8		D6,D7	

2- Teaching and learning methods:

Classical lecturing using the white board and data show, General criticism & presentations

If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, give reasons:

3- Student assessment:

Method of assessment	Points	%
Written examination	70	70
Researches	Non	0
Practical/laboratory work	Non	0
Other assignments/class work	20	20
Mid-Term Exam	10	10
Total	100	100

Members of examination committee: Dr. Mehad Omara

Role of external evaluator: Non

4- Facilities and teaching materials:

Totally adequate	Yes
Adequate to some extent	-
Inadequate	-

List any inadequacies: Non

5- Administrative constraints (List any difficulties encountered)

Non

6- Student evaluation of the course:

Questionnaire Results

Course	
Lecturer	
Assistant	
Book	
Assessment	
Laboratory	

Comments

	List any criticisms	Response of course team
(a)		
(b)		

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

Comment	Response of course team

(a) Non	
---------	--

8- Written Exam Evaluation

- > The exam header agrees with the MAM standard form
- ➤ The written exam covers 69% of the course ILO's in a balanced form.
- > The exam considers the course aims listed in the course specification.
- > The exam level is acceptable, considering the percentage of success.
- > The exam addresses the fundamentals of the automatic control.
- The too low level of points of question 4 imposes the need to revise the teaching and learning methods of the ILO's covered by quest 4 together with the relevant lab work.

9- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan. State whether or not completed and give reasons for any non-completion:

Actions required	Planned Completion date	Accomplishment
(cc) Non		

10- Action plan

Actions required	Completion date	Person responsible
5.		
6.		

Course coordinator: Dr. Mehad Omara

Signature:

Date: September 2021

(Humanitarian Elective Courses)

A- Basic Information

1- Course Code & Title: ARC 435 Building Economy

2- Relevant program/s: Architecture Engineering and Building Technology BSc Program

3- Year/Level of program: Senior 1, Level 4

4- Credit hours

Credit 2 hrs Lectures 2 hrs Tutorial - hrs Practical - hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course: Dr. Aya Ezzat

6- Course coordinator: Dr. Aya Ezzat

7- External evaluator: Non

B- Statistical Information

1- No. of students attending the course:
No. of students completing the course:
No. of students completing the course:
No. of students completing the course:

3- Final Results

Semester/Grade	Α	В	С	D	F	Total
Fall	5	0	1	1		7
Spring	81	140	76	27	3	327
Summer	0	0	0	0		0
Sum	86	140	77	28		334
Percentage						

C- Professional Information

1. Contents

Taula	Lecture	Tutorial	Practical	Total	Actual		
Topic	hours	hours	hours		Fall	Spr.	Sum.
Introduction to Construction Economy	2			2	2		
Economic principles	2			2	2		
Economic Idologies about building technology	2			2	2		
Properties of the construction sector	2			2	2		
Demand in building sector	2			2	2		
Supply in building sector	2			2	2		
Mid-Term Exam	2			2	2		
Related industries to construction technology	2			2	2		
Resources	2			2	2		
Construction Costs	2			2	2		
Housing funds	2			2	2		

Modern Academy for Engineering & Technology

Architectural Engineering & Building Technology Department

2020-2021

Housing Planning	2		2	2	
Feasibility studies	2		2	2	
Depreciation	2		2	2	
SWOT analysis in construction sector	2		2	2	
Applications	2		2	2	
Total hours	30		30	30	

• Topics taught as a percentage of the content specified:

>90 % 70-90 % <70%

- Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic: Non
- If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail: Non

Achieved program intended learning outcomes, ILO's:

A2,A5. A6, A14,A15 B2, B9, B16, B22 C2, C15, D3, D8

2- Teaching and learning methods:

Classical lecturing using the white board and data show, General criticism & presentations

If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, give reasons:

3- Student assessment:

Method of assessment	Points	%
Written examination	70	70
Researches	Non	0
Practical/laboratory work	Non	0
Other assignments/class work	20	20
Mid-Term Exam	10	10
Total	100	100

Members of examination committee: Dr. Aya Ezzat

Role of external evaluator: Non

4- Facilities and teaching materials:

Totally adequate	Yes
Adequate to some extent	-
Inadequate	-

List any inadequacies: Non

5- Administrative constraints (List any difficulties encountered)

Non

6- Student evaluation of the course:

Questionnaire Results

Course	
Lecturer	
Assistant	
Book	
Assessment	
Laboratory	

Comments

	List any criticisms	st any criticisms Response of course team		
(a)				
(b)				
	Comment		Response of course team	
(a)	Non			

8- Written Exam Evaluation

- > The exam header agrees with the MAM standard form
- ➤ The written exam covers 69% of the course ILO's in a balanced form.
- > The exam considers the course aims listed in the course specification.
- ➤ The exam level is acceptable, considering the percentage of success.
- > The exam addresses the fundamentals of the automatic control.
- > The too low level of points of question 4 imposes the need to revise the teaching and learning methods of the ILO's covered by quest 4 together with the relevant lab work.

9- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan. State whether or not completed and give reasons for any non-completion:

Actions required	Planned Completion date	Accomplishment
(dd) Non		

10- Action plan

Actions required	Completion date	Person responsible
7.		
8.		

Course coordinator: Dr. Aya Ezzat

Signature:

Date: September 2021

Senior 2 Fourth year Architecture Level 5

S	Course				
	Code	Title			
1	ARC 521	Architectural Design 7			
2	ARC 522	City Planning			
3	ARC 540	History and theories of Architecture (4)			
4	ARC 511	Working Drawing & Construction Documents			
5	ARC 513	Quantities Computing & Contracting Methods			
6	ARC 512	Building Regulations & Professional Practice			
7	ARC 560	Project			
8	ARC 523	Urban Design			
9	ARC 53*	Elective course of Applied Engineering			
10	ARC 53*	Elective course of Applied Engineering			
11	ARC 53*	Elective course of Applied Engineering			
12	ARC 53*	Elective course of Applied Engineering			
13	ARC 55*	Humanitarian Subjects (Elective Courses)			
13	ARC 55*	Humanitarian Subjects (Elective Courses)			

Page Intentionally Left Blank

A- Basic Information

1- Course Code & Title: ARC 521 Architectural Design 7

2- Relevant program/s: Architecture Engineering and Building Technology

3- Year/Level of program: Level 5

4- Credit hours

Credit 3 hrs Lectures 1 hrs Tutorial 6 hrs Practical - hrs **5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course**:

Dr. Mohammed Thabat

6- Course coordinator: Dr. Mohammed Thabat

7- External evaluator: Non

B- Statistical Information

1- No. of students attending the course:
No. 312 100 %
2- No. of students completing the course:
No. 308 98.7 %

3- Final Results

Semester/Grade	A	В	С	D	F	Total
Fall	36	95	128	49	4	312
Spring	0	4	27	40	7	78
Summer	0	0	1	2		3
Sum	36	99	156	91		393
Percentage						

C- Professional Information

1. Contents

Tania	Lecture	Tutorial	Practical	Total	Actual		
Topic	hours	hours	hours		Fall	Spr.	Sum.
Introduction : Multi purpose hall project	1	6		7	7	7	
Site analysis and research	1	6		7	7	7	
Final research submission	1	6		7	7	7	
Layout proposal Design concept	1	6		7	7	7	
Master plan (zoning – organization)	1	6		7	7	7	
Floor plans For volition	1	6		7	7	7	
Mid-Term Exam	1	6		7	7	7	
Level Study (sections) Floor plans design development		6		7	7	7	
Elevations design Floor plans (final)	1	6		7	7	7	
3D Perspective or isometric / mass study		6		7	7	7	
interiors - details and presentation	1	6		7	7	7	
sections & Elevations	1	6		7	7	7	
Development and final Plans sections & Elevations	1	6		7	7	7	

Modern Academy for Engineering & Technology Architectural Engineering & Building Technology Department

2020-2021

<70%

Sections- Elevations Final sketch 7 7 7 1 6 submission 3D Models Final project submission 6 1 **Total hours** 15 90 105 105 105

• Topics taught as a percentage of the content specified:

>90 % 70-90 %

• Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic: Non

- If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail: Non
- Achieved program intended learning outcomes, ILO's:

A13, A14,A20,A21	B4, B14, B16,	C4, C13, C18,	D2, D3, D7, D9
	B20,B21	C19,C22	

2- Teaching and learning methods:

Classical lecturing using the white board and data show, General criticism & presentations

If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, give reasons:

3- Student assessment:

Method of assessment	Points	%
Written examination	40	40
Project	24	24
Practical/laboratory work	Non	0
Other assignments/class work	26	26
Mid-Term Exam	10	10
Total	100	100

Members of examination committee: Dr. Mohammed Thabat

Role of external evaluator: Non

4- Facilities and teaching materials:

Totally adequate	Yes
Adequate to some extent	-
Inadequate	-

List any inadequacies: Non

5- Administrative constraints (List any difficulties encountered)

Non

6- Student evaluation of the course:

Questionnaire Results

tioiiiaire ixesuits	
Course	
Lecturer	
Assistant	
Book	
Assessment	
Laboratory	

Comments

	List any criticisms	Response of course team
(a)		
(b)		

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

	Comment	Response of course team
(a)	Non	

8- Written Exam Evaluation

- > The exam header agrees with the MAM standard form
- > The written exam covers 69% of the course ILO's in a balanced form.
- > The exam considers the course aims listed in the course specification.
- > The exam level is acceptable, considering the percentage of success.
- > The exam addresses the fundamentals of the automatic control.
- > The too low level of points of question 4 imposes the need to revise the teaching and learning methods of the ILO's covered by quest 4 together with the relevant lab work.

9- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan. State whether or not completed and give reasons for any non-completion:

Actions required	Planned Completion date	Accomplishment
(ee) Non		

10- Action plan

		Actions required	Completion date	Person responsible
	1.	Four projects have to be identified through a clear program and given design determinants		Course coordinator
-	2.	A clear arrangement of student groups has to be identified and declared to all the students from the beginning. Each group is likely to have a different design determinants and problem than the other, and will be directed by one of the teaching assistants.		Senior teaching assistant
	3.	Arranging a year exhibition for students work in order to induce a self learning process and competition among the students		Teaching assistants

Dr. Mohammed Thabat **Course coordinator:**

Signature: Date: September 2021

A- Basic Information

1- Course Code & Title: ARC 522 City Planning

2- Relevant program/s: Architecture Engineering and Building Technology

3- Year/Level of program: Senior 2 ,Level 5 , 9th semester

4- Credit hours

Credit 3 hrs Lectures 1 hrs Tutorial 4 hrs Practical - hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course:

Dr . Shahinaz El Tayiaa

6- Course coordinator: Dr . Shahinaz El Tayiaa

7- External evaluator: Non

B- Statistical Information

1- No. of students attending the course:
No. of students completing the course:
No. of students completing the course:
No. of students completing the course:

3- Final Results

Semester/Grade	Α	В	С	D	F	Total
Fall	21	83	160	107	2	373
Spring	1	0	8	10	1	20
Summer	0	4	1	0		5
Sum	22	87	169	117		393
Percentage						

C- Professional Information

1. Contents

Tania	Lecture	Tutorial	Practical	Total	Actual		
Topic	hours	hours	hours		Fall	Spr.	Sum.
 Planning regions in Egypt 	1	5		6	4		
 Planning regions in Egypt 	1	5		6	4		
 Planning regions in Egypt 	1	5		6	4		
Historians and development approaches	1	5		6	4		
 Historians and development approaches 	1	5		6	4		
 Natural resources in Egypt 	1	5		6	4		
Mid-Term Exam	1	5		6	4		
Sustainable development	1	5		6	4		
Sustainable development	1	5		6	4		
Getting maps for menout city	1	5		6	4		
Getting maps for menout city	1	5		6	4		

 Getting maps for menout city 1 5 6 4 Getting maps for menout city 1 5 6 4 • Report about el sadat city 1 5 6 0 1 • Report about el sadat city 5 6 0 1 5 • Planning regions in Egypt **Total hours** 15 75 50 90

• Topics taught as a percentage of the content specified:

>90 % 70-90 % <70%

Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic: Non

• If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail: Non

Achieved program intended learning outcomes, ILO's:

A11, A16, A17, A19 B10, B11,B14, B19 C6, C20 D1,D2, D3, D5

2- Teaching and learning methods:

Classical lecturing using the white board and data show, General criticism & presentations

If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, give reasons:

3- Student assessment:

Method of assessment	Points	%
Written examination	40	40
Project	Non	•
Practical/laboratory work	30	30
Other assignments/class work	20	20
Mid-Term Exam	10	10
Total	100	100

Members of examination committee: Dr . Shahinaz El Tayiaa

Role of external evaluator:

4- Facilities and teaching materials:

Totally adequate	Yes
Adequate to some extent	-
Inadequate	-

List any inadequacies: Non

5- Administrative constraints (List any difficulties encountered)

6- Student evaluation of the course:

Questionnaire Results

Non

Course	
Lecturer	
Assistant	
Book	
Assessment	
Laboratory	

Comments

	List any criticisms	Response of course team
(a)		
(b)		

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

	Comment	Response of course team
(a)	Non	

8- Written Exam Evaluation

- ➤ The exam header agrees with the MAM standard form
- ➤ The written exam covers 69% of the course ILO's in a balanced form.
- > The exam considers the course aims listed in the course specification.
- ➤ The exam level is acceptable, considering the percentage of success.
- > The exam addresses the fundamentals of the automatic control.
- The too low level of points of question 4 imposes the need to revise the teaching and learning methods of the ILO's covered by quest 4 together with the relevant lab work.

9- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan. State whether or not completed and give reasons for any non-completion:

Actions required	Planned Completion date	Accomplishment
(ff) Non		

10- Action plan

Actions required	Completion date	Person responsible
4.		
5.		

Course coordinator: Dr . Shahinaz El Tayiaa

Signature:

Date: September 2021

A- Basic Information

1- Course Code & Title: (ARC 540) History & Theory of Architecture (4) 2- Relevant program/s: Architecture Engineering and Building Technology

3- Year/Level of program: Level 5

4- Credit hours

Credit 2 hrs Lectures 2 hrs Tutorial - hrs Practical - hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course: Dr .Nahed Omran

Dr .Nahed Omran -Dr . Gehad Naser. 6- Course coordinator:

7- External evaluator: Non

B- Statistical Information

1- No. of students attending the course: 80 100 % No. 2- No. of students completing the course: No. 93.24 75 %

3- Final Results

Semester/Grade	A	В	С	D	F	Total
Fall	22	17	21	15	5	80
Spring	36	56	48	29	4	173
Summer	50	57	49	34	8	198
Sum	108	130	118	78	8	451
Percentage						

C- Professional Information

1. Contents

Tonio	Lecture	Tutorial	Practical	Total	Actual		
Topic	hours	hours	hours		Fall	Spr.	Sum.
General introduction for the course	2			2	2		
Mechanical analogy :Futurism- De stijl-	2			2	2		
Constructivism –Expressionism				2	2		
Architecture of Modernism Analyzing							
characteristics of: International Style / SIAM	2			2	2		
Group /Organic Architecture / Functions							
Analyzing landmark projects of the Pioneer:							
Frank Lloyd Write / Le Corbusier, Analyzing	2			2	2		
landmark projects of the Pioneers Mies van	2			_	2		
der Rohe / Walter Gropius							
Architecture of Late Modernism							
Analyzing characteristics	2			2	2		
of:Expressionism / Brutalism							

			,	,	
Analyzing projects of American Architects:					
Paul Rudolph / Lois Khan / Alvar Alto	2		2	2	
Continue- Architecture of Late Modernism:					
Mid -term 2	2		2	2	
Metabolism / Archigram					
Analyzing projects of the Japanese	2		2	2	
Architects:KenzoTange / KishoKurokawa					
Continue- Architecture of Late Modernism:					
Trend of Hi-Tech Architecture, Analyzing					
landmark projects of Architects: Richard	2		2	2	
Rogers / Renzo Piano /Norman Foster /					
Nicolas Grimshow.					
Architecture of Post Modernism :Neo					
Classicism / Historicism / Revivalism					
/Metaphors , Analyzing projects of the	2		2	2	
American Architects:	_		_		
Robert Venturi / Philip Johnson /Charles					
Moore/ Michael Graves					
Continue- Architecture of Post Modernism:	2		2	2	
Trend of Deconstruction Architecture	2		2	2	
Analyzing landmark projects of Architect:	2		2	2	
Daniel Libeskind	_		_	<u> </u>	
Continue- Architecture of Post					
Modernism:Trend of Deconstruction					
Architecture Analyzing landmark	2		2	2	
projects of Architect: Frank O' Gehry /					
ZahaHadid / Bernard Tshumi					
Continue- Architecture of Deconstruction ,					
Analyzing landmark projects of Architects:	2		2	2	
Peter Eisenman Maya Lynn /Coop Himmilblau					
	30		30	30	
Total hours	30		30	30	

Topics taught as a percentage of the content specified:

>90 % 70-90 % <70%

- Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic: Non
- If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail: Non
- Achieved program intended learning outcomes, ILO's:

A1, A3, A4, A7, A8, A19, A11,	B4, B5, B14, B19	C1, C2, C4, C12	D1, D2, D3, D4, D5, D7
A17,A24			

2- Teaching and learning methods:

Classical lecturing using the white board and data show, General criticism & presentations Non

If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, give reasons:

3- Student assessment:

Method of assessment	Points	%
Written examination	70	70
Researches	20	20

Practical/laboratory work	Non	0
Other assignments/class work	Non	0
Mid-Term Exam	10	10
Total	100	100

Members of examination committee: Dr .Nahed Omran -Dr . Gehad Naser.

Role of external evaluator: Non

4- Facilities and teaching materials:

Totally adequate	Yes
Adequate to some extent	-
Inadequate	-

List any inadequacies: Non

5- Administrative constraints (List any difficulties encountered)

6- Student evaluation of the course:

Questionnaire Results

Comments

Comments		
	List any criticisms	Response of course team
(a)	It is recommended to give us the complete drawings of all chosen projects given in the course to be able to study them more easily and not to make more efforts to search for them through internet sites.	This problem had been solved by presenting the complete drawings of all the given projects in presentation of each lecture. In addition, The course team give some projects (not mentioned in the course book) to let the students search for them on purpose to be good excavators for the certain data

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

	Comment	Response of course team
(a)	Non	

8- Written Exam Evaluation

- ➤ The exam header agrees with the MAM standard form
- ➤ The written exam covers 69% of the course ILO's in a balanced form.
- > The exam considers the course aims listed in the course specification.
- > The exam level is acceptable, considering the percentage of success.
- > The exam addresses the fundamentals of the automatic control.
- The too low level of points of question 4 imposes the need to revise the teaching and learning methods of the ILO's covered by quest 4 together with the relevant lab work.

9- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan. State whether or not completed and give reasons for any non-completion:

Actions required	Planned Completion date	Accomplishment
(gg) Non		

10- Action plan

Actions required	Completion date	Person responsible
6.		
7.		

Course coordinator: Dr. Nahed Omran

Signature:

Date: September 2021

A- Basic Information

1- Course Code & Title: ARC 511 Working Drawing & Construction Documents **2- Relevant program/s:** Architecture Engineering and Building Technology

3- Year/Level of program: Level 5

4- Credit hours

Credit 2 hrs Lectures 1 hrs Tutorial 3 hrs Practical - hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course: Dr. Magdy Tamam

6- Course coordinator: Dr. Magdy Tamam

7- External evaluator: Non

B- Statistical Information

1- No. of students attending the course:
No. 45 100 %
2- No. of students completing the course:
No. 35 73,68 %

3- Final Results

Semester/Grade	A	В	С	D	F	Total
Fall	0	0	10	25	10	45
Spring	14	39	95	138	45	331
Summer	0	0	12	33	15	60
Sum	14	39	117	196		436
Percentage						

C- Professional Information

1. Contents

Tania	Lecture	Tutorial	Practical	Total	Actual		
Topic	hours	hours	hours		Fall	Spr.	Sum.
 Revision and Working drawings 				4	4		
importance	1	3					
 Project Determination and 				4	4		
Preparing software	1	3					
Layout Working Drawing studies	1	3		4	4		
 Plans (advanced working 				4	4		
Drawings studies).	1	3					
Advanced structure systems	1	3		4	4		
• (meshes – trusses – shell -cables-				4	4		
space structures)	1	3					
Advanced Escalators , Stairs and				4	4		
Elevators designing and							
construction studies	1	3					

 Methods of choosing and applying advanced finishing materials using (green materials) 	1	3	4	4	
 Special doors "revolving – sliding – electrical" Windows (Curtain walls - aluminum glassing systems) 	1	3	4	4	
Sections (advanced working drawing studies) .	1	3	4	4	
 Advanced roofing and skylight systems 	1	3	4	4	
 Theater and cinema design in plan and section 	1	3	4	4	
 Sport and lecture halls (vision – sound – light – A. C.) 	1	3	4	4	
 Elevations for complex and high- tech buildings 	1	3	4	4	
Total hours	15	45	60	60	

• Topics taught as a percentage of the content specified:

>90 % 70-90 % <70%

- Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic: Non
- If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail: Non
- Achieved program intended learning outcomes, ILO's:

A3, A5, A6, A11, A12, A15, A20,	B9, B12, B13, B14,	C1, C2, C10, C12,	D1, D2, D3, D6, D7, D8
A21, A23,A24	B15, B16,	C14,	
	B20,B22,B23,B24	C15,C23,C24,C25	

2- Teaching and learning methods:

Classical lecturing using the white board and data show, General criticism & presentations

If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, give reasons:

3- Student assessment:

Method of assessment	Points	%
Written examination	40	40
Project	30	30
Practical/laboratory work	Non	0
Other assignments/class work	20	20
Mid-Term Exam	10	10
Total	100	100

Members of examination committee: Dr. Shahinaz El Tayaa

Role of external evaluator: Non

4- Facilities and teaching materials:

Totally adequate	Yes
Adequate to some extent	-

Inadeo	quate	-

List any inadequacies: Non

5- Administrative constraints (List any difficulties encountered)

Nor

6- Student evaluation of the course:

Questionnaire Results

Course	
Lecturer	
Assistant	
Book	
Assessment	
Laboratory	

Comments

	List any criticisms	Response of course team
(a)		
(b)		

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

	Comment	Response of course team
(a)	Non	

8- Written Exam Evaluation

- > The exam header agrees with the MAM standard form
- ➤ The written exam covers 69% of the course ILO's in a balanced form.
- > The exam considers the course aims listed in the course specification.
- > The exam level is acceptable, considering the percentage of success.
- > The exam addresses the fundamentals of the automatic control.
- > The too low level of points of question 4 imposes the need to revise the teaching and learning methods of the ILO's covered by quest 4 together with the relevant lab work.

9- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan. State whether or not completed and give reasons for any non-completion:

Actions required	Planned Completion date	Accomplishment
(hh) Non		

10- Action plan

Actions required	Completion date	Person responsible
8.		
9.		

Course coordinator: Dr. Magdy Tamam

Signature:

Date: September 2021

A- Basic Information

1- Course Code & Title: ARC 512 Building Regulations & Professional Practice **2- Relevant program/s:** Architecture Engineering and Building Technology

3- Year/Level of program: Level 5

4- Credit hours

Credit 2 hrs Lectures 2 hrs Tutorial hrs Practical - hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course:

Dr. Said Abd Elkhalek

6- Course coordinator: Dr. Said Abd Elkhalek

7- External evaluator: Non

B- Statistical Information

1- No. of students attending the course:
No. 347 100 %
2- No. of students completing the course:
No. 327 94.5 %

3- Final Results

Semester/Grade	A	В	С	D	F	Total
Fall	4	79	153	91	20	347
Spring	0	1	25	40	7	73
Summer	30	54	9	2	1	96
Sum	34	134	187	133		516
Percentage						

C- Professional Information

1. Contents

Tonio	Lecture	Tutorial	Practical	Total	Actual		
Торіс	hours	hours	hours		Fall	Spr.	Sum.
• 1-Introduction on the professional	2			2	2	2	
and legal responsibilities of the							
architect							
 2-Building Regulations 	2			2	2	2	
3-Legislations& rules for Building	2			2	2	2	
 4-Regulations for urban planning 	2			2	2	2	
 5-Legislations for urban planning 	2			2	2	2	
 6-Rules for urban planning 	2			2	2	2	
7-Mid-term exam	2			2	2	2	
8-The architects' legal responsibilities	2			2	2	2	
9-The contractors' legal	2			2	2	2	
responsibilities.							
 10-Responsibility for design and 	2			2	2	2	
construction							

Modern Academy for Engineering & Technology

Architectural Engineering & Building Technology Department

2020-2021

11-Relation Between the owners , the architect and the contractor	2		2	2	2	
• 12-Principles of professional practice - Scope of work	2		2	2	2	
• 13-Principles of professional practice - Fees – Tenders	2		2	2	2	
• 14-Contracts between owners and architect and contractor	2		2	2	2	
15-Conclusion on the course	2		2	2	2	
Total hours	30		30	30	30	

• Topics taught as a percentage of the content specified:

>90 % 70-90 % <70%

- Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic: Non
- If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail: Non

Achieved program intended learning outcomes, ILO's:

	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
A7, A16, A25	B12, B20	C1, C8	D6, D7

2- Teaching and learning methods:

Classical lecturing using the white board and data show, General criticism & presentations

If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, give reasons:

3- Student assessment:

Method of assessment	Points	%
Written examination	70	70
Project	Non	0
Practical/laboratory work	Non	0
Other assignments/class work	20	20
Mid-Term Exam	10	10
Total	100	100

Members of examination committee: Dr. Said Abd Elkhalek

Role of external evaluator: Non

4- Facilities and teaching materials:

Totally adequate	Yes
Adequate to some extent	-
Inadequate	-

List any inadequacies: Non

5- Administrative constraints (List any difficulties encountered)

6- Student evaluation of the course:

Questionnaire Results

Course	
Lecturer	

Assistant	
Book	
Assessment	
Laboratory	

Comments

	List any criticisms	Response of course team
(a)		
(b)		

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

	Comment	Response of course team
(a)	Non	

8- Written Exam Evaluation

- > The exam header agrees with the MAM standard form
- ➤ The written exam covers 69% of the course ILO's in a balanced form.
- > The exam considers the course aims listed in the course specification.
- ➤ The exam level is acceptable, considering the percentage of success.
- > The exam addresses the fundamentals of the automatic control.
- The too low level of points of question 4 imposes the need to revise the teaching and learning methods of the ILO's covered by quest 4 together with the relevant lab work.

9- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan. State whether or not completed and give reasons for any non-completion:

Actions required	Planned Completion date	Accomplishment
(ii) Non		

10- Action plan

Actions required	Completion date	Person responsible
10.		
11.		

Course coordinator: Dr. Said Abd Elkhalek

Signature:

Date: September 2021

A- Basic Information

1- Course Code & Title: ARC 523 Urban Design

2- Relevant program/s: Architecture Engineering and Building Technology

3- Year/Level of program: Level 5

4- Credit hours

Credit 4 hrs Lectures 2 hrs Tutorial - 4 hrs Practical - hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course: Dr . ingy shwkat

6- Course coordinator: Dr ingy shwkat

7- External evaluator: Non

B- Statistical Information

1- No. of students attending the course:
No. of students completing the course:
No. of students completing the course:
No. of students completing the course:

3- Final Results

Semester/Grade	Α	В	С	D	F	Total	
Fall	12	66	152	125	11	366	
Spring	0	0	4	16	4	24	
Summer	0	4	0	0		4	
Sum	12	70	156	141		394	
Percentage							

C- Professional Information

1. Contents

1. Contents	Lootura	Tutorial	Practical	Total	Actual		
Topic	Lecture hours	hours	hours	Total	Fall	Cnr	Sum.
	Hours		Hours		Ган	Spr.	Sulli.
 Introduction to Urban design 	1	5		6	6	6	
 Introduction to project, Site analysis 	1	5		6	6	6	
 Site analysis, zoning, introduction to research 	1	5		6	6	6	
 Research presentation, conceptual designs, Site analysis 	1	5		6	6	6	
 Layout alternatives 	1	5		6	6	6	
Layout alternatives	1	5		6	6	6	
MT Exam	1	5		6	6	6	
Layout, elevation	1	5		6	6	6	
Layout, elevation	1	5		6	6	6	
 Layout, elevation, section 	1	5		6	6	6	
 Layout, elevation, section, details 	1	5		6	6	6	

Layout, elevation, section, details, Maquette	1	5	6	6	6	
 Layout, elevation, section, details, Maquette 	1	5	6	6	6	
Semi-final	1	5	6	6	6	
 Revision, Exam Preparation & Makeup Class 	1	5	6	6	6	
Total hours	15	75	90	90	90	

• Topics taught as a percentage of the content specified:

>90 % 70-90 %

<70%

Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic: Non

• If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail: Non

Achieved program intended learning outcomes, ILO's:

A9, A16,A19 B10, B20 C13,C18,C19,C22, D1, D5

2- Teaching and learning methods:

Classical lecturing using the white board and data show, General criticism & presentations

If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, give reasons:

3- Student assessment:

Method of assessment	Points	%
Written examination	40	40
Researches	20	20
Practical/laboratory work	30	30
Other assignments/class work	Non	0
Mid-Term Exam	10	10
Total	100	100

Members of examination committee: Dr . ingy shwkat

Role of external evaluator: Non

4- Facilities and teaching materials:

Totally adequate	Yes
Adequate to some extent	-
Inadequate	-

List any inadequacies: Non

5- Administrative constraints (List any difficulties encountered)

Non

6- Student evaluation of the course:

Questionnaire Results

Course	
Lecturer	
Assistant	
Book	

Assessment	
Laboratory	

Comments

	List any criticisms	Response of course team
(a)		
(b)		

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

	Comment	Response of course team
(a)	None	

8- Written Exam Evaluation

- ➤ The exam header agrees with the MAM standard form
- > The written exam covers 69% of the course ILO's in a balanced form.
- > The exam considers the course aims listed in the course specification.
- ➤ The exam level is acceptable, considering the percentage of success.
- > The exam addresses the fundamentals of the automatic control.
- > The too low level of points of question 4 imposes the need to revise the teaching and learning methods of the ILO's covered by quest 4 together with the relevant lab work.

9- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan. State whether or not completed and give reasons for any non-completion:

	Actions required	Planned Completion date	Accomplishment
(jj) N	Vone		

10- Action plan

	Actions required	Completion date	Person responsible
12.	None		
13.			

Course coordinator: Dr . Ingy shwkat

Signature:

Date: September 2021

A- Basic Information

1- Course Code & Title: ARC 530: Urban and Environmental Conservation **2- Relevant program/s:** Architecture Engineering and Building Technology

3- Year/Level of program: Level 4

4- Credit hours

Credit 2 hrs Lectures 2 hrs Tutorial hrs Practical - hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course:

Dr. Asamer Zakaria

6- Course coordinator: Dr. Asamer Zakaria

7- External evaluator: Non

B- Statistical Information

1- No. of students attending the course:
No. of students completing the course:
No. of students completing the course:
No. of students completing the course:

3- Final Results

Semester/Grade	Α	В	С	D	F	Total
Fall	37	13	11	9		70
Spring	40	61	26	18	2	147
Summer	67	41	22	8	4	150
Sum	144	105	59	36	4	367
Percentage						

C- Professional Information

1. Contents

Tonio	Lecture	Tutorial	Practical	Total	Actual		
Торіс	hours	hours	hours		Fall	Spr.	Sum.
 1- Introduction to the field of urban and environmental conservation. (General definitions, terms, fundamentals and 							
theories)	2			2	2		
 2- Urban Conservation of Heritage sites. 	2			2	2		
3- Issues and problems facing heritage sites	2			2	2		
 4-Concept of value in heritage conservation 							
5- The role of international institutions.	2			2	2		
6- A critical review of international restoration & conservation charters	2			2	2		
7-Mid-Term Exam	2			2	2		
8- Cultural Heritage and Local Economic Development	2			2	2		

Modern Academy for Engineering & Technology

Architectural Engineering & Building Technology Department

2020-2021

9- The role of participation and					
community involvement in Conservation	2		2	2	
10- urban revitalization of historic areas	2		2	2	
11- Rehabilitation of historic buildings	2		2	2	
12- Conservation economics and the debate					
between cultural and economic values	2		2	2	
13- The significance of public intervention in					
heritage	2		2	2	
14- Local and international case studies of					
urban conservation	2		2	2	
15- Research project presentation &revision	2		2	2	
Total hours	30		30	30	

• Topics taught as a percentage of the content specified:

>90 % 70-90 % <70%

- Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic: Non
- If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail: Non

• Achieved program intended learning outcomes, ILO's:

A1, A11, A16,A17,A18,A19,A21	B18,B19, B21,	C17, C21,C22	D1, D5,D7

2- Teaching and learning methods:

Classical lecturing using the white board and data show, General criticism & presentations

If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, give reasons:

3- Student assessment:

Method of assessment	Points	%
Written examination	60	60
Project	Non	0
Practical/laboratory work	Non	0
Other assignments/class work	15	15
Mid-Term Exam	25	25
Total	100	100

Members of examination committee:

Role of external evaluator: Non

4- Facilities and teaching materials:

Totally adequate	Yes
Adequate to some extent	-
Inadequate	-

List any inadequacies: Non

5- Administrative constraints (List any difficulties encountered) Non

6- Student evaluation of the course:

Quest	tionnaire Results	
	Course	

Lecturer	
Assistant	
Book	
Assessment	
Laboratory	

Comments

	List any criticisms	Response of course team
(a)		
(b)		

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

	Comment	Response of course team
(a)	Non	

8- Written Exam Evaluation

- > The exam header agrees with the MAM standard form
- ➤ The written exam covers 69% of the course ILO's in a balanced form.
- > The exam considers the course aims listed in the course specification.
- > The exam level is acceptable, considering the percentage of success.
- > The exam addresses the fundamentals of the automatic control.
- > The too low level of points of question 4 imposes the need to revise the teaching and learning methods of the ILO's covered by quest 4 together with the relevant lab work.

9- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan. State whether or not completed and give reasons for any non-completion:

Actions requi	iired	Planned Completion date	Accomplishment
(kk) Non			

10- Action plan

Actions required	Completion date	Person responsible	
14. Giving more researches	Annually	Senior teaching	J
that encourage the		assistant Senio	r
students to learn better		teaching assistant	
about conservation			
problems in reality & how			
to give alternatives for			
solutions & application.			
Giving more case studies	Annually	Senior teaching	j
or lectures concerning the		assistant	
conservation styles in order to			
make the student capable of			
applying the lectures in reality.			

Course coordinator: Dr. Asamer Zakaria

Signature:

Date: September 2021

A- Basic Information

1- Course Code & Title: ARC 532 Computer in Architecture

2- Relevant program/s: Architecture Engineering and Building Technology

3- Year/Level of program: Level 5

4- Credit hours

Credit 2 hrs Lectures 1 hrs Tutorial3 hrs Practical - hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course: Dr. Shreef Salah

6- Course coordinator: Dr. Shreef Salah

7- External evaluator: Non

B- Statistical Information

1- No. of students attending the course:
No. of students completing the course:
No. of students completing the course:
No. of students completing the course:

3- Final Results

Semester/Grade	Α	В	С	D	F	Total
Fall	26	75	86	67	18	272
Spring	16	21	46	46	13	142
Summer	0	3	2	3		8
Sum	42	99	134	116		422
Percentage						

C- Professional Information

1. Contents

Taula	Lecture	Tutorial	Practical	Total	Actual		
Topic	hours	hours	hours		Fall	Spr.	Sum.
 Introduction to Advanced computer systems, start-up a REVIT drawing file and using assisting Commands 	1	3		4	4	4	
 Level and Grid command, Sketch mode, Wall types (How to Create Basic walls). 	1	3		4	4	4	
Wall types (How to Create Stacked and curtain walls) Create floors, Selection methods, and Modifying commands.	1	3		4	4	4	
Model revision, Modeling commands (doors, windows) and adding components, and create a camera.	1	3		4	4	4	
Project phase 1 submission.	1	3		4	4	4	·

Modeling commands (Ceiling, Columns, Roof, Stairs).	1	3	4	4	4	
Assessment (Mid Term)	1	3	4	4	4	
 Modeling commands (Railing, Ramp). 	1	3	4	4	4	
in-place family (create cornice using sweep command) and Massing	1	3	4	4	4	
 Project phase 2 submission. 	1	3	4	4	4	
 Plotting (sheet preparation), annotation commands (Dimension, details, text), and schedule of quantities. 	1	3	4	4	4	
Practical Exam	1	3	4	4	4	
Project submission	1	3	4	4	4	
Final revision, Exam preparation & Makeup Class	1	3	4	4	4	
Final revision, Exam preparation & Makeup Class	1	3	4	4	4	
Total hours	15	45	60	60	60	

• Topics taught as a percentage of the content specified:

>90 % 70-90 % <70%

- Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic: Non
- If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail: Non
- Achieved program intended learning outcomes, ILO's:

A13, A19, A20	B1, B4, B13,B19	C5, C12, C13, C14	D1, D3, D6, D7
,	,,,,	,,,	,,,

2- Teaching and learning methods:

Classical lecturing using the white board and data show, General criticism & presentations

If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, give reasons:

3- Student assessment:

Method of assessment	Points	%
Written examination	70	70
Project	Non	0
Practical/laboratory work	Non	0
Other assignments/class work	20	30
Mid-Term Exam	10	10
Total	100	100

Members of examination committee: Dr. shreef salah

Role of external evaluator: Non

4- Facilities and teaching materials:

Totally adequate	Yes
Adequate to some extent	-
Inadequate	-

List any inadequacies: Non

5- Administrative constraints (List any difficulties encountered)

Non

6- Student evaluation of the course:

Questionnaire Results

Course	
Lecturer	
Assistant	
Book	
Assessment	
Laboratory	

Comments

	List any criticisms	Response of course team
(a)		
(b)		

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

	Comment	Response of course team
(a)	Non	

8- Written Exam Evaluation

- ➤ The exam header agrees with the MAM standard form
- ➤ The written exam covers 69% of the course ILO's in a balanced form.
- > The exam considers the course aims listed in the course specification.
- > The exam level is acceptable, considering the percentage of success.
- > The exam addresses the fundamentals of the automatic control.
- > The too low level of points of question 4 imposes the need to revise the teaching and learning methods of the ILO's covered by quest 4 together with the relevant lab work.

9- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan. State whether or not completed and give reasons for any non-completion:

Actions required	Planned Completion date	Accomplishment
(II) Non		

10- Action plan

Actions required	Completion date	Person responsible
16.		
17.		

Course coordinator: Dr. Shreif Salah

Signature:

Date: September 2021

A- Basic Information

1- Course Code & Title: ARC 533 Modern System Building Materials

2- Program(s) on which this course is given: Architecture Engineering and Building Technology BSc Program

3- Year/Level of program Senior 2, Level 5

4- Credit hours

Credit: 2 hrs. Lectures: 2 hrs. Tutorial: -hrs. Total 2hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course: Dr. Nevine Gado

6- Course coordinator: Dr. Nevine Gado

7- External evaluator: None

B- Statistical Information

4- No. of students attending the course:
No. 145 100 %
No. of students completing the course:
No. 145 100 %

6- Final Results

Semester/Grade	Α	В	С	D	F	Total
Fall	25.0	46.0	34.0	28.0	12.0	145.0
Spring	4.0	9.0	14.0	13.0	5.0	45.0
Summer	16	30	64	93	15	219
Sum	45	85	112	134	32	409
Percentage	15.3	29.0	25.5	21.6	8.6	100.0

C- Professional Information

1 – Course Teaching Hours

W I-	Toute	Lastura T	Total	Dunation	T.4.1	Actual		
Week	Topic	Lecture	Tutorial	Practical	Total	Fall	Spr.	Sum.
1	General review of Building Systems and course goals	2	0	0	2	2	2	
2	The role of structure in architecture/ Skeleton system/Wall Systems	2	0	0	2	2	2	
3	Skeleton system	2	0	0	2	2	2	
4	Mechanization of Skeleton Construction	2	0	0	2	2	2	
5	Prefabricated construction methods	2	0	0	2	2	2	
6	Capsules Units	2	0	0	2	2	2	_

\A/ I-	Taula	Lastura	Tutorial	Practical	Total	Actual		
Week	Topic	Lecture				Fall	Spr.	Sum.
7	Assessment (Mid-Term)	2	0	0	2	2	2	
8	Shell and Folded Structure	2	0	0	2	2	2	
9	Modern Systems	2	0	0	2	2	2	
10	Dynamic Building	2	0	0	2	2	2	
11	Kinetic/Dynamic Façades	2	0	0	2	2	2	
12	Kinetic/Dynamic Façades	2	0	0	2	2	2	
13	Pneumatic Systems	2	0	0	2	2	2	
14	Pneumatic Systems	2	0	0	2	2	2	
15	Final project	2	0	0	2	2	2	
	Total hours	30	0	0	28	30	30	

Topics taught as a percentage of the content specified:

More than 95 %

• Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic:

None

If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail:

None

Achieved program intended learning outcomes, ILO's:

A8, A12, A14, A24, A25, B5, B17, B23 C8, C14, C25, D6

2- Teaching and learning methods:

Lectures: Lecture, discussions, tutorials, problem solving

Class activityExercises; solution of problemsCase Study:Selected case studies and applicationsOtherBi-weekly assignments and reports

assignments/homework:

If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, give reasons:

None

3- Student Assessment Methods

Method of assessment	Points	%
Written examination	70	70
Quizzes	10	10
Practical/laboratory work	-	0
Project	-	0
Periodical Sketches	-	
Other assignments/class work	10	10
Mid-Term Exam	10	10
Total	100	100

Members of examination committee: Dr. Nevine Gado

Role of external evaluator: None

4- Facilities and teaching materials:

Totally adequate	
Adequate to some extent	Yes
Inadequate	

List any inadequacies:

5- Administrative constraints (List any difficulties encountered)

None

6- Student evaluation of the course:

Questionnaire Results

Course	
Lecturer	
Assistant	
Book	
Assessment	
Laboratory	

	List any criticisms	Response of course team
(a)	None	None

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

	Comment	Response of course team
(a)	None	None

8- Written Exam Evaluation

The results of the course are normally distributed with mean at 63% and with standard deviation 15. This means that the main objectives of the course are achieved for most of the students.

9- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan. State whether completed and give reasons for any non-completion:

Actions required	Planned Completion date	Accomplishment
Book Update	Oct. 2021	Done

10- Action plan for academic year 2021 – 2022

Actions required	Completion date	Person responsible
None	Sept. 2022	None

Course coordinator:Dr. Nevine GadoSignature:Dr. Nevine GadoDate:September 25, 21

A- Basic Information

1- Course Code & Title: ARC 551: Elective Course (Aesthetics & Formation) 2- Relevant program/s: Architecture Engineering and Building Technology

3- Year/Level of program: Level 5

4- Credit hours

Credit 2 hrs Lectures 2 hrs Tutorial hrs Practical - hrs 5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course:

Dr. Amira Mostafa

Dr. Amira Mostafa 6- Course coordinator:

7- External evaluator: Non

B- Statistical Information

1- No. of students attending the course: 167 100 No. 2- No. of students completing the course: 150 88.44 % No.

3- Final Results

Semester/Grade	Α	В	С	D	F	Total
Fall	7	35	45	63	17	167
Spring	6	6	10	10	2	34
Summer	0	0	0	0		0
Sum	13	41	55	73		201
Percentage						

C- Professional Information

1. Contents

Topic	Lecture hours	Tutorial hours	Practical hours	Total	Actual Fall	Spr.	Sum.
Sources of Architectural Aesthetics	2			2	2		
Channels of Architectural Aesthetics	2			2	2		
Introduction (spatial-tension- intterlocking-harmony- gradtion-contrast)	2			2	2		
Formal approachinl (dominance -regetition balance)	2			2	2		
Values and order for Architectural Aesthetics	2			2	2		
Unity and continuity	2			2	2		
Mid d term Exam							

Repose-scale- rhythm- proportions	2		2	2	
Theories geometric form	2		2	2	
Organic morphology- sculpturesque form	2		2	2	
The principles of the Aesthetics of composition in Architectural and art	2		2	2	
Relations between art and Architectural	2		2	2	
Intellectual of historical Architectural and technological	2		2	2	
Research for Architectural Aesthetics project	2		2	2	
Research evaluation	2		2	2	
Total hours	30		30	30	

• Topics taught as a percentage of the content specified:

>90 % 70-90 % <70%

- Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic: Non
- If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail: Non

Achieved program intended learning outcomes, ILO's:

A13,A14,A16,A19	B4,B5,B13,B18	C3,C9,C13	D1,D2,D3,D7,D8

2- Teaching and learning methods:

Classical lecturing using the white board and data show, General criticism & presentations If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, give reasons:

Non

3- Student assessment:

Method of assessment	Points	%
Written examination	40	70
Researches	5	5
Practical	25	25
Other assignments/class work	20	20
Mid-Term Exam	10	10
Total	100	100

Members of examination committee: Dr. Amira Mostafa

Role of external evaluator: Non

4- Facilities and teaching materials:

Totally adequate	Yes
Adequate to some extent	-
Inadequate	-

List any inadequacies: Non

5- Administrative constraints (List any difficulties encountered)

6- Student evaluation of the course:

Questionnaire Results

Course	
Lecturer	
Assistant	
Book	
Assessment	
Laboratory	

Comments

	List any criticisms	Response of course team
(a)		
(b)		

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

	Comment	Response of course team
(a)	Non	

8- Written Exam Evaluation

- > The exam header agrees with the MAM standard form
- ➤ The written exam covers 69% of the course ILO's in a balanced form.
- > The exam considers the course aims listed in the course specification.
- > The exam level is acceptable, considering the percentage of success.
- > The exam addresses the fundamentals of the automatic control.
- ➤ The too low level of points of question 4 imposes the need to revise the teaching and learning methods of the ILO's covered by quest 4 together with the relevant lab work.

9- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan. State whether or not completed and give reasons for any non-completion:

	Actions required	Planned Completion date	Accomplishment
(mm)	Non		

10- Action plan

Actions required	Completion date	Person responsible
18.		
19.		

Course coordinator: Dr

Dr. Amira Mostafa

Signature:

Date: September 2021